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## CHEMISTRY

## PROGRAM REVIEW

Status Summary - Plan of Action-Post Validation

During the academic year, 2021, 2022 completed program review. The self- study and validation teams developed a final plan of action-post validation based on information in the self study and the recommendations of the validation team. For each plan, indicate the action taken, the result of that action, and the current status of the plan, if it is incomplete.
(If any plan was made and action not taken, please state the rationale for not pursuing that particular item.)

| PLAN OF ACTION | ACTION TAKEN,RESULT, AND |
| :--- | :---: |
| Support the STEM center by informing <br> students through classroom presentations <br> and other communications. | On going |
| Increase the awareness and participation of <br> students in science related programs <br> through Bull-Dog Bound and Friday Night <br> Science events. | On going |
| Increase the number of class sections as <br> demand dictates. | On going, as allowed. |
| Work with neighboring colleges and <br> universities to ensure that classes articulate <br> (C-ID) and topics are aligned. | On going |
| Increase the general department budget to <br> accommodate the increase in student <br> population. | Funding strategies will be pursued. |
| Hire additional chemistry faculty to keep up <br> with growing class sections. | On going, as allowed. |
| Create published course materials for our <br> campus to utilize to help bring down costs <br> to our students. | On going |
| Work with other disciplines such as <br> Mathematics that align with our PLOs to <br> help make sure students are prepared. | On going |

## Chemistry Program Review Self Study

I. Program Mission:

The chemistry program is one of many disciplines grouped together in the Life and Physical Science department. Many courses require chemistry as a prerequisite to help prepare them for the rigor of other core courses in Biology, Physics, and especially the medical field. These courses enable students to complete lower division requirements for transfer to institutions of higher learning. For science majors, the UC and CSU systems now requires General and Organic Chemistry before transfer.

## The chemistry program consists of the following courses:

CHEM110: Chemistry and Society (distance learning)
CHEM120: Introduction to Chemistry (C-ID: CHEM101)
CHEM140: Introduction to Organic and Biological Chemistry (C-ID: CHEM102)
CHEM150: General Chemistry 1 (C-ID: CHEM110)
CHEM151: General Chemistry 2 (C-ID: CHEM120S)
CHEM180: Organic Chemistry 1 (C-ID: CHEM150)
CHEM181: Organic Chemistry 2 (C-ID: CHEM160S)

## II. Progress Made Towards Past Program/Department Goals

Headcount for our sections was up to $78 \%$ before dropping to $66 \%$ due to the COVID-19 pandemic.
Fill rates are greater than $80 \%$, except for CHEM181, which is a continuation course as well as the last course required before transfer.

Full time instruction has increased over part-time instruction ( $52 \%$ vs. $48 \%$ ) which flipped from last program review.

FTES/FT EF has steadily been increasing from 17.122 to 17.756
Chemistry courses at our satellite campus (LVC) have grown in demand to include the General Chemistry series (CHEM150 and 151). Equipment was purchased for both campuses to ensure equity in instruction and experience in lab.

The Organic Chemistry series (CHEM180 and 181) continues to thrive and fill each Fall semester it is offered. UC and CSU systems recently began requiring these courses for proper transfer.

The chemistry team participates in the Friday Night Science event created by Rob Jorstad. This yearly event continues to help attract attention to our campus and fosters deeper learning in our students before they transfer or graduate.

The chemistry team provides tours of our facilities and works with other disciplines cooperatively when hosting outreach events for elementary and high-school students (Bull-Dog Bound, slime room and other hand-on activities are provided).

The chemistry team provides our time and support to AHC's STEM and MESA programs as well as having a table at BOW-WOW events on both SM and LVC campuses to help promote excitement in the sciences.

## III. Analysis of Resource Use and Program Implementation

Current technology and fiscal resources are being utilized to ensure Emergency Remote Learning during the COVID-19 pandemic was as successful as possible. The pandemic stopped all hands-on learning in most chemistry courses and ZOOM/CANVAS became the main modes of teaching. Special cameras and televisions were outfitted in the rooms to capture the instructor and material as best as possible.

As the lab sections grow, the M-building is fully utilized as we accommodate all the different chemistry labs. With only three chemistry labs (M-204/M-213/LVC3-102), the need for more lab space will eventually arise. The increase in lab sections along with retirements has left the chemistry department severely lacking in personnel. Chemistry sections have increased from 43 in 2017-2018 to 47 in 2020-2021. Because of limited rooms for lab, this expansion cannot continue without additional facilities that include gas, water, and fume hoods. Potentially, lab facilities could be added on the Lompoc Valley Campus. During the 2022 year, administrations hopes to hire two full-time chemists to help out the current full-time chemists that have been overloaded for many years now.

## IV. Program SLOs/Assessment

Based upon the course statistics and overall data collected from Spring 2016 to Spring 2019:
$73 \%$ of the students have demonstrated mastery of CHEM PSLO - ... the approach and rationale of the scientific method and ability to apply these principles to solve problems.
$80 \%$ of the students have demonstrated mastery of CHEM PSLO - ... stoichiometric calculations.
$78 \%$ of the students have demonstrated mastery of CHEM PSLO - ... laboratory technique.
Our program has been lacking enough full-time faculty to satisfy the growing student population needing access to our courses. While we do have one full-time faculty member consistently teaching one of each of our science major courses (CHEM150, 151, and 180/181), any extra sections of CHEM150, and the majority of CHEM120, is taught by part-time instructors. In addition, we have seen an upward trend in the number of chemistry classes taught per semester (increasing FTES), with more classes being taught by a growing part-time instructor pool. This inconsistency in the instructor pool (sometimes with quick turnover) for some of our most in-demand classes creates data that can be inconsistent. Extra classes not taught by part-time instructors are added onto the load of our understaffed group of fulltime faculty members.

Outcomes data for Chemistry from only Spring 2016 to Spring 2019 is available. The previous software for outcomes data was phased out in 2019, so no data was recorded since that time. In addition, data input leading up to the eLumen phase-out lessened, as the department was assured that old data could be entered into the new system once it is up and running. While these are possible reasons for a noticeably lower mastery of PSLO compared to previous years, steps have been discussed and some have already been taken to bolster student success rates in the future. These include updating and/or diversifying instruction methods and materials, and the implementation of outside resources to help improve student success in the classroom and laboratory.

As stated earlier, CHEM120 is primarily taught by part-time instructors, many of which may not teach consecutive semesters for us. As such, SLO data input by part-time instructors is inconsistent. Having a dedicated faculty member teaching CHEM120 consistently may help to achieve a consistent data set for success rate in CHEM120

CHEM150 had been primarily taught by full-time faculty members but has seen an increase in parttime instruction as demand for the class has surged beyond what our full-time faculty can handle. CHEM150 saw the most regular SLO data input out of our courses from Spring 2016 to Fall 2018 (excepting Spring 2017). Most SLOs show steady improvement in standards met after a dip in \% from Fall 2016 to Fall 2017. This trend is not entirely clear, though, as there is a lack of SLO data for Spring 2017.

CHEM151 is only taught by full-time faculty. SLO data input was sporadic up until Spring 2018, when a different full-time faculty member became the primary instructor for the course. We feel that data input will continue to be more consistent moving forward. With fewer class offerings than CHEM150, sample sizes will be smaller, though we feel that with regular SLO data input, trends will become more apparent with time.

CHEM180/181 is a relatively new offering. These courses are taught only by full-time faculty. Because these courses are usually required for only a few majors, class sizes tend to be smaller, and the courses are not offered as frequently as our other courses (CHEM120, 150, 151). As such, clear SLO data trends are not available. More regular SLO data input will be practiced moving forward.

It is clear to us that improvements can be made in certain areas of each course to help advance and ensure student success. Even with limited SLO data, the full-time faculty members teaching each course have been working together with clear goals in mind to adapting the courses to better serve the students and encourage success. We feel that the Learning Outcomes Assessment Committee's shift away from SLOs and toward PLOs will help to show how our courses work together to show student success at the program level.

As a program, we will encourage our full-time and part-time instructors to regularly input PLO data into the new SPOL program that has recently launched, so that more telling data can be collected in the future.

## V. Distance Learning

CHEMISTRY 110, Catalog Description: An introduction to the fundamentals of chemistry including the composition of matter, energy, and chemical reactions and their application to everyday living. Applications of chemistry in the areas of medicine, nuclear power, plastics, household products, and society's effect on the environment will be emphasized. Intended for non-science majors. Not open to students who are enrolled in or have completed Chemistry 100, 105, or Chemistry 120.

Many online students might not have the organizational skills and the diligence to succeed in an online course. With low faculty numbers and the pandemic demanding other online resources, finding a consistent instructor has been challenging for this course. As a result, the success rate for this course has fallen from the $77 \%$ success rate reported last program review. Currently, we have seen the success rate bounce from 63\%, down 45\%, and back up to 58\%. In Fall 2019, a new instructor started teaching the course. Because the former instructor had retired, the course essentially started from scratch. Within 2 semesters, the at-home lab kit that had been used were discontinued. This required the instructor to write in-house labs for the course. This began improving the course success rate, but during the Spring 2021, Fall 2021, and Spring 2022 semesters, there were three separate instructors who taught the course. This complicated the ability to observe true course success rates with fluctuations in teaching styles, expectations, and learning materials. With more faculty hires, we hope to allow an instructor to focus their attention on this course to help improve student success and retention. Without an instructor present, it is no wonder that students would have a greater difficulty succeeding with lab experiments.

## VI. Success, Retention, and Equity

We do work very closely with MESA, STEM, Counseling, LAP, and others to ensure student equity and success. Our program is quite highly regarded by our students as shown in the student survey. Our current retention rates in the chemistry program are 85.3 \% average over the past six years (2015-2021), with a $71.2 \%$ average success rate. The quality of teaching encourages the student diversity and facilitates their success in our programs as shown by the following demographics.

## Program Equity: AGE

| Equity:AGE | Retention \% | Success \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemistry program | 86.2 \% average (2015-2021) | 71.0 \% average (2015-2021) |
| Hancock College | 89.1 \% average (2015-2021) | 77.0 \% average (2015-2021) |

Table 1.1: Average retention and success percentages for gender compared.
The retention and success rate averages over the past six years have been over $70 \%$, with a success percentage of 71.0 compared to the college as a whole with $77.0 \%$ as shown in Table 1.1.

| Equity:AGE | PPG Retention \% | PPG Success \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemistry program | $-4.1 \%$ | $-4.5 \%$ |
| Hancock College | $-1.7 \%$ | $-3.9 \%$ |

Table 1.2: Impact values for age groups compared.

The success rate of the chemistry program at $65.4 \%$ is below that of the college as a whole as shown in Table 1.2. This factor was due to incoming students <20 years of age having a Percentage Point Gap (PPG) of $-4.1 \%$ in retention and $-4.5 \%$ for success. Hancock college as a whole is also struggling in the age category with a PPG of $-3.9 \%$ for success. From this data, it appears our younger students are coming in less prepared. We need to keep an eye on this trend as more basic skills courses (English and Math) are removed due to recently passed legislature at the state level. Our long term goals will help attempt to address this issue.

## Program Equity: ETHNICITY

| Equity:ETHNICITY | Retention \% | Success \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemistry program | 78.5 \% average (2015-2021) | 63.5 \% average (2015-2021) |
| Hancock College | 87.9 \% average (2015-2021) | 74.0 \% average (2015-2021) |

Table 1.3: Average retention and success percentages for ethnicity compared.
The retention rate averages over the past six years have been over $70 \%$, with a success percentage of $78.5 \%$ compared to the college as a whole with $87.9 \%$ as shown in Table 1.3. The success percentage of certain ethnicities is at $63.5 \%$ and is broken down further below.

| Equity:ETHNICITY | PPG Retention \% | PPG Success \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemistry program | Hispanic: $-3.6 \%$ | Hispanic: $-6.2 \% /$ Nativ Am: $-4.8 \%$ |
| Hancock College | Black: $-1.1 \%$ | Black: $-5.8 \%$ |
|  | Hispanic: $-1.6 \%$ | Hispanic: $-4.6 \%$ |
|  | Nativ Am: $-2.7 \%$ | Nativ Am: $-5.9 \%$ |
|  | Pac Isl: $-1.6 \%$ | Pac Isl: $-3.7 \%$ |

Table 1.4: Impact values for ethnicity compared.
For the chemistry program, Hispanic and Native Americans were negatively impacted as shown in Table 1.4. Hispanic had a retention PPG of $-3.6 \%$ with a $-6.2 \%$ success this past 2020-2021 year. The Native American success rate was $-4.8 \%$ PPG. The college as a whole also has impacted ethnicities as well. Black, Hispanic, Native Americans, and Pacific Islanders were ranging with a PPG of -3.7 to -5.9\% for success rates. Our long term goals will help attempt to address this issue.

## Program Equity: GENDER

| Equity:GENDER | Retention \% | Success \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemistry program | 82.4 \% average (2015-2021) | 66.5 \% average (2015-2021) |
| Hancock College | 88.1 \% average (2015-2021) | 71.0 \% average (2015-2021) |

Table 1.5: Average retention and success percentages for gender compared.
The retention rate averages over the past six years have been over $80 \%$, with a success percentage of $82.4 \%$ compared to the college as a whole with $88.1 \%$ as shown in Table 1.5. The success percentage of the female gender is $64.4 \%$ versus $66.8 \%$ for males.

## Program Equity: $1^{\text {st }}$ Time Student

| Equity: $1^{\text {st }}$ Time Student | Retention \% | Success \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemistry program | 86.3 \% average (2015-2021) | 72.4 \% average (2015-2021) |
| Hancock College | 91.6 \% average (2015-2021) | $78.9 \%$ average (2015-2021) |

Table 1.6: Average retention and success percentages for $1^{\text {st }}$ time student compared.
The retention and success rate averages over the past six years have been over $70 \%$, with a success percentage of $72.4 \%$ compared to the college as a whole with $78.9 \%$ as shown in Table 1.6.

| Equity: $1^{\text {st }}$ Time | PPG Retention \% | PPG Success \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemistry program | $0.5 \%$ | $-10.0 \%$ |
| Hancock College | $-2.2 \%$ | $-13.5 \%$ |

Table 1.7: Impact values for $1^{\text {st }}$ time students compared.
For $1^{\text {st }}$ time students, the chemistry program had a $-10.0 \%$ PPG while Hancock college was at $-13.5 \%$ PPG as shown by Table 1.7. Our long term goals will help attempt to address this issue.

## VII. Trend Analyses/Outlook

The COVID-19 pandemic forced public places to close and would not allow large gatherings of groups. Classes and labs could no longer be held on campus and everyone was forced to teach remotely. Canvas and ZOOM technology was utilized to bring the information to the students as best as possible. Laboratory tactile and technical skills were abandoned for simple exposure by viewing the lab being performed for them. Lab writing skills and knowledge were continued by trying to complete the experiments as best as possible. This will definitely create a small pocket of students that didn't get the chance to handle glassware or have direct exposure in a laboratory setting. Patience will be a virtue going forward to ensure student safety at the higher levels of chemistry.
> VIII. Long-Term Program Goals and Action Plans (Aligned With the College Educational Master Plan)

Bring all chemistry courses up-to-date with the Course Identification Numbering System (C-ID). Currently, CHEM140, our introductory organic chemistry course, is not mapped to the C-ID CHEM102. The biochemistry portion of the lecture and lab needs to be updated as well as the organic labs to ensure the students are getting the best exposure possible before moving on in the medical field.

The chemistry program has been mapped to the Guided Pathways to help students navigate the educational system and obtain the degrees desired: https://www.hancockcollege.edu/pathways/sciences-technologies/chemistry.php

It is of utmost concern and interest to the chemistry team to increase retention and success for all AHC students taking the general chemistry series, organic classes, or simply any non-majors chemistry classes in which they are enrolled. It has been assessed that one of the major hurdles to academic success in
chemistry classes is mathematical competency. Because most chemistry classes require ( at the least) a solid understanding of algebra, it is imperative that students enter these classes with algebraic fluency. However, due to recent legislation, Algebra I and II cannot be offered at the community college level. This has caused the chemistry team to consider requiring a supplemental "Math in Chemistry" course to be offered concurrently with the following courses: Chemistry 120, 150, and 151. These courses would allow the hours spent in chemistry lecture and lab to be exclusively focused on chemical theory and application instead of review of mathematical concepts.

A loose breakdown of these supplemental courses would be as follows:

1. Online asynchronous instruction
2. One hour supplemental instruction/week through video lectures
3. One assignment/week focusing on mathematical principles that coincide with concurrent chemistry problems

## Chemistry Program Review Assessment Plan

## ILO Data



ILO Performance Chart: Chemistry- This is the ILO performance of the program for the past 6 academic years in a table that includes the number of courses that are connected to each ILO.


ILO Performance Table: Chemistry- This is the ILO performance of the program for the past 6 academic years.

|  | \# of Connected Courses | Avg. Percent Met | Number Met | Number Not Met |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ILO 2 - Critical Thinking \& Problem Solving: Explore issues through various information sources; evaluate the credibility and significance of both the information and the source to arrive at a reasoned conclusion. | 4 | 81\% | 2,286 | 528 |
| ILO 5 - Quantitative Literacy: Use mathematical concepts and models to analyze and solve real life issues or problems. | 4 | 78\% | 991 | 239 |
| ILO 6 - Scientific Literacy: Use scientific knowledge and methodologies to assess potential solutions to real-life challenges. | 5 | 76\% | 2,902 | 725 |

## PLO Data

PLO Performance Chart: Chemistry This is a chart showing the PLO percent and the count of students that met standards by term.


PLO Performance Table: Chemistry- This is a table showing the overal PLO performance over the last 6 academic years, including percent and numbers of students meeting standards.

|  |  |  | Number Met | Number Not Met | Percent Met |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemistry | CHEM1 | CHEM PSLO - The student will demonstrate mastery of the approach and rationale of the scientific method and be able to apply these principles to solve problems. | 339.0 | 125.0 | 73\% |
|  | CHEM2 | CHEM PSLO - The student will demonstrate mastery of stoichiometric calculations. | 825.0 | 203.0 | 80\% |
|  | CHEM3 | CHEM PSLO - The student will demonstrate mastery of laboratory technique. | 157.0 | 45.0 | 78\% |
|  | CHEM4 | CHEM PSLO - Course doesn't map to a degree or certificate. | 540.0 | 330.0 | 62\% |

## SLO/CLO Data

Spring 2016 - Spring 2019

6. Historical Course Performance: Chemistry- This is SLO assessment by course, including percent and number of students that met standards.

| CHEM150 | 266.0 |  | 932.0 |  | 78\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHEM120 |  |  | 507.0 | -61\% |  |
| CHEM151 |  | 331.0 |  |  | -78\% |
| CHEM180 | D0 |  |  |  | 83\% |
| CHEM110 |  |  |  |  | ■ 73\% |
| CHEM181 | 30 |  |  |  | 87\% |

Historical CLO Performance Table: Chemistry- This is a chart of the table above.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\text { 은 }}{\stackrel{i}{T}}$ | CHEM110.1 | CHEM110 SLO1 - Describe the structure and composition of matter and its relationship to the macroscopic properties of substanc.. | 22.0 | 8.0 | 73\% |
|  | CHEM110.5 | CHEM110 SLO5 - Demonstrate proficiency with basic chemistry apparatus and analyzing data from experiments. | 11.0 | 4.0 | 73\% |
|  | CHEM120.1 | CHEM120 SLO1 - Use scientific notation, significant figures, and dimensional analysis. | 220.0 | 82.0 | 73\% |
|  | CHEM120.2 | CHEM120 SLO2 - Write formulas and names of simple compounds and ions. | 71.0 | 59.0 | 55\% |
|  | CHEM120.3 | CHEM120 SLO3 - Solve problems related to chemical equations and density. | 71.0 | 59.0 | 55\% |
|  | CHEM120.4 | CHEM120 SLO4 - Define and give examples of chemical terms. | 74.0 | 59.0 | 56\% |
|  | CHEM120.5 | CHEM120 SLO5 - Able to use the appropriate laboratory apparatus to perform accurate \& precise measurements. | 71.0 | 59.0 | 55\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 은 } \\ & \sum_{\text {포 }}^{1} \end{aligned}$ | CHEM150.1 | CHEM150 SLO1 - Perform stoichiometric calculations. | 213.0 | 65.0 | 77\% |
|  | CHEM150.2 | CHEM150 SLO2 - Balance chemical equations, including oxidationreduction. | 197.0 | 42.0 | 82\% |
|  | CHEM150.3 | CHEM150 SLO3 - Solve questions involving gas laws | 142.0 | 31.0 | 82\% |
|  | CHEM150.4 | CHEM150 SLO4 - Provide the quantum numbers for any specific electron. | 184.0 | 59.0 | 76\% |
|  | CHEM150.5 | CHEM150 SLO5 - Perform calculations involving thermodynamics. | 81.0 | 44.0 | 65\% |
|  | CHEM150.6 | CHEM150 SLO6 - Perform laboratory quantitative analysis. | 115.0 | 25.0 | 82\% |
|  | CHEM151.1 | CHEM151 SLO1 - Perform kinetic calculations. | 95.0 | 9.0 | 91\% |
|  | CHEM151.2 | CHEM151 SLO2 - Perform equilibrium calculations. | 108.0 | 26.0 | 81\% |
|  | CHEM151.3 | CHEM151 SLO3 - Perform thermodynamic calculations. | 70.0 | 30.0 | 70\% |
|  | CHEM151.4 | CHEM151 SLO4 - Define and explain concepts of equilibria. | 11.0 | 8.0 | 58\% |
|  | CHEM151.5 | CHEM151 SLO5 - Interpret a pH graph from an acid-base titration. | 16.0 | 9.0 | 64\% |
|  | CHEM151.6 | CHEM151 SLO6 - Perform qualitative analysis. | 31.0 | 14.0 | 69\% |


| $\sum_{\frac{1}{\top}}^{\infty}$ | CHEM180.1 | CHEM180 SLO1 - Make predictions on physical properties and chemical reactivity based on molecular structure. | 15.0 | 3.0 | 83\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CHEM180.2 | CHEM180 SLO2 - Define structures of alcohols, alkyl halides, and hydrocarbons and be able to draw the condensed and line-bond formul. | 15.0 | 3.0 | 83\% |
|  | CHEM180.3 | CHEM180 SLO3 - Determine reaction mechanisms and propose synthesis routes for organic reactions to be carried out in the | 15.0 | 3.0 | 83\% |
| $\sum_{\text {른 }}^{\vdots}$ | CHEM181.1 | CHEM181 SLO1 - Make predictions on physical properties and chemical reactivity based on molecular structure. | 13.0 | 2.0 | 87\% |

## SLO/CLO Data

## Spring 2016

| Program |  |  | R 7 | Number Met |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemistry | - | Spring 2016 | $\checkmark$ | Number Not Met |

6. Historical Course Performance: Chemistry- This is SLO assessment by course, including percent and number of students that met standards.

| CHEM150 | 30.0 |  | 126.0 | 81\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHEM120 | 15.0 |  |  | $\square 87 \%$ |
| CHEM151 | 4.0 | 87.0 |  | 96\% |

Historical CLO Performance Table: Chemistry- This is a chart of the table above.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { 포 }}}{\dot{E}}$ | CHEM120.1 | CHEM120 SLO1 - Use scientific notation, significant figures, and dimensional analysis. | 100.00 | 15.00 | 87\% |
|  | CHEM150.1 | CHEM150 SLO1 - Perform stoichiometric calculations. | 21.00 | 5.00 | 81\% |
|  | CHEM150.2 | CHEM150 SLO2 - Balance chemical equations, including oxidationreduction. | 21.00 | 5.00 | 81\% |
|  | CHEM150.3 | CHEM150 SLO3 - Solve questions involving gas laws | 21.00 | 5.00 | 81\% |
|  | CHEM150.4 | CHEM150 SLO4 - Provide the quantum numbers for any specific electron. | 21.00 | 5.00 | 81\% |
|  | CHEM150.5 | CHEM150 SLO5 - Perform calculations involving thermodynamics. | 21.00 | 5.00 | 81\% |
|  | CHEM150.6 | CHEM150 SLO6 - Perform laboratory quantitative analysis. | 21.00 | 5.00 | 81\% |
| $\sum_{\underset{\sim}{\Psi}}^{\dot{E}}$ | CHEM151.2 | CHEM151 SLO2 - Perform equilibrium calculations. | 87.00 | 4.00 | 96\% |

## SLO/CLO Data

## Fall 2016


6. Historical Course Performance: Chemistry- This is SLO assessment by course, including percent and number of students that met standards.

| CHEM120 |  | 295.0 | 5353 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHEM150 | 49.0 | 185.0 |  | ■ 79\% |
| CHEM180 | 946.0 |  |  | 83\% |
| CHEM110 | B3.0 |  |  | - $73 \%$ |
| CHEM151 | 800 |  |  | - 77\% |

Historical CLO Performance Table: Chemistry- This is a chart of the table above.

|  | CHEM110 SLO1 - Describe the |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | CHEM120.1 | CHEM120 SLO1 - Use scientific notation, significant figures, and dimensional analysis. | 71.00 | 59.00 | 55\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CHEM120.2 | CHEM120 SLO2 - Write formulas and names of simple compounds and ions. | 71.00 | 59.00 | 55\% |
|  | CHEM120.3 | CHEM120 SLO3 - Solve problems related to chemical equations and density. | 71.00 | 59.00 | 55\% |
|  | CHEM120.4 | CHEM120 SLO4 - Define and give examples of chemical terms. | 71.00 | 59.00 | 55\% |
|  | CHEM120.5 | CHEM120 SLO5 - Able to use the appropriate laboratory apparatus to perform accurate \& precise measurements. | 71.00 | 59.00 | 55\% |
| $\stackrel{0}{i n}$ | CHEM150.1 | CHEM150 SLO1 - Perform stoichiometric calculations. | 98.00 | 28.00 | 78\% |
|  | CHEM150.2 | CHEM150 SLO2 - Balance chemical equations, including oxidationreduction. | 16.00 | 1.00 | 94\% |
|  | CHEM150.3 | CHEM150 SLO3 - Solve questions involving gas laws | 33.00 | 7.00 | 83\% |
|  | CHEM150.4 | CHEM150 SLO4 - Provide the quantum numbers for any specific electron. | 12.00 | 5.00 | 71\% |
|  | CHEM150.5 | CHEM150 SLO5 - Perform calculations involving thermodynamics. | 9.00 | 8.00 | 53\% |
|  | CHEM150.6 | CHEM150 SLO6 - Perform laboratory quantitative analysis. | 17.00 | 0.00 | 100\% |
| $\sum_{\substack{\text { U/ } \\ \pm}}^{ \pm}$ | CHEM151.6 | CHEM151 SLO6 - Perform qualitative analysis. | 20.00 | 6.00 | 77\% |
| $\sum_{\substack{\infty \\ \hline}}^{\infty}$ | CHEM180.1 | CHEM180 SLO1 - Make predictions on physical properties and chemical reactivity based on molecular structure. | 15.00 | 3.00 | 83\% |
|  | CHEM180.2 | CHEM180 SLO2 - Define structures of alcohols, alkyl halides, and hydrocarbons and be able to draw the condensed and line-bond formul.. | 15.00 | 3.00 | 83\% |
|  | CHEM180.3 | CHEM180 SLO3 - Determine reaction mechanisms and propose synthesis routes for organic reactions to be carried out in the | 15.00 | 3.00 | 83\% |

SLO/CLO Data

## Spring 2017

| Program |  | Term $\Gamma^{2}$ |  | Number Met |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemistry | $\checkmark$ | Spring 2017 | $\checkmark$ | Number Not Met |

6. Historical Course Performance: Chemistry- This is SLO assessment by course, including percent and number of students that met standards.

| CHEM151 | 2.00 | 89.00 | $98 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CHEM120 | 8.00 | 28.00 |  |
| CHEM181 | 2.003 .00 |  | $\square$ |
| CHEM150 | 10.00 | 10.00 | $\square 50 \%$ |

Historical CLO Performance Table: Chemistry- This is a chart of the table above.

|  |  |  |  |  | $\sum_{\Sigma}^{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sum_{\substack{\text { Iu }}}^{\vdots}$ | CHEM120.1 | CHEM120 SLO1 - Use scientific notation, significant figures, and dimensional analysis. | 28.00 | 8.00 | 78\% |
| $\sum_{\substack{\text { M }}}^{\vdots}$ | CHEM150.1 | CHEM150 SLO1 - Perform stoichiometric calculations. | 10.00 | 10.00 | 50\% |
|  | CHEM151.1 | CHEM151 SLO1 - Perform kinetic calculations. | 84.00 | 1.00 | 99\% |
|  | CHEM151.5 | CHEM151 SLO5 - Interpret a pH graph from an acid-base titration. | 5.00 | 1.00 | 83\% |
| $\sum_{\substack{\text { M }}}^{\vdots}$ | CHEM181.1 | CHEM181 SLO1 - Make predictions on physical properties and chemical reactivity based on molecular structure. | 13.00 | 2.00 | 87\% |

## SLO/CLO Data

## Fall 2017


6. Historical Course Performance: Chemistry- This is SLO assessment by course, including percent and number of students that met standards.

| CHEM150 | 115.0 | 287.0 | $71 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CHEM151 | 1 K00 | $\square 42 \%$ |  |

Historical CLO Performance Table: Chemistry- This is a chart of the table above.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sum_{\frac{1 u}{T}}^{\stackrel{\text { B }}{n}}$ | CHEM150.1 | CHEM150 SLO1 - Perform stoichiometric calculations. | 45.0 | 14.0 | 76\% |
|  | CHEM150.2 | CHEM150 SLO2 - Balance chemical equations, including oxidationreduction. | 120.0 | 29.0 | 81\% |
|  | CHEM150.3 | CHEM150 SLO3 - Solve questions involving gas laws | 50.0 | 9.0 | 85\% |
|  | CHEM150.4 | CHEM150 SLO4 - Provide the quantum numbers for any specific electron. | 34.0 | 25.0 | 58\% |
|  | CHEM150.5 | CHEM150 SLO5 - Perform calculations involving thermodynamics. | 33.0 | 26.0 | 56\% |
|  | CHEM150.6 | CHEM150 SLO6 - Perform laboratory quantitative analysis. | 5.0 | 12.0 | 29\% |
| $\sum_{\underset{U}{ \pm}}^{\vdots}$ | CHEM151.2 | CHEM151 SLO2 - Perform equilibrium calculations. | 10.0 | 14.0 | 42\% |

## SLO/CLO Data

Spring 2018

| Program |  | Term $\sum_{x}$ |  | Number Met |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemistry | $\nabla$ | Spring 2018 | $\checkmark$ | Number Not Met |

6. Historical Course Performance: Chemistry- This is SLO assessment by course, including percent and number of students that met standards.

| CHEM150 | 21.00 | 85.00 | -80\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHEM151 | 34.00 | 83.00 71\% |  |
| CHEM120 | 009.00 |  | 100\% |

Historical CLO Performance Table: Chemistry- This is a chart of the table above.

|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bar{L}} \\ & \stackrel{U}{⿺} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sum_{\underset{\sim}{\mathbf{M}}}^{\dot{\sim}}$ | CHEM120.1 | CHEM120 SLO1 - Use scientific notation, significant figures, and dimensional analysis. | 21.00 | 0.00 | 100\% |
| $\sum_{\substack{\text { Ti }}}^{\frac{0}{5}}$ | CHEM150.1 | CHEM150 SLO1 - Perform stoichiometric calculations. | 7.00 | 2.00 | 78\% |
|  | CHEM150.2 | CHEM150 SLO2 - Balance chemical equations, including oxidationreduction. | 7.00 | 2.00 | 78\% |
|  | CHEM150.3 | CHEM150 SLO3 - Solve questions involving gas laws | 27.00 | 7.00 | 79\% |
|  | CHEM150.4 | CHEM150 SLO4 - Provide the quantum numbers for any specific electron. | 30.00 | 6.00 | 83\% |
|  | CHEM150.5 | CHEM150 SLO5 - Perform calculations involving thermodynamics. | 7.00 | 2.00 | 78\% |
|  | CHEM150.6 | CHEM150 SLO6 - Perform laboratory quantitative analysis. | 7.00 | 2.00 | 78\% |


| CHEM151.1 | CHEM151 SLO1 - Perform kinetic <br> calculations. | 4.00 | 2.00 | $67 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHEM151.2 | CHEM151 SLO2 - Perform <br> equilibrium calculations. | 4.00 | 2.00 | $67 \%$ |  |
| $\sum_{\overline{\text { m }}}^{5}$ | CHEM151.3 | CHEM151 SLO3 - Perform <br> thermodynamic calculations. | 63.00 | 24.00 | $72 \%$ |
| CHEM151.4 | CHEM151 SLO4 - Define and <br> explain concepts of equilibria. | 4.00 | 2.00 | $67 \%$ |  |
|  | CHEM151 SLO5 - Interpret a pH <br> graph from an acid-base titration. | 4.00 | 2.00 | $67 \%$ |  |
|  | CHEM151. | CHEM151 SLO6 - Perform <br> qualitative analysis. | 4.00 | 2.00 | $67 \%$ |

## SLO/CLO Data

## Fall 2018

| Program |  | Term $\sum_{x}$ |  | Number Met |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemistry | $\checkmark$ | Fall 2018 | $\checkmark$ | Number Not Met |

6. Historical Course Performance: Chemistry- This is SLO assessment by course, including percent and number of students that met standards.

| CHEM150 | 38.0 |  | 185.0 | $\square 83 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CHEM151 | 36.0 | 42.0 | $\square 54 \%$ |  |
| CHEM120 | 0.0 |  |  |  |

Historical CLO Performance Table: Chemistry- This is a chart of the table above.

|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bar{U}} \\ & \stackrel{U}{0} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\Sigma} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sum_{\substack{\text { 피 }}}^{\vdots}$ | CHEM120.4 | CHEM120 SLO4 - Define and give examples of chemical terms. | 3.00 | 0.00 | 100\% |
|  | CHEM150.1 | CHEM150 SLO1 - Perform stoichiometric calculations. | 32.00 | 6.00 | 84\% |
|  | CHEM150.2 | CHEM150 SLO2 - Balance chemical equations, including oxidationreduction. | 33.00 | 5.00 | 87\% |
|  | CHEM150.3 | CHEM150 SLO3 - Solve questions involving gas laws | 11.00 | 3.00 | 79\% |
|  | CHEM150.4 | CHEM150 SLO4 - Provide the quantum numbers for any specific electron. | 87.00 | 18.00 | 83\% |
|  | CHEM150.5 | CHEM150 SLO5 - Perform calculations involving thermodynamics. | 11.00 | 3.00 | 79\% |
|  | CHEM150.6 | CHEM150 SLO6 - Perform laboratory quantitative analysis. | 11.00 | 3.00 | 79\% |
|  | CHEM151.1 | CHEM151 SLO1 - Perform kinetic calculations. | 7.00 | 6.00 | 54\% |
|  | CHEM151.2 | CHEM151 SLO2 - Perform equilibrium calculations. | 7.00 | 6.00 | 54\% |
|  | CHEM151.3 | CHEM151 SLO3 - Perform thermodynamic calculations. | 7.00 | 6.00 | 54\% |
|  | CHEM151.4 | CHEM151 SLO4 - Define and explain concepts of equilibria. | 7.00 | 6.00 | 54\% |
|  | CHEM151.5 | CHEM151 SLO5 - Interpret a pH graph from an acid-base titration. | 7.00 | 6.00 | 54\% |
|  | CHEM151.6 | CHEM151 SLO6 - Perform qualitative analysis. | 7.00 | 6.00 | 54\% |

## SLO/CLO Data

Spring 2019

| Program |  |  | 「 ${ }^{2}$ | Number Met |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemistry | $\checkmark$ | Spring 2019 | $\checkmark$ | Number Not Met |

6. Historical Course Performance: Chemistry- This is SLO Historical CLO Performance Table: Chemistry- This is a chart of the assessment by course, including percent and number of students that met table above. standards.

CHEM150 $3.00 \quad 54.00 \quad 95 \%$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\Psi}}{\stackrel{\vdots}{\top}}$ | CHEM150.6 | CHEM150 SLO6 - Perform laboratory quantitative analysis. | 54.00 | 3.00 | 95\% |

Annual Update Student Learning Outcomes Packet

## III. Quality and Innovation in the Program and Curriculum Review

Please refer to the current SLO data set for your program found at: http://research.hancockcollege. edu/student learning outcomes/matrix.html\#Top
a. Are you on track in your assessment plan for course and program SLOs? If not, please explain why.
b. Have you shared your assessments or improvement plans with your department, program or advisory committee? If so, what actions resulted? If not, how do you plan to do so in the future?
c. Did any of section, course or program improvement plans indicate that your program would benefit from specific resources in order to support student learning and/or faculty development? If so, please explain.
d. In reviewing your outcomes and assessments have you identified any and all that indicate a modification should be made to the course outline, the student learning outcomes or the program outcomes? Please state what modifications you will be making.
e. Have all course outlines been reviewed within the last 5 years? If not, please explain the plan to bring course outlines up to date and include time-lines for the review and submission to AP\&P.

*This section from the this document is under the heading "Assessments contains all of the outcomes that were measured and indicate performance. Below, you can find the dashboard with SLO performance by outcomes. You can filter based on outcome, discipline, and term. You can use the "Snipping Tool" to add any visual charts to your update. Also, you can use the data to make conclusions about assessment practices.


## Chemistry

Date: 02/28/2019
Terms Spring 2018, Fall 2017, Summer 2017
Summary

| Statistic | Number of Courses | Courses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Courses in the Department | 7 | CHEM110, CHEM120, CHEM140, CHEM150, CHEM151, CHEM180, CHEM181 |
| Courses with CSLOs | 7 | CHEM110, CHEM120, CHEM140, CHEM150, CHEM151, CHEM180, CHEM181 |
| Courses without CSLOs | 0 |  |
| Courses with CSLOs mapped to PSLOs | 7 | CHEM110, CHEM120, CHEM140, CHEM150, CHEM151, CHEM180, CHEM181 |
| Courses without CSLOs mapped to PSLOs | 0 |  |
| Courses with direct assessment of PSLOs | 0 |  |
| Courses with CSLOs mapped to ILOs | 7 | CHEM110, CHEM120, CHEM140, CHEM150, CHEM151, CHEM180 CHEM181 |
| Courses without CSLOs mapped to ILOs | 0 |  |
| Courses with direct assessment of ILOs | 0 |  |
| Courses with at least one planned Assessment | 3 | CHEM120, CHEM150, CHEM151 |
| Courses with planned Assessments scored | 2 | CHEM150, CHEM151 |
| Courses with some Assessments scored | 1 | CHEM120 |
| Courses without any Assessment scored | 0 |  |
| Courses with no planned Assessments | 4 | CHEM110, CHEM140, CHEM180, CHEM181 |
| Courses with at least one planned Action Plan | 7 | CHEM110, CHEM120, CHEM140, CHEM150, CHEM151, CHEM180 CHEM181 |
| Courses with Action Plan Responses | 0 |  |
| Courses with some Action Plan Responses | 0 |  |
| Courses without Action Plan Responses | 7 | CHEM120, CHEM110, CHEM140, CHEM150, CHEM151, CHEM180, CHEM181 |
| Courses with no planned Action Plans | 0 |  |

CHEM110 - Chemistry and Society
SLOs

| CSLOs | » CHEM110 SLO1 - Describe the structure and composition of matter and its relationship tp the macroscopic properties of substances. <br> » CHEM110 SLO2 - Describe the nature and characteristics of chemical reactions. <br> » CHEM110 SLO3 - Apply their knowledge of chemistry to analyze current science and technological development including its risks and benefits. <br> » CHEM110 SLO4 - Describe the structure and functions of organic compounds, acids and bases. <br> » CHEM110 SLO5 - Demonstrate proficiency with basic chemistry apparatus and analyzin data from experiments. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mapped PSLOs | Chemistry Program Outcomes <br> Chemistry Program Outcomes <br> » CHEM PSLO - Course doesn't map to a degree or certificate. |
| Mapped ILOs | ILO <br> ILO 5 - Quantitative Literacy <br> » ILO 5 - Quantitative Literacy: Use mathematical concepts and models to analyze and sol real life issues or problems. |

## Action Plans

## Fall 2017

2017 Course Improvement Plan

| Expected Action | Action Type | Respondent | Action Taken | Date | Resource Request |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allan Hancock College >> Chemistry >> CHEM110 - Fall 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spring 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 Context Improvement Plan |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expected Action | Action Type | Respondent | Action Taken | Date | Resource Request |
| Allan Hancock College >> Chemistry >> CHEM110 - Spring 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 Course Improvement Plan |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expected Action | Action Type | Respondent | Action Taken | Date | Resource Request |
| Allan Hancock College >> Chemistry >> CHEM110 - Spring 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |

CHEM120 - Introductory Chemistry
SLOs

| CSLOs | » CHEM120 SLO1 - Use scientific notation, significant figures, and dimensional analysis. <br> » CHEM120 SLO2 - Write formulas and names of simple compounds and ions. <br> » CHEM120 SLO3 - Solve problems related to chemical equations and density. <br> » CHEM120 SLO4 - Define and give examples of chemical terms. <br> » CHEM120 SLO5 - Able to use the appropriate laboratory apparatus to perform accurate precise measurements. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mapped PSLOs | Chemistry Program Outcomes <br> Chemistry Program Outcomes <br> » CHEM PSLO - Course doesn't map to a degree or certificate. |
| Mapped ILOs | ILO <br> ILO 5 - Quantitative Literacy <br> » ILO 5 - Quantitative Literacy: Use mathematical concepts and models to analyze and sol real life issues or problems. <br> ILO 6 - Scientific Literacy <br> » ILO 6 - Scientific Literacy: Use scientific knowledge and methodologies to assess potenti solutions to real-life challenges. <br> ILO 2 - Critical Thinking \& Problem Solving <br> » ILO 2 - Critical Thinking \& Problem Solving: Explore issues through various information sources; evaluate the credibility and significance of both the information and the source to arrive at a reasoned conclusion. |

## Assessments

## Summer 2017

SLO\#1

| SLO | Scored | Institutional <br> Exceeds <br> Standards | Institutional <br> Meets Standards | Institutional <br> Below Standards | N/A |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHEM120 SLO1 - Use <br> scientific notation, significant <br> figures, and dimensional <br> analysis. | 18 of 151 | 16 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

SLO5 use la apparatus accuratel; y and prercisely

|  | Scored | Institutional <br> Exceeds <br> Standards | Institutional <br> Meets Standards | Institutional <br> Below Standards | N/A |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHEM120 SLO5 - Able to use <br> the appropriate laboratory <br> apparatus to perform accurate <br> $\&$ precise measurements. | 28 of 151 | 22 | 4 |  | 1 |

SLO5 use lab apparatus accurately \& precisely

|  | Scored | Institutional <br> Exceeds <br> Standards | Institutional <br> Meets Standards | Institutional <br> Below Standards | N/A |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHEM120 SLO5 - Able to use <br> the appropriate laboratory <br> apparatus to perform accurate <br> $\&$ precise measurements. | 28 of 151 | 19 | 6 |  | 3 |

## Spring 2018

CHEM120

| SLO | Scored | Institutional <br> Exceeds <br> Standards | Institutional <br> Meets Standards | Institutional <br> Below Standards | N/A |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| CHEM120 SLO1 - Use scientific notation, significant figures, and dimensional analysis. | 0 of 254 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHEM120 SLO2 - Write formulas and names of simple compounds and ions. | 0 of 254 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CHEM120 SLO3 - Solve problems related to chemical equations and density. | 0 of 254 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CHEM120 SLO4 - Define and give examples of chemical terms. | 0 of 254 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CHEM120 SLO5 - Able to use the appropriate laboratory apparatus to perform accurate \& precise measurements. | 0 of 254 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SLO\#1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SLO | Scored | Institutional Exceeds Standards | Institutional Meets Standards | Institutional Below Standards | N/A |
| CHEM120 SLO1 - Use scientific notation, significant figures, and dimensional analysis. | 23 of 254 | 14 | 7 | 0 | 2 |

## Action Plans

## Fall 2017

2017 Course Improvement Plan

| Expected Action | Action <br> Type | Respondent | Action Taken | Date | Resource <br> Request |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allan Hancock College >> Chemistry >> CHEM120 - Fall 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |

Spring 2018
2017 Context Improvement Plan

| Expected Action | Action <br> Type | Respondent | Action Taken | Date | Resource <br> Request |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Expected Action | Action <br> Type | Respondent | Action Taken | Date | Resource <br> Request |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allan Hancