

#### **CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MEMBERS** ITEM TIME PRESENTER Call to Order 1. Dr. Kevin Walthers 4:00 PM **Tracy Beard** Community at-large Public Comment: 2. Dr. Kevin Walthers Public comment is welcomed. Under the provisions of the Brown Tim Bennett Community at-large Act, the committee is prohibited from discussing oral requests that Terry Dworaczyk are not part of the agenda. Support Organization 3. Information Items 4:05 PM Hugh Rafferty Introductions/New Members 3.a. Dr. Kevin Walthers **Taxpayers** Association Member Recognition 3.b. Dr. Kevin Walthers David Richardson **Business Organization** 4. Action Items 4:10 PM Aloysia Shea Elect a Chair/Vice Chair 4.a. Dr. Kevin Walthers Student Representative Approval of the minutes of the **Committee Chair** 4.b. Bill Thompson August 3, 2016 meeting Senior Organization Approval of the 2016 Citizens' Dr. Kevin Walthers 4.c. **Oversight Committee Annual Report** DISTRICT STAFF to the Board of Trustees Kevin G. Walthers, Ph.D. Superintendent/President 5. **Oral Reports** 4:30 PM **Budget Presentation** 5.a. Mr. Michael Black Michael Black Financial Report for the period Associate Superintendent ending December 31, 2016 Vice President, Finance & Administration Audit Report for the year ending 5.b. Mr. Michael Black June 30, 2016 Felix Hernandez Ir. Vice President, Operations 5.c. Technology Summary Report for the Ms. Carol Moore period July 1-December 31, 2016 Andrew Masuda Director, Public Affairs & 5.d. Facilities Summary Report for the Mr. Felix Hernandez Communications period July 1-December 31, 2016 Carol Moore 6. Committee Members' Comments All 4:55 PM **Director**, Information **Technology Services** 7. **Committee Chair** 5:00 PM Adjournment In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need assistance to **NOTE TAKER** participate in this meeting, please contact the President's Office at (805) 922-6966 Melinda Martinez ext. 3454. Please make requests 48 hours prior to the meeting in order to make Executive Secretary to the reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility to this meeting. Superintendent/President

## Agenda

Bond Measure I Citizens' Oversight Committee Monday, April 10, 2017 – 4:00-5:00 p.m. Santa Maria Campus – Boardroom, B-100

## ALLAN HANCOCK JOINT COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT BOND MEASURE I CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

Minutes Regular Meeting August 3, 2016

#### Allan Hancock College Captain's Room, B-102 800 South College Drive, Santa Maria, CA 93454

#### 1. Call to Order

Chair Nissinen-Harlow called the meeting to order at 4:00 p.m. with the following committee members present: Huggins, Manning, Nissinen-Harlow, Rafferty, Richardson, Shea, Wolf

Members absent: Thompson

Staff members present: Kevin Walthers, Michael Black, Felix Hernandez, Melinda Martinez, Andrew Masuda, and Carol Moore

#### 2. Public Comment to Closed Session

Dan Hilker introduced himself as a recently retired employee of the college. He believes some statements in the March 1, 2016 meeting minutes are inaccurate. Mr. Hilker encouraged the committee to visit the facility and speak to staff in charge of the programs for more information.

#### 3. <u>Information Items</u>

3.a. New Members

Dr. Walthers announced Allie Shea was appointed to the committee as a student representative by the Board of Trustees. Ms. Shea introduced herself to the committee.

#### 3.b. Member Recognition

Dr. Walthers thanked Kenneth Wolf and Lisa Nissinen-Harlow for their service to the Citizens' Oversight Committee.

The meeting was paused briefly for photos at 4:05 p.m.

The meeting resumed at 4:07 p.m.

#### 4. Action Items

4.a. Approval of the minutes of the March 1, 2016 meeting

On a motion by David Richardson, seconded by Robert Manning, the Citizens' Oversight Committee approved the minutes from March 1, 2016 as submitted.

(Ayes: Huggins, Manning, Nissinen-Harlow, Rafferty, Richardson, Shea, Wolf; Noes: None; Absent: Thompson)

#### 5. Oral Reports

5.a. Budget Presentation - Financial Report for the period ending June 30, 2016

Mr. Black reviewed the financial report, noting there has not been a significant amount of activity since the committee last met. Mr. Black shared they have underspent for the year and noted details of the project budgets and scheduled maintenance costs. He concluded his report with a review of the cash flow report and offered to respond to questions.

Dr. Walthers inquired about opportunities for refunding by refinancing the bonds. Mr. Black explained they have looked into refinancing, but at this point it is not worth it due to the cost. Mr. Black mentioned there is an opportunity to receive funds from a state bond, if the measure passes in November's election. The state bond funds would potentially bridge financing until the bonds can be released. Mr. Black added they are looking at several different financing options and said the main challenge is getting extra capacity and having property values go up.

Committee Member Richardson and Dr. Walthers discussed the impact of the price of oil and property values. Dr. Walthers provided additional explanation and noted the tax base is based on the value of the oil in the ground. When the price of oil drops and stays down, the land is worth less because the oil underneath it is worth less.

#### 5.b. Technology - Summary Report for the period of January 1-June 30, 2016

Ms. Moore provided a summary report for technology projects and indicated that the Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) project was completed in December 2015. The Technology Advisory Committee (TAC) recommended 58 projects for \$380,000 including 80 replacement computers, Lompoc Valley Center (LVC) Public Address (PA) System, Data Center Disk System, podiums for new LVC and Santa Maria classrooms, PCPA Box Office servers, podium computers, Writing Center computers and printer, and Forum lighting and audio visual project.

Committee Member Wolf asked if the old computers are donated, sold or recycled. Ms. Moore explained old computers are sent to Dell for asset recovery. Dell swipes the computers and sends the college checks for the computers that are turned in. She explained other items such as old printers may be sent to auction surplus and older computers that still work may be donated to elementary schools with board approval.

Ms. Moore highlighted the Forum lighting and audio visual project and shared photos. The project is anticipated to be completed by August 11, 2017.

Committee Member Huggins inquired about the doors in the Forum noting they slam shut and can be disruptive during class when someone enters or exits. Mr. Hernandez will contact the plant services director about the door repair.

#### 5.c. Facilities - Summary Report for the period of January 1-June 30, 2016

Mr. Hernandez provided a facilities update for the committee. He reminded the committee that the shooting range at the Public Safety Complex has not been used for over a year and a half. He explained that when the baffles were constructed, they were initially approved by the Division of State Architect (DSA), but there were some problems and DSA pulled the plans back to redesign. It has taken time to get the redesign approved, and the work has been done in phases. Phase CCD24 has recently been completed by DSA and replaces the big steel frame, the largest piece of the baffle system.

Committee Member Richardson inquired about DSA's qualifications to design the shooting range. Mr. Hernandez clarified DSA did not design the system. A general contractor hired a subcontractor who is responsible for the design of the baffle system. Originally, DSA did not realize the shooting range was an outdoor facility when they approved the design. Mr. Hernandez shared photos of some of the work taking place and noted they are about 40 percent done.

Mr. Hernandez explained that unfortunately, cadets are not able to use our shooting range. There was discussion about other shooting facilities available in the area that cadets can use and the process of working with DSA on the correction for this outdoor facility. Dr. Walthers commended Mr. Hernandez for working with the DSA regional manager on this. Mr. Hernandez added he is also meeting weekly with the contractors.

Mr. Hernandez reported that the last phase of the industrial technology/physical education and athletic fields project is the concession stand/restroom project. The project has gone to bid twice and the bids received were high and over budget. Mr. Hernandez noted that the California construction market is experiencing inflation.

Mr. Hernandez moved on to the Fine Arts Complex project and provided a recap of the state bond matching funds opportunity. He shared that the college is on the spending list and subject to the November bond. If the bond passes, the funding fiscal year would be 2017-18. The funds from the state could be reallocated to other projects or be a cushion to help with construction inflation costs.

There was discussion about the bidding and planning process for the Fine Arts Complex project. Mr. Black reiterated he is looking at different financing mechanisms to leverage the state funding if the bond passes in November. Committee Member Richardson asked additional questions about the financing options. Dr. Walthers and Mr. Black replied to questions with explanation of Certificates of Participation, bond refinancing, and bridge financing options. Discussion continued about the project cost. Dr. Walthers added there is also the donation from Patty Boyd to fund the recital hall in the Fine Arts Complex.

#### 6. <u>Committee Members' Comments</u>

Committee Member Richardson suggested selecting an interim chair of the committee to serve between November 2016 and February 2017 in case any committee business needs to be conducted. Dr. Walthers confirmed a committee chair would not be needed during the interim period. A selection for chair and vice chair will be made at the first meeting in 2017.

Committee Member Rafferty thanked Mr. Hilker for attending the meeting.

#### 7. Adjournment

On a motion by Hugh Rafferty, seconded by David Richardson, the meeting was adjourned at 4:48 p.m.

(Ayes: Huggins, Manning, Nissinen-Harlow, Rafferty, Richardson, Shea, Wolf; Noes: None; Absent: Thompson)

# 2016 Annual Report to the Board of Trustees

4311

Bond Measure I Citizens' Oversight Committee

Presented May 9, 2017



## 2016 Citizens' Oversight Committee members

Michael Huggins Aloysia Shea Student Representatives

**Robert Manning** Support Organization

Lisa Nissinen-Harlow Community at-large

Hugh Rafferty Taxpayers Association

**David Richardson** Business Organization

**Bill Thompson** Senior Organization

Kenneth Wolf Community at-large

## **DISTRICT STAFF**

Kevin G. Walthers, Ph.D. Superintendent/President

Michael Black Associate Superintendent Vice President, Finance & Administration

**Felix Hernandez Jr.** Vice President, Operations

Melinda Martinez Executive Secretary to the Superintendent/President

Andrew Masuda Director, Public Affairs & Communications

**Carol Moore** Director, Information Technology Services

Cover Image: Public Safety Training Complex <u>Fire Tower</u>



Seated left to right: Aloysia Shea, Michael Huggins, Lisa Nissinen-Harlow Standing left to right: Robert Manning, David Richardson, Hugh Rafferty, Kenneth Wolf, and Kevin G. Walthers, Ph.D., superintendent/president, Not pictured: Bill Thompson

## LETTER FROM THE CHAIR



It has been my honor and privilege to serve as the chair of the Allan Hancock College Measure I Citizens' Oversight Committee for the last two years.

Since it has from the beginning, the committee has continued to be provided detailed information by the college's management and staff concerning the progress and expenditures related to the various projects identified in the 2006 Measure I Bond.

It is the opinion of this committee that based on the oversight activities and the independent financial and performance audits noted herein, the college remains in full compliance with the requirements of article XIII A, Section 1(b) (3) of the Constitution of the State of California.

Our committee trusts you will find the annual report accurate, informative, and comprehensive.

We should all be proud to have an institution like Allan Hancock College in our community. I urge all of you to visit our campuses in Santa Maria and Lompoc, so you can witness firsthand the beautiful facilities that Bond Measure I has created. From catching a football game on campus, purchasing student-made wine, attending a fire academy or law enforcement training graduation at the Public Safety Training Complex, to watching a PCPA production, the face and infrastructure of the college have transformed in ways that will positively serve our community for generations to come.

On a personal note, I completed my four-year term on the committee at the end of 2016. It has been extremely gratifying to have served my community and helped to ensure the college remains in full compliance. I am confident Allan Hancock College will continue to be recognized as one of the top community colleges in the nation.

Very truly yours,

Nissina-Harlen

Lisa A. Nissinen-Harlow Chairperson Measure I Citizens' Oversight Committee

## **Bond Measure I Overview**

Northern Santa Barbara County residents voted June 6, 2006, to approve Measure I, the \$180 million general obligation facilities bond to improve Allan Hancock College. Funding from the bond is helping the college modernize technology, upgrade the failing infrastructure of decades-old classrooms and labs, and build new teaching and learning spaces.

Measure I was presented to voters under the provision of Proposition 39, stipulating that at least 55 percent of voters approve a measure for its passage. It passed with 56.9 percent of the vote.

## The Citizens' Oversight Committee

As promised to voters and required by law, on August 15, 2006, the Allan Hancock College Board of Trustees adopted Resolution No. 06-35, establishing the Measure I Citizens' Oversight Committee.

The laws governing implementation of the Citizens' Oversight Committee require a minimum of seven members be selected based on criteria established by Proposition 39:

- One active member from the following: a business organization representing the business community located in the district; a senior citizens' organization; a bona-fide taxpayers association; a support organization for the college; and, a student enrolled in a community college support group.
- Two members of the community at-large.

Members of the Citizens' Oversight Committee are appointed for one- or two-year terms and may not serve more than two consecutive terms. Members serve without compensation. Meetings are open to the public and subject to the Brown Act.

## Recognition



Kenneth Wolf, Kevin G. Walthers, Ph.D., superintendent/president, Lisa Nissenen-Harlow.

The Citizens' Oversight Committee thanks the following committee members for their terms served ending in 2016:

Lisa Nissinen-Harlow, four years of service Kenneth Wolf, four years of service



Committee members meeting in August 2016



Athletics Field



Open Access Computer Lab (OACL) in ARC



Fire Academy Demo

## Period covered by the report

This report covers the time period of January 1 – December 31, 2016.

## Statement of purpose/ responsibilities of the Citizens' Oversight Committee

The Measure I Citizens' Oversight Committee, with members representing the various facets of our communities, serves as the representative of local residents to monitor the expenditures of Measure I funds. The Citizens' Oversight Committee reviews the progress and expenditure reports to ensure that bond proceeds were expended only for the purposes set forth in the Measure I Bond, and reports their findings annually to the Allan Hancock College Board of Trustees via this report.

# Report on independent financial and performance audits

The district has received, and the Citizens' Oversight Committee has reviewed, the financial and performance audit for the Measure I Bond Construction Fund for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2016. Vavrinek, Trine, Day & Co., Certified Public Accountants, prepared the report to comply with Proposition 39 accountability within the California Constitution.

Vavrinek, Trine, Day & Co. stated, "In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds of the District at June 30, 2016, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America." The auditors further noted, "There were no audit findings reported in the prior year's Financial Statement Findings."

# Financial compliance confirmation

All expenditures authorized by Measure I have been reviewed by the Citizens' Oversight Committee to ensure the money was spent only on improvements projects as required by Proposition 39. All funds expended from Measure I will be audited annually by an independent accounting firm.

The Citizens' Oversight Committee has reviewed expenditures and projects, and finds the district is in compliance with the requirements of Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution and consistent with the district's approved Measure I local bond measure.

## Allan Hancock Joint Community College District

#### General Obligation Bond Expenditure Report

#### September 1, 2006 – December 31, 2016

Total General Obligation	
Bond Authorization:	\$180,000,000
Series A Issuance:	\$68,000,000
Series B and B1 Issuance:	\$29,999,556
Series C Issuance:	\$38,860,309
Series D Issuance:	
General Obligation Bond Balance	
to be Issued:	\$34,366,759

#### REVENUE

Series A Issuance:	\$68,000,000
Premium on Sale of Bonds Issuance A: .	\$528,271
Series B and B1 Issuance:	. \$29,999,556
Premium on Sale of Bonds	
Issuance B and B1:	\$115,261
Series C Issuance:	.\$38,860,309
Premium on Sale of Bonds Issuance C: .	\$135,891
Series D Issuance:	\$8,773,376
Premium on Sale of Bonds Issuance D: .	\$70,187
Interest:	\$7,253,142
TOTAL AVAILABLE REVENUE:	. \$153,735,993

#### EXPENDITURES (January 1 - December 31, 2016)

Public Safety Complex
One-Stop Student Services Center\$65,530
Industrial Technology Modernization/Addition . \$15,654
Child Care Center Addition\$4,828
Parking Lot One Expansion \$282
Forum Lighting - AV Project\$109,330
Instructional Equipment Modernization \$302,827
Technology Equipment Modernization \$427,826
Technology VOIP Equipment \$24,326
<b>TOTAL EXPENDITURES 2016</b> : \$1,442,332
Total Prior Period Expenditures: \$149,985,974
GRAND TOTAL EXPENDITURES: \$151,428,306

ENDING BALANCE:		\$2,307,687
-----------------	--	-------------

## **Measure | Projects Status**

### **Current Capital Construction Projects**

#### **Public Safety Training Complex**



This project includes construction of: 36,678 sq. ft. academic building; 8,568 sq. ft. six-story fire tower; 12,286 sq. ft. apparatus storage building; a 42,406 sq. ft. shooting range; scenario village; prop house; fitness track; skid pad/slow speed driving skills area; and a one-mile emergency vehicle operations course (EVOC). The project received LEED, a Gold certification.

The Public Safety Training Complex remains partially occupied and has now been the home of four law enforcement and seven fire academy class graduations. It is anticipated that the Law Enforcement Academy shooting range will be available for

district use by the 2017 program year. After installation of the shooting range baffle system the permitting agency, Division of the State Architect (DSA), mandated further DSA plan-check review of the system design. Reconstruction of the baffle system continued through this past reporting period.

The district has identified corrective action in the burn building that needs to take place to ensure safety and maximum utility of the facility. The concrete elevations adjacent to the building require an architectural remedy to provide a level training surface. The district intends to restore the original design interior heat-protective wall tiles in all locations that were not installed originally as part of the value engineering process.

Of the current project budget, a total of 99 percent has been committed thus far.

Architect: MVE Institutional Inc. | Construction Manager: Kitchell CEM, Inc. | Contractor: Sinanian Development Inc. Revised Total Project Budget: \$37,930,509 | 2016 Project Expenditures: \$491,729

## **Future Capital Construction Projects**

#### Industrial Technology and Physical Education/Athletic Fields, Concession/Restroom Building



The concession/restroom building & vineyard trellis project constructs an approximately 1,130 sq. ft. concession/restroom building. The building contains a concession area, storage, restrooms, and training room. The project will be located adjacent to the softball and baseball fields that were developed as part of the Industrial Technology and Physical Education/Athletic Fields project. The project design also includes a 320 sq. ft. vineyard picnic area wood trellis shade structure funded from donations.

#### Fine Arts Complex



The Fine Arts Complex is a new 68,000 sq. ft., two-story building that includes visual arts, multi-media and applied design, photography, film and video, dance, music, and shared amenities. The project consolidates all of the fine arts and performing arts (except theatre arts) currently in buildings D (Theatre Arts Center), E (Music), F (Fine Arts), O (Industrial Technology), and S (Community Education), into one Fine Arts Complex.

A Final Project Proposal (FPP) was submitted to the state for the Fine Arts Complex in June 2014. The state's construction bond passed in November 2016. The district is now waiting for matching funds from the state.

Of the current project budget, a total of 13.4 percent has been committed thus far.

Architect: TBD | Construction Manager: TBD | Contractor: Pending Future Award Total Project Budget: \$39,198,920

## **Technology Projects**

#### **Technology Equipment Modernization**

The Technology Advisory Committee (TAC) recommended 170 technology modernization projects in 2016. The major projects included the following:





10.000	
Trans and	
Condition of the	AND IN COMPANY OF THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OF
1000000000	
and the second s	
and the second second	
and the second se	Contraction of the local division of the loc
Contraction of the local division of the loc	the born of the born of the born by the second states of the second

- Lompoc Valley Center public address system
- PCPA sound editing station
- Network storage for the data center
- Computers for the Library stacks
- Computers for the Writing Center
- Smart podium for new classroom
- Computers for new faculty
- iPad tablets for library loaner program
- Laptops for science labs

- Computers for Open Access
   Computer Lab (OACL) in ARC
- Finger Print Scan system
- ASBG computers for the LVC
- Communication system for PCPA
- Communication system for athletics
- Classroom data projectors
- Laptops and software for music classes
- Computers for the Writing Center

Project Manager: Carol Moore, director, Information Technology Services Nancy Meddings, dean, Academic Affairs
Vendors: Various | Total Project Budget: Measure | Funds \$11,066,046
2016 Project Expenditures: \$745,465

Of the project budget, a total of 96 percent has been committed.

### SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE

#### **Project Name**

Building D Repairs and Upgrades

Parking Lot 1 Expansion

Copper Cabling Project

Building C Roof, Paint, and Flooring

LVC Chiller Replacement

LVC EMS Upgrade & HVAC Repair

Pool Resurfacing

Building N Roof, Phase III

#### Scheduled Maintenance Total: \$13,765,466

M300 HVAC Upgrades Phase I

Phase | Energy

Roof Repair and Replacement, Buildings E, F, G & M300

Audio Visual, Skills & Science

Underground Fuel/Oil Tank Repair & Replacement

Campus Upgrade to VOIP

Copper Cabling Project







Building C

## **Projects Successfully Completed by Bond Measure I**

## **CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION**



Academic Resource Center Project total: \$3,317,135

Year Completed: 2006



**Community Education** Building

Project total: \$1,971,485 Year Completed: 2007



**Science Building** Project total: \$4,611,072 Year Completed: 2007







Measure I online

please write, call, or email:

800 South College Drive Santa Maria, CA 93454-6399 Ph: (805) 922-6966 ext. 3454

(www.hancockcollege.edu/measurei).

Melinda Martinez, executive secretary to the superintendent/president

melinda.martinez1@hancockcollege.edu

**Childcare Center** Project total: \$8,371,957 Year Completed: 2013



- - day stary the agent farm

Industrial Technology/ **Physical Education** and Athletic Fields (Buildings and Fields)

Project total: \$24,730,060 Year Completed: 2014

# Addition

The Citizens' Oversight Committee met on March 1, 2016 and August 3, 2016.

website. To learn more about Measure I, including news articles and information materials, go to

Measure I Citizens' Oversight Committee contact information

The agendas, minutes, and all reports for Measure I Citizens' Oversight Committee meetings are posted on the college's

www.hancockcollege.edu and click the orange "Bond Measure I" link on the Allan Hancock College home page

To contact Allan Hancock College regarding Bond Measure I, or members of the Citizens' Oversight Committee,



2016 ANNUAL REPORT TO THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

7

## 2016 Allan Hancock Joint Community College District Board of Trustees

**Mr. Gregory A. Pensa, President** Area 5 (Casmalia, Santa Ynez Valley, Vandenberg Air Force Base)

Mr. Tim Bennett, Vice President Area 2 (Southeast Santa Maria, Orcutt, Cuyama Valley)

**Mr. Bernard E. Jones, Trustee** Area 4 (Lompoc Valley)

Mr. Larry Lahr, Trustee Area 3 (Southern Santa Maria, Guadalupe)

**Ms. Hilda Zacarías, Trustee** Area 1 (Central & Northern Santa Maria)

Mr. Carson Link Student Representative

Kevin G. Walthers, Ph.D. Superintendent/President



800 South College Drive, Santa Maria, CA 93454-6399 www.hancockcollege.edu/measurei (805) 922-6966

#### **Annual Report**

Editors: Melinda Martinez Andrew Masuda

Design & Printing: Matt MacPherson Allan Hancock College Campus Graphics

## **MEASURE I**

## CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MEETING

## **APRIL 10, 2017**

## FINANCIAL REPORT

Bond Income and Expenditure Summary Income Statement Projects List Project Expenditure Summary Cash Flow Report



**Administrative Services** 

#### Bond Income and Expenditure Summary

The first series of general obligation bonds was issued in September 2006 in the amount of \$68,000,000. The bonds were sold at a premium (an amount in excess of face value) and generated a total of \$68,528,271. The premium amount was designed to cover the cost of issuance with any remaining amount to be paid to the county treasurer for repayment of outstanding bonds. Costs of issuance totaled \$ 500,544 and \$27,727 has been paid to the county treasurer leaving \$68,000,000 available for approved bond projects.

The second series of general obligation bonds was issued in October 2009 for a total of \$30,114,817. The cost of issuance totaled \$115,003 leaving \$29,999,814 available for approved bond projects.

The third series of general obligation bonds was issued in September 2012 for a total of \$38,996,200. The cost of issuance totaled \$135,891 leaving \$38,860,309 available for approved bond projects.

The fourth series of general obligation bonds was issued in December 2013 for a total of \$8,843,563. The cost of issuance totaled \$221,187 leaving \$8,622,376 available for approved bond projects.

As of December 31, 2016, interest income in the amount of \$7,253,141 had been received.

The following summary reflects projected Series A, Series B and B1, Series C, and Series D bond proceeds available for projects:

Sale of Series A bonds	\$ 68,528,271
Sales of Series B and B1 bonds	\$ 30,114,817
Sales of Series C bonds	\$ 38,996,200
Sales of Series D bonds	\$ 8,843,563
Interest Income: 2006-07 through 2015-16	\$ 7,242,866
2016-17 est.	<u>\$ 25,000</u>
Total	\$153,750,717
Less: Cost of Issuance	<u>\$ 871,815</u>
Proceeds Projected for Bond Projects	<u>\$152,878,902</u>

As of December 31, 2016, \$151,428,306 has been spent on bond-related projects (including cost of issuance).

The following summary reflects major categories of expe	nditures:	
Cost of Issuance		\$ 871,815
COP Repayment		\$ 8,275,496
Project Management		\$ 3,300,797
Construction Projects		
Public Safety Complex	\$38,217,399	
Skills Center	\$ 1,971,485	
Science Building	\$ 4,611,072	
One-Stop Center	\$21,295,953	
Industrial Tech Building	\$24,730,060	
Child Care Addition	\$ 8,371,957	
Facilities/Utilities Master Plan	\$ 634,231	
Fine Arts Complex	\$ 4,180,800	
Physical Education Addition	\$ 349,445	
Theater Arts Project	\$ 305.049	
Total Construction		\$104,667,451
Scheduled Maintenance		\$ 13,914,291
Technology		\$ 20,398,456
Total		<u>\$151,428,306</u>

#### Allan Hancock College Governmental Funds Group Gen Oblig Bonds Building Fund Income Statement by Fund For Period Ending 12/31/2016

Budget	Actual	% Budget
25,000	10,276	41.10
25,000	10,276	41.10
5,344	2,098	39.26
167,068	122,212	73.15
1,533,064	528,101	34.44
1,705,477	652,412	38.25
(1,680,477)	(642,136)	
(1,680,477)	(642,136)	
2,949,823	2,949,824	
1,269,345	2,307,687	
	25,000 25,000 5,344 167,068 1,533,064 1,705,477 (1,680,477) (1,680,477) 2,949,823	25,000         10,276           25,000         10,276           25,000         10,276           5,344         2,098           167,068         122,212           1,533,064         528,101           1,705,477         652,412           (1,680,477)         (642,136)           (1,680,477)         (642,136)           2,949,823         2,949,824

#### ALLAN HANCOCK COLLEGE GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS ELECTION OF 2006, SERIES A, B, C, AND D PROJECT LIST PROJECTED EXPENDITURES 2006-07 THROUGH 2016-17

PROJECTE	ED EXPENDITURES 20	06-07 THROUGH 20	116-17	¥	
Beginning Balance Projected Interest Revenue Premium on Sale of Bonds	\$68,000,000.00 \$7,267,866.12 \$528,271.00				\$180,000,000 \$7,267,86\ \$528,271
Series B Issuance	\$29,999,814.00				\$0
Premium on Sale of Bonds	\$115,003.00				\$115,003
Series C Issuance Premium on Sale of Bonds	\$38,660,309.00 \$136,891.00				\$0 \$135,891
Series D Issuance	\$6,773,376.00				\$0
Premium on Sale of Bonds	\$70,187.00				\$70,187
	Eleven Year Project Total	Ten Year Actual	Proposed 2016-17	Future Years Budget	Project Grand Total
	TOPOLITORAL	710000		Daugor	
1. Cost of issuance Subtotal Cost of issuance	871,815	871,815 871,815		0	871,81
2. COP Refinance (Repayment)	-				-
a. Student Center	4,959,361	4,958,361	0	0	4,958,36
b. Library Media/Technology Center	3,317,135	3,317,135	0	0	3,317,13
Subtotal COP Refinance	8,275,496	8,275,496	0	0	6,275,49
3. Project Augmentation – State Match	×				
a. Science/Health Occupations	4,611,073	4,611,073	0	0	4,611,07
b. Skills Center	1,971,485	1,971,485	0	0	1,971,46
4. Construction Projects			0		
<ul> <li>a. One Stop Student Center Complex Addition (Preliminary Plans, Working Drawings, &amp; Construction Funding)</li> </ul>	21,255,647	21,255,647	Ŏ	0	20,560,90
<ul> <li>b. Public Safety Complex (Preliminary Plans, Working Drawings, &amp; Construction Funding)</li> </ul>	38,115,610	38,049,434	66,176	0	37,930,50
<ul> <li>c. Childcare Center (Preliminary Plans, Working Drawings, &amp; Construction Funding)</li> </ul>	8,366,598	8,371,957	14,641	D	8,386,59
<ul> <li>Industrial Technologies Modernization and Addition (Initial Project Proposal and Final Project Proposal</li> </ul>	25,053,520	24,729,802	323,718	0	25,053,52
e. Physical Education Addition	352,445	349,445	3,000	3	352,44
f. Fine Arts Complex	4,441,757	4,180,798	260,959	34,757,161	39,198,91
g. Theater Arts Project	362,247	305,049	57,198	944,436	1,306,68
5. Facilities and Utilities Master Plan	634,231	634,231	0	0	634,23
Subtotal Project Augmentation/Construction	105,184,614	104,458,922	725,692	35,701,600	140,006,37
6. Scheduled Maintenance Projects	13,918,008	13,623,421	94,587	448	13,918,45
Subtotal Scheduled Maintenance	13,918,008	13,823,421	94,587	448	13,918,45
7. Mainframe Replacement	8,115,513	6,115,513	0	0	8,115,51
8. Technology/Instructional Equipment Modernization	10,957,446	10,072,989	884,457	o	10,957,44
9. VOIP Project	1,456,327	1,456,327	0	0	1,456,32
10. Technology General	400,614	400,614	0	0	400,61
Subtotal Technology	20,929,900	20,045,443	884,457	0	20,929,90
10. Project Management	3,301,539	3,300,797 3,300,797	742	0	<u> </u>
Subtotal Project Management				. · ·	
Subtotal Project Management OTAL BUDGET	152,481.371	150,775,894	1,705,478	35,702,048	187.303.578
· •	152,481,371	150,775,894	1,705,478	35,702,048	187,303,578 013,640

## GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND FINANCIAL REPORT 12/31/2016

	2016-17 ADOPTED BUDGET	2016-17 WORKING BUDGET	2016-17 ACTUAL TOTAL	2016-17 ENCUMBRANCE TOTAL	2016-17 BALANCE
GO BOND/GENERAL					
71700002 GO Bond Project Management					
Operating Expenses and Service	742	742			742
TOTAL GO Bond Project Management	742	742		1/12	742
TOTAL GO BOND/GENERAL	742	742		-	742
GO BOND/CONSTRUCTION					
71710005 Public Sfty Cmplx-Construction					
Books, Supplies and Materials	5		17	1954 - C	-
Operating Expenses and Service	46,634	46,634	10,125	23,662	12,848
Capital Outlay	19,501	19,501	55,433	63,074	(99,006
TOTAL Public Sfty Cmplx-Construction	66,135	66,135	65,558	86,736	(86,159
71710006 Public Sfty Cmplx-Equipment					
Books, Supplies and Materials	41	41		893 1	41
Operating Expenses and Service	-		2	.7	5
Capital Outlay			<u> </u>		7
TOTAL Public Sfty Cmplx-Equipment	41	41	2	2.4	41
71710046 Public Sfty Cmplx-Post Construction					
Books, Supplies and Materials				7. <b>e</b> )	*
Operating Expenses and Service	5	5	85,797	166,285	(252,082)
Capital Outlay	÷ .	· · · ·	16,609	12,891	(29,500)
TOTAL Public Sfty Cmplx-Post Construction	5		102,407	179,175	(281,582)
TOTAL Public Safety Complex	66,176	66,176	167,965	265,911	(367,700)
. 1710017 One-Stop Std Svc Ctr Addtn Construction					
Books, Supplies and Materials	2	3	24		-
Operating Expenses and Service	÷	-	-	311	(311)
Capital Outlay		<u> </u>		(P (P	-
TOTAL One-Stop Std Svc Ctr Add Construction	*	э		311	(311)
71710117 One-Stop Std Svc Ctr Post Construction					
Books, Supplies and Materials	5	77	3	872	5 <b>5</b> 5
Operating Expenses and Service Capital Outlay	8		18,583	51,265	(69,848)
TOTAL One-Stop Std Svc Ctr Post Construction	B		18,583	51,265	(69,848)
71710018 One-Stop Std Svc Ctr Addtn Equipment					
Books, Supplies and Materials	-	*		2 <b>4</b> 0	843
Operating Expenses and Service		×			
Capital Outlay			1,376	<u> </u>	(1,376)
IOTAL One-Stop Std Svc Ctr Addtn Equipment	( <b>*</b> )	*	1,376		(1,376)
71711017 One-Stop Std Svcs Bldg A Construction					
Books, Supplies and Materials			3 <b>9</b> 5		3 <b>7</b> 3
Operating Expenses and Service	141	÷	10	6,305	(6,305)
Capital Outlay			20,348		(28,386)
OTAL One-Stop Std Svcs Bldg A Construction	221	-	20,348	14,343	(34,691)
1711917 One-Stop Std Svcs Bldg A Construction BAB					
Operating Expenses and Service	5 <b>7</b>		<del></del>		840. 1
Capital Outlay				69,454	(69,454)
AL One-Stop Std Svcs Bldg A Construction BAB	(5)			69,454	(69,454)
OTAL One-Stop Student Services Center	0	0	40,306	135,373	(175,679)
		÷	40,306	135,373	(175,679)

#### GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND FINANCIAL REPORT 12/31/2016

	2016-17 ADOPTED BUDGET	2016-17 WORKING BUDGET	2016-17 ACTUAL TOTAL	2016-17 ENCUMBRANCE TOTAL	2016-17 BALANCE
71710022 Indstr Tech Mdr/Add-Working Drawings	BODGLI	DODGE	1015	10112	WACHTLE
Books, Supplies and Materials	253			1976	5
Operating Expenses and Service	350	5			1
Capital Outlay	3.803	3,803	14		3,803
TOTAL Indstr Tech Mdr/Add-Working Drawings	3,803	3,803	1	٠	3,803
71710023 Indstr Tech Mdr/Add-Construction					
Books, Supplies and Materials	111	111			111
Operating Expenses and Service	9,673	9,673	258	7,661	1,755
Capital Outlay	307,514	307,514		28,686	278,828
TOTAL Indstr Tech Mdr/Add-Construction	317,298	317,298	258	36,347	280,693
71710024 Indstr Tech Mdr/Add-Equipment					
Books, Supplies and Materials	120		22	20 <b>4</b> 1	-
Operating Expenses and Service	343			.e.	
Capital Outlay	2,617	2,617	<u> </u>		2,617
TOTAL Indstr Tech Mdr/Add-Equipment	2,617	2,617	- 258	36,347	2,617
TOTAL Industrial Tech Modernization	323,718	323,718	236	30,347	287,113
71710029 Child Care Ctr Addt/Wrk Drawings					
Books, Supplies and Materials	1	-	-	2.#2	-
Operating Expenses and Service	-	- 2		-	
Capital Outlay TOTAL Child Care Ctr Addt/Wrk Drawings	3	3 -	*		3
71710030 Child Care Ctr Addt/Construction					
Books, Supplies and Materials	2 (02	2,002	11771 1774		
Operating Expenses and Service	3,683 10,955	3,683 10,955		-	3,683 10,955
Capital Outlay TOTAL Child Care Ctr Addt/Construction	14,638	14,638			14,638
TOTAL Child Care Center Addition	14,638 14,641	14,641			14,641
71710034 Fine Arts Complex-Working Drawings					
Operating Expenses and Service	2		2	5 g	
Capital Outlay	260,959	260,959			260,959
TOTAL Fine Arts Complex-Working Drawings	260,959	260,959	-		260,959
TOTAL Fine Arts Complex	260,959	260,959			260,959
71710020 Theater Arts Project - Merking Providers					
71710039 Theater Arts Project - Working Drawings Operating Expenses and Service	4,004	4,004	-	2	4,004
Capital Outlay	22,179	22,179			22,179
TOTAL Theater Arts Project - Working Drawings	26,183	26,183		-	26,183
71710041 Theater Arts Pr] - Equip Phs					
Books, Supplies and Materials	<b>a</b> 1	222	2	2	-
Operating Expenses and Service	-	20		2	-
Capital Outlay	31,015	31,015	-		31,015
TOTAL Theater Arts Project - Equipment Phase	31,015	31,015	2		31,015
TOTAL Theater Arts Project	57,198	57,198	2	-	57,198
71710043 Phys Ed Addt-Preliminary Plans					
Operating Expenses and Service	(41)	(a)		×	-
Capital Outlay	3,000	3,000			3,000
TOTAL Phys Ed Addt - Preliminary Plans	3,000	3,000		<del></del>	3,000
TOTAL Physical Education Addition	3,000	3,000			3,000
TOTAL GO BOND/CONSTRUCTION	725,692	725,692	208,529	437,631	79,532
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

## GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND FINANCIAL REPORT 12/31/2016

	2016-17 ADOPTED	2016-17 WORKING	2016-17 ACTUAL	2016-17 ENCUMBRANCE	2016-17
	BUDGET	BUDGET	TOTAL	TOTAL	BALANCE
GO BOND/SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE					
71720008 Fire Alarm/Emr Call Sys-WD					
Capital Outlay	182 _			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	18
TOTAL Fire Alarm/Emr Call Sys-WD	182	182	*	(#)	18
TOTAL Fire Alarm Call System	182	182	*	5 <b>2</b> 5	18
71720910 LVC Roof/ADA Upgrades-Wrk Drw B1					
Books, Supplies and Materials		•		5 <b>7</b> 3	•
Operating Expenses and Service Capital Outlay	782 2,500	782 2,500	20 20	27 197	78 2,50
TOTAL LVC Roof/ADA Upgrades-Wrk Drw B1	3,282	3,282			3,28
TOTAL LVC Roof/ADA Upgrades	3,282	3,282			3,28
71720018 Bldg D Roof/HVAC/ADA-Wrk Drwg					
Capital Outlay	983	983	8		98
TOTAL Bidg D Roof/HVAC/ADA-Wrk Drwg	983	983	2		98
TOTAL Bidg D Roof/HVAC/ADA	983	983	5	-	98
71720021 Parking Lot 1 Expn, Phase II Construction					
Books, Supplies and Materials		-		1.	
Operating Expenses and Service	282	-	-	-	-
Capital Outlay	2	282	282		
TOTAL Parking Lot 1 Expn, Phase II Construction	282	282	282		1.75
TOTAL Parking Lot 1 Expn, Phase II	282	282	282		0.50
720060 Forum Lighting and AV					
Capital Outlay	89,858	89,858	90,588	<u> </u>	(73
TOTAL Forum Lighting and AV	89,858	89,858	90,588		(73
TOTAL GO BOND/SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE	94,587	94,587	90,870		3,71
GO BOND/TECHNOLOGY					
71730002 GO Bond-Inst Equip Modernization					
Books, Supplies and Materials	1,632	1,632	2,099	160	(46
Operating Expenses and Service	26,721	26,721	3,000	88	23,72
Capital Outlay	451,270	451,270	151,067	10,368	289,83
TOTAL GO Bond-Inst Equip Modernization	479,623	479,623	156,166	10,368	313,08
71730003 GO Bond-Tech Equipment Modernization					
Books, Supplies and Materials	3,561	3,561	-	200	3,56
Operating Expenses and Service	74,828	74,828	4,450	) <b>e</b> (	70,378
Capital Outlay	326,444	326,444	192,398		103,819
TOTAL GO Bond-Tech Equipment Modernization	404,834	404,834	196,848	30,228	177,75
TOTAL GO BOND/TECHNOLOGY	884,456	884,456	353,013	40,596	490,847
GO BOND CONTINGENCY					
71799999 GO Bond Contingency					
2. Source of the containgency		5			2
Capital Outlay					
Capital Outlay Other Outgo	1,269,346	1,269,346			1,269,346
Capital Outlay	1,269,346 1,269,346	<u>1,269,346</u> <b>1,269,346</b>			1,269,346 1,269,346

AHC GL8060

	Total	146,482,852 7,253,141	153,735,993	871,815	8,2/2,496 3,300,797 104,667,450 13,914,291 20,398,456	151,428,306	2,307,688		
	12/31/2016	0 10,276	10,276	0	0 227,495 109,612 354,263	691,370	-681,094	2,307,688	
	6/30/2016 12/31/2016	23,593	23,593	0	0 350,244 0 376,715	726,959	-703,366	2,988,782	
	12/31/2015	16,487	16,487	Û	0 397,648 5,654 353,083	756,385	-739,898	3,692,148	
	6/30/2015	16,229	16,229	-5,515	2,450 628,983 46,137 596,726	1,268,781	-1,252,552	4,432,046	
	12/31/2014	11,112	11,112	2,182	2,361 180,781 12,578 250,591	448,493	437,382	5,684,598	
General Obligation Bond Building Fund Cash Flow Report	9/30/2014	15,644	15,644	3,333	3,909 3,725,223 19,623 135,544	3,887,632	-3,871,98\$	6,121,979	
	6/30/2014	17,541	17,541		193 4,139,102 174,917 465,388	4,779,600	4,762,059	9,993,966	
	3/31/2014	20,345	20,345	-1,753	6,320,285 19,099 179,742	6,517,373	-6,497,028	21,253,053 14,756,025	
	12/31/2013	8,843,564 24,754	8,868,318	224,403	7,280,394 574,620 289,621	8,369,038	499,280		
Ğ	6102/0£/6	30,511	30,511	1	3,430,823 931,960 103,402	4,466,185	4,435,674	20,753,773	
	6/30/2013	43,820	43,820	-130,000	-1,535,292 19,024,977 3,249,711 499,383	21,108,779	-21,064,959	25,189,447	
	12/31/2012 3/31/2013	41,587	41,587	-9,109	534 9,626,270 473,419 651,895	10,743,009	-7,775,684 -10,701,422	46,254,406	
	12/31/2012	46,199	46,199	124,000	3,722 7,413,447 47,711 233,003	7,821,883		64.731.512 56.955.828 46,254,406 25.189,447 20,753,773	
	Prior Quarters	137,639,288 6,935,042	144,574,330	664,274	4,822,920 41,921,778 8,249,250 15,909,100	79,842,818	64,731,512	64,731,512	
	Quarter Ending:	Income Bond Proceeds Interest		Expenditures Fiscal Services Cost of Issuance	COF Repayment Bond Project Management Construction Scheduled Maintenance Technology		Net Cash	Cash Balance	

ŝ

#### ALLAN HANCOCK JOINT COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

#### MEASURE I GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS FINANCIAL AND PERFORMANCE AUDITS

JUNE 30, 2016

#### ALLAN HANCOCK JOINT COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

#### MEASURE I GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS FINANCIAL AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2016

#### FINANCIAL AUDIT TABLE OF CONTENTS JUNE 30, 2016

#### FINANCIAL SECTION

Independent Auditor's Report	2
Measure I General Obligation Bonds	
Balance Sheet	4
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance	5
Notes to Financial Statements	6

#### **INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT**

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards* 

14

#### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Financial Statement Findings	17
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	18

FINANCIAL SECTION



#### **INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT**

Governing Board and Citizens Oversight Committee Allan Hancock Joint Community College District Santa Maria, California

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Allan Hancock Joint Community College District's (the District), Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statement in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of financial statements, whether due to error or fraud. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the District's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting principles used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

#### Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) of the District at June 30, 2016, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### **Emphasis of Matter**

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I), and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and changes in financial position of the District in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 9, 2016, on our consideration of the District's Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

awinek, Time, Day & Co. LLP.

Rancho Cucamonga, California December 9, 2016

# BALANCE SHEET JUNE 30, 2016

ASSETS Investments Accounts receivable Total Assets	\$ 6,160,922 9,332 6,170,254
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE	
LIABILITIES Accounts payable	\$ 3,220,430
FUND BALANCE	
Restricted for capital projects	 2,949,824
Total Liabilities and	
Fund Balance	\$ 6,170,254

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

#### STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016

REVENUES	
Interest income	\$ 31,828
EXPENDITURES	
Supplies and materials	15,768
Services and operating expenditures	348,227
Capital outlay	903,457
Total Expenditures	 1,267,452
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	(1,235,624)
FUND BALANCE - BEGINNING OF YEAR	 4,185,448
FUND BALANCE - END OF YEAR	\$ 2,949,824

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

#### NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting policies of the Allan Hancock Joint Community College District's (the District) Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA).

#### **Financial Reporting Entity**

The financial statements include only the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) of the District used to account for Measure I projects. This Fund was established to account for the expenditures of general obligation bonds issued under Measure I. These financial statements are not intended to present fairly the financial position and changes in financial position of the District in compliance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### **Fund Accounting**

The operations of the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) are accounted for in a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures. Resources are allocated to and accounted for in the fund based upon the purpose for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled.

#### **Basis of Accounting**

The Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) is accounted for using a flow of current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. With this measurement focus, only current assets and current liabilities generally are included on the balance sheet. The statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance reports on the sources (revenues and other financing sources) and uses (expenditures and other financing uses) of current financial resources. These fund financial statements do not include the adoption of Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, as the District was not required to adopt GASB Statement No. 54 under the reporting requirements of GASB Statement No. 35.

#### **Budgets and Budgetary Accounting**

Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for all governmental funds. The District's governing board adopts an operating budget no later than July 1 in accordance with State law. A public hearing must be conducted to receive comments prior to adoption. The District's governing board satisfied these requirements. The Board revises this budget during the year to give consideration to unanticipated revenue and expenditures primarily resulting from events unknown at the time of budget adoption. The District employs budget control by minor object and by individual appropriation accounts. Expenditures cannot legally exceed appropriations by major object account.

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

#### Encumbrances

The District utilizes an encumbrance accounting system under which purchase orders, contracts and other commitments for the expenditure of monies are recorded in order to reserve that portion of the applicable appropriation. Encumbrances are liquidated when the commitments are paid and all outstanding encumbrances lapse at June 30.

#### Fund Balance - Measure I General Obligation Bonds (Measure I)

As of June 30, 2016, the fund balance is classified as follows:

**Restricted** - amounts that can be spent only for specific purposes because of constitutional provisions or enabling legislation or because of constraints that are externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or the laws or regulations of other governments.

#### **Use of Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures/expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### **Change in Accounting Principles**

In February 2015, the GASB issued Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*. This Statement addresses accounting and financial reporting issues related to fair value measurements. The definition of *fair value* is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. This Statement provides guidance for determining a fair value measurement for financial reporting purposes. This Statement also provides guidance for applying fair value to certain investments and disclosures related to all fair value measurements.

The District has implemented the provisions of this Statement as of June 30, 2016.

In June 2015, the GASB issued Statement No. 76, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles for State and Local Governments*. The objective of this Statement is to identify—in the context of the current governmental financial reporting environment—the hierarchy of generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The "GAAP hierarchy" consists of the sources of accounting principles used to prepare financial statements of State and local governmental entities in conformity with GAAP and the framework for selecting those principles. This Statement reduces the GAAP hierarchy to two categories of authoritative GAAP and addresses the use of authoritative and non-authoritative literature in the event that the accounting treatment for a transaction or other event is not specified within a source of authoritative GAAP.

This Statement supersedes GASB Statement No. 55, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles for State and Local Governments*.

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

The District has implemented the provisions of this Statement as of June 30, 2016.

In December 2015, the GASB issued Statement No. 79, *Certain External Investment Pools and Pool Participants*. This Statement addresses accounting and financial reporting for certain external investment pools and pool participants. Specifically, it establishes criteria for an external investment pool to qualify for making the election to measure all of its investments at amortized cost for financial reporting purposes. An external investment pool qualifies for that reporting if it meets all of the applicable criteria established in this Statement. The specific criteria address (1) how the external investment pool transacts with participants; (2) requirements for portfolio maturity, quality, diversification, and liquidity; and (3) calculation and requirements of a shadow price. Significant noncompliance prevents the external investment pool from measuring all of its investments at amortized cost for financial judgment is required to determine if instances of noncompliance with the criteria established by this Statement during the reporting period, individually or in the aggregate, were significant.

If an external investment pool does not meet the criteria established by this Statement, that pool should apply the provisions in paragraph 16 of GASB Statement No. 31, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and for External Investment Pools*, as amended. If an external investment pool meets the criteria in this Statement and measures all of its investments at amortized cost, the pool's participants also should measure their investment pool does not meet the criteria in this Statement, the pool's participants should measure their investment pool does not meet the criteria in this Statement, the pool's participants should measure their investments in that pool at an external investment, the pool's participants should measure their investments in that pool at a provided in paragraph 11 of GASB Statement No. 31, as amended.

This Statement establishes additional note disclosure requirements for qualifying external investment pools that measure all of their investments at amortized cost for financial reporting purposes and for governments that participate in those pools. Those disclosures, for both the qualifying external investment pools and their participants, include information about any limitations or restrictions on participant withdrawals.

The District has implemented the provisions of this Statement as of June 30, 2016.

#### NOTE 2 - INVESTMENTS

#### **Policies and Practices**

The District is authorized under *California Government Code* to make direct investments in local agency bonds, notes, or warrants within the State: U.S. Treasury instrument; registered State warrants or treasury notes: securities of the U.S. Government, or its agencies; bankers acceptances; commercial paper; certificates of deposit placed with commercial banks and/or savings and loan companies; repurchase or reverse repurchase agreement; medium term corporate notes; shares of beneficial interest issued by diversified management companies, certificates of participation, obligations with first priority security, and collateralized mortgage obligations.

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

#### **Investment in County Treasury**

The District is considered to be an involuntary participant in an external investment pool as the District is required to deposit all receipts and collections of monies with their County Treasurer (*Education Code* Section 41001). The fair value of the District's investment in the pool is reported in the accounting financial statement at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by the County Treasurer for the entire portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer, which is recorded on the amortized cost basis.

#### **General Authorizations**

Limitations as they relate to interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk are indicated in the schedules below:

	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum	
Authorized	Remaining	Percentage	Investment	
Investment Type	Maturity	of Portfolio	in One Issuer	
Local Agency Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None	
Registered State Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None	
U.S. Treasury Obligations	5 years	None	None	
U.S. Agency Securities	5 years	None	None	
Banker's Acceptance	180 days	40%	30%	
Commercial Paper	270 days	25%	10%	
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 years	30%	None	
Repurchase Agreements	1 year	None	None	
Reverse Repurchase Agreements	92 days	20% of base	None	
Medium-Term Corporate Notes	5 years	30%	None	
Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%	
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%	
Mortgage Pass-Through Securities	5 years	20%	None	
County Pooled Investment Funds	N/A	None	None	
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	None	None	
Joint Powers Authority Pools	N/A	None	None	

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

#### **Interest Rate Risk**

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value is to changes in market interest rates. The District does not have a formal investment policy that limits investment maturities as a means of managing its exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates. The District manages its exposure to interest rate risk by investing in the Santa Barbara County Investment Pool. The District maintains an investment of \$6,160,922 with the Santa Barbara County Investment Pool, with an average maturity of 419 days.

#### **Credit Risk**

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The District's investment in the Santa Barbara County Investment Pool is not required to be rated, nor has been rated as of June 30, 2016.

#### NOTE 4 - FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The District categorizes the fair value measurements of its investments based on the hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The fair value hierarchy, which has three levels, is based on the valuation inputs used to measure an asset's fair value. The following provides a summary of the hierarchy used to measure fair value:

Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets that the District has the ability to access at the measurement date. Level 1 assets may include debt and equity securities that are traded in an active exchange market and that are highly liquid and are actively traded in over-the-counter markets.

Level 2 - Observable inputs, other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable, such as interest rates and curves observable at commonly quoted intervals, implied volatilities, and credit spreads. For financial reporting purposes, if an asset has a specified term, a Level 2 input is required to be observable for substantially the full term of the asset.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs should be developed using the best information available under the circumstances, which might include the District's own data. The District should adjust that data if reasonably available information indicates that other market participants would use different data or certain circumstances specific to the District are not available to other market participants.

Uncategorized - Investments in the Santa Barbara County Investment Pool are not measured using the input levels above because the District's transactions are based on a stable net asset value per share. All contributions and redemptions are transacted at \$1.00 net asset value per share.

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

The District's fair value measurements are as follows at June 30, 2016:

Investment Type	]	Fair Value	Un	categorized
Santa Barbra County Investment Pool	\$	6,177,751	\$	6,177,751
All assets have been valued using a market approach, with quoted market prices				
NOTE 4 - ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE				
Accounts receivable at June 30, 2016, consisted of the following:				
Interest			\$	9,332
NOTE 5 - ACCOUNTS PAYABLE				
Accounts payable at June 30, 2016, consisted of the following:				
Capital outlay			\$	3,220,430
NOTE 6 - FUND BALANCE				
Fund balance is composed of the following element:				
Restricted Capital projects			\$	2,949,824

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2016

#### NOTE 7 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

As of June 30, 2016, the Measure I General Obligation Bonds (Measure I) had the following commitments with respect to unfinished projects:

	Remaining	Expected	
	Construction	Date of	
Capital Projects	Commitment	Completion	
Public Safety Complex	\$ 347,324	2016-2017	
Inner City Grid Expansion	47,573	2016-2017	
Industrial Tech Modernization	36,564	2016-2017	
Building N Boiler Replacement	2,752	2016-2017	
Forum Lighting	90,588	2016-2017	
H-M Roof Replace/Install Gutter	11,033	2016-2017	
Well Water Irrigation Conv. Phase II	24,500	2016-2017	
	\$ 560,334	2016-2017	

The projects are funded through a combination of general obligation bonds and capital project apportionments from the State Chancellor's Office.

#### Litigation

The District is involved in various litigation arising from the normal course of business. In the opinion of management and legal counsel, the disposition of all litigation pending is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the overall financial position of the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) at June 30, 2016.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT


#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

Governing Board and Citizens Oversight Committee Allan Hancock Joint Community College District Santa Maria, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the accompanying financial statements of the Allan Hancock Joint Community College District (the District) Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes of the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 9, 2016.

#### **Emphasis of Matter**

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds specific to Measure I, and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and changes in financial position of the District in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

#### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### **Purpose of This Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

awinek, Time, Day & Co., LLP.

Rancho Cucamonga, California December 9, 2016 Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

## FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2016

None reported.

# SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2016

There were no audit findings reported in the prior year's Financial Statement Findings.

## ALLAN HANCOCK JOINT COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

# MEASURE I GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS PERFORMANCE AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2016

## PERFORMANCE AUDIT TABLE OF CONTENTS JUNE 30, 2016

Independent Auditor's Report on Performance	1
Authority for Issuance	2
Purpose of Issuance	2
Authority for the Audit	2
Objectives of the Audit	3
Scope of the Audit	3
Procedures Performed	3
Conclusion	4
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	5
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	6



#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON PERFORMANCE

Governing Board and Citizens Oversight Committee Allan Hancock Joint Community College District Santa Maria, California

We were engaged to conduct a performance audit of the Allan Hancock Joint Community College District's (the District) Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) for the year ended June 30, 2016.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusion based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Our audit was limited to the objectives listed within the report which includes determining the District's compliance with the performance requirements as referred to in Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution. Management is responsible for the District's compliance with those requirements.

In planning and performing our performance audit, we obtained an understanding of the District's internal controls in order to determine if the internal controls were adequate to help ensure the District's compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

The results of our tests indicated that the District expended Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) only for the specific projects approved by the voters, in accordance with Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution.

Variner Time, Day & Co. LLP.

Rancho Cucamonga, California December 9, 2016

## **JUNE 30, 2016**

#### **AUTHORITY FOR ISSUANCE**

The general obligation bonds associated with Measure I were issued pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the State of California (the State), including the provisions of Chapters 1 and 1.5 of Part 10 of the California Education Code, and other applicable provisions of law. The bonds are authorized to be issued by a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County on June 6, 2006 (the Resolution), pursuant to a request of the District made by a resolution adopted by the Board of Education of the District on August 15, 2006.

The District received authorization from an election held on June 6, 2006, to issue bonds of the District in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$180,000,000 to finance the acquisition, construction, modernization, and renovation of District facilities, and to pay the cost of issuance associated with the Bonds approved by eligible voters within the District. The proposition required approval by at least 55 percent of the votes cast by eligible voters within the District (the 2006 Authorization). The bonds represent the first, second, third, and fourth series of the authorized bonds to be issued under the 2006 Authorization.

#### PURPOSE OF ISSUANCE

The net proceeds of the Bonds issued under the 2006 Authorization will be used for the purposes specified in the District bond proposition submitted at the Election, which include the financing of the construction and modernization of certain District property and facilities, the acquisition of equipment and to pay the costs of issuance associated with the Bonds. The proceeds from the Bonds are to be used for projects such as modernization of college technology, upgrading and modernization of college infrastructure, including classrooms and labs, and building new teaching and learning spaces. All projects to be funded under the Measure I General Obligation Bonds must be included in the Board of Trustees' approved Facilities Master Plan, which details the scope of work to be done for each project.

#### **AUTHORITY FOR THE AUDIT**

On November 7, 2000, California voters approved Proposition 39, the Smaller Classes, Safer Schools and Financial Accountability Act. Proposition 39 amended portions of the California Constitution to provide for the issuance of general obligation bonds by school districts, community college districts, or county offices of education, "for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of rental property for school facilities", upon approval by 55 percent of the electorate. In addition to reducing the approval threshold from two-thirds to 55 percent, Proposition 39 and the enacting legislation (AB 1908 and AB 2659) requires the following accountability measures as codified in *Education Code* Sections 15278-15282:

- 1. Requires that the proceeds from the sale of the bonds be used only for the purposes specified in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution, and not for any other purpose, including teacher and administrator salaries and other school operating expenses.
- 2. The community college district must list the specific school facilities projects to be funded in the ballot measure, and must certify that the governing board has evaluated safety, class size reduction and information technology needs in developing the project list.

## JUNE 30, 2016

- 3. Requires the community college district to appoint a citizen's oversight committee.
- 4. Requires the community college district to conduct an annual independent financial audit and performance audit in accordance with the *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of the bond proceeds until all of the proceeds have been expended.
- 5. Requires the community college district to conduct an annual independent performance audit to ensure that the funds have been expended only on the specific projects listed.

#### **OBJECTIVES OF THE AUDIT**

- 1. Determine whether expenditures charged to the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds have been made in accordance with the bond project list approved by the voters through the approval of Measure I.
- 2. Determine whether salary transactions, charged to the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds were in support of Measure I and not for District general administration or operations.

### SCOPE OF THE AUDIT

The scope of our performance audit covered the period of July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016. The population of expenditures tested included all object and project codes associated with the bond projects. The propriety of expenditures for capital projects and maintenance projects funded through other State or local funding sources, other than proceeds of the bonds, were not included within the scope of the audit. Expenditures incurred subsequent to June 30, 2016, were not reviewed or included within the scope of our audit or in this report.

#### **PROCEDURES PERFORMED**

We obtained the general ledger and the project expenditure reports prepared by the District for the period July 1 2015 through June 30, 2016, for the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I). Within the fiscal year audited, we obtained the actual invoices and other supporting documentation for a sample of expenditures to ensure compliance with the requirements of Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution and Measure I as to the approved bond projects list. We performed the following procedures:

- 1. We selected a sample of expenditures for the period starting July 1, 2015 and ending June 30, 2016, and reviewed supporting documentation to ensure that such funds were properly expended on the specific projects listed in the ballot text.
- 2. Our sample included transactions totaling \$431,632. This represents 34 percent of the total expenditures of \$1,267,452.
- 3. Based on our testing, we verified that funds from the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) were expended for the construction, renovation, furnishing and equipping of District facilities constituting authorized bond projects.

## JUNE 30, 2016

#### **CONCLUSION**

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the Allan Hancock Joint Community College District has properly accounted for the expenditures held in the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds (Measure I) and that such expenditures were made for authorized Bond projects. There were no salaries of administrators charged to the Measure I General Obligation Bond Funds for District general administration or operations.

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS JUNE 30, 2016

None reported.

# SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2016

There were no audit findings reported in the prior year's Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.