Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative

Community College System Requirements

Allan Hancock Goals



Overview of Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative (IEPI)

- What is the IEPI
 - Legislative Mandate
 - Components
 - IEPI Framework Indicators
- IEPI Goal Setting Requirements
- AHC Baseline Data
- AHC Goals Submitted June 2015
- Goal Setting Process Going Forward



Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative: SB 852 & SB 860

- SB 852 and 860 create the structure for the IEPI (Budget Act of 2014)
- SB 852
 - New Chancellor's Office positions/resources
 - Appropriation of \$2.5 million/year line item in SSSP
- SB 860 (2014-15 Higher Education Trailer Bill)
 - CCC shall develop and BOG shall adopt a framework of indicators



What Are the Desired IEPI Outcomes?

- Continue to Advance California Community
 Colleges as the Most Effective System of Higher Education in the World
- Eliminate Accreditation Sanctions and Audit Findings at the Local College Level
- Expand Access for Our Students and Attainment of Their Educational Goals



What Are the Major Components of the Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative?

- Framework of Indicators (College Goals)
- Professional Development
- Technical Assistance through Partnership Resource Teams (PRTs)
- Advocacy for Systemic and Policy Changes



How Should Colleges Set Goals?

Goals should be "aspirational"

- Higher than baseline data
- Statute requires that goals be "challenging" and "quantifiable"
- The goals should also be "realistic, measurable, and attainable"
- What if a college exceeds a desired standard (e.g., fund balance)?
 - Reasonable to set a target no higher than current levels



What Is the Framework of Indicators?

- Is Required by Legislature
- Draws Heavily on Existing Resources for Year 1
- Was Developed by Advisory Committee Workgroup
- Draws in Part on Input from "Fiscal Experts"
- Is to Have v1.0 Implemented by June 30, 2015
- Includes:
 - Student Performance and Outcomes (e.g., Scorecard)
 - Accreditation Status
 - Fiscal Viability
 - Compliance w/State and Federal Programmatic Guidelines



Indicators Continued

- Indicators Workgroup Is Developing Recommendations for v2.0
 - Additional Measures
 - Access
 - Student Equity (Connected to Student Equity Plan)
 - Timelines for Beyond Year 1
 - Integration with Other Indicator Systems and Goals



What Indicators Are in the Framework?

Student Outcomes

- Completion
 - Prepared
 - Unprepared
 - Overall
- Remedial Rate
 - Math
 - English
 - ESL
- CTE Completion Rate
- *Course Completion Rate
- Degrees
- Certificates
- Transfers

Accreditation Status

*Accreditation Status

Fiscal Viability

- Salary and Benefits
- FTES
- Annual Operating Excess/Deficiency
- *Fund Balance
- Cash Balance

State and Federal Programmatic Compliance

- *Overall Audit Opinion
- *Required Goals for Year 1



Goals

- What Happens If We Don't Achieve Goals?
 - Nothing, as long as the college tried.
 - The most important activities for meaningful impacts on our students' success have the greatest risk, but are worth trying!





California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS

Login | Select a District | Documentation | Certifications

District Indicator Rates - Allan Hancock CCD										
Indicator	Long-term (6 Years) Goal (optional for this reporting year)	Short-term (1 Year) Goal (goal for 2015-2016)	2013-2014	2012-2013	2011-2012	2010-2011	2009-2010			
Required Goals										
Fiscal Viability and Programmatic Compliance with State and Federal Guidelines										
Fund Balance Ending unrestricted general fund balance as a pecentage of total expenditures										
	0.0	10.0	11.1	10.6	9.6	9.4	8.7			
Unmodified: Unmodified auditor's report without internal control issues Audit Findings Modified: Modified auditor's report and/or internal control issues										
	Unmodified ▼	Unmodified ▼	Modified							
Optional Goals										
Fiscal Viability and Programmatic Compliance with State and Federal Guidelines										
Salary and Benefits Salaries and benefits as a percentage of unrestricted general fund expenditures, excluding other outgoing expenditures										
	0.0	0.0	85.2	83.4	86.5	85.2	85.5			
Annual Operating Excess/(Deficiency) Net increase or decrease in general fund balance										
	0	0	385,370	574,813	(266, 107)	(1,675,842)	(71,848)			
Cash Balance Unrestricted and restricted general fund cash balance, excluding investments										
	0	0	11,872,799	7,919,159	4,781,852	6,659,749	6,785,889			

College Indicator Rates

Allan Hancock College



Required	l Goals												
Student Per	rformance and C)utcomes											
Successf Completi (Datama)		Annual percentage of	credit course enrollme	nts where	student	earned a	grade of	f C or bet	ter (Goal	should b	oe set as r	ate)	
	,	0.0	72.0		72.0		71.8		70.0		69.2		72.4
Accreditation	on Status	0.0	72.0		12.0		7 1.0		70.0		03.2		12.4
		Latest Accrediting Con	ii f Ci	the most leading	ine Calle	1001	2.103 ==6	/ C l	au balau	a			
Accieuit	ation Status	Latest Accrediting Con	minission for communi	Feb	July	Feb	July	Feb	July	Feb	July	Feb	July
				2014	2013	2013	2012	2012	2011	2011	2010	2010	2009
		FA-N ▼	FA-N ▼	FA-N	FA-N	FA-N	FA-N	FA-N	FA-N	FA-N	FA-RA	FA-N	FA-N
Date of N	lext Visit	Date of next accreditat	tion visit (mm/dd/yyyy)	- informatio	on item,	no targe	t collecte	d					
Optional	Goals												
	rformance and C	outcomes .											
Complet													
(Scoreca		Percentage of degree, certificate, or transfer					ing iirst t	metrack	ed for Six	k years v	vno compi	eteu a ue	gree,
	College	Student's lowest cours	se attempted in Math a	nd/or Engli	ish was	college l	evel						
	Prepared	0.0	0.0		61.4		61.2		64.7		59.6		65.2
	Unprepared	Student's lowest cours	se attempted in Math a	nd/or Engli	ish was	pre-colle	giate lev	el					
for College		0.0	0.0		35.1		36.6		39.1		35.3		39.8
	3 -	Student attempted any	level of Math or Englis	sh in the fir	rst three	years							
	Overall	0.0	0.0		44.5		45.4		48.8		44.7		47.9
Remedia		D											
(Scoreca		Percentage of credit si completed a college-le							igiisn, m	atnemati	cs, and/or	ESL and	
	Math	0.0	0.0		29.3		31.0		30.6		26.2		26.7
	English	0.0	0.0		41.7		40.9		41.0		37.2		40.3
		0.0			12.9		11.5		9.8		9.2		8.3
	ESL	0.0	0.0		12.9		11.5		9.0		9.2		0.3
Career Technical Education Rate (Scorecard) Percentage of students tracked for six years who completed more than eight units in courses classified as career technical education in a single discipline who completed a degree or certificate or transferred (Goal should be set as rate)													
		0.0	0.0		49.9		47.8		51.6		49.2		51.9
Complet	ion of												
	(Datamart)	Number of associate d	egrees awarded (Goal	l should be	setas	total)							
		0	0		1,151		954		909		1.019		891
Complet	ion of												
Certificates (Datamart)		Number of Chancellor's office approved certificates awarded (Goal should be set as total)											
		0	0		720		640		562		669		636
Fiscal Viab	ility and Program	nmatic Compliance wit		uidelines									
	e Egivalent												
Students Annual number of full-time equivalent students (Goal should be set as total)													
		0	0		9,603		9,452		9,378		10,165		10,091



IEPI Goal Setting at AHC

- Will work through the college governance process
- Institutional Effectiveness Council (IEC) will coordinate
 - Disseminate historical and disaggregated data
 - Collect goals and make recommendation to College Council
 - Board of Trustees to receive progress on prior goals and recommendations for new goals
- Important to allow adequate time for consultation through councils and Academic Senate prior to Board approval



Questions?

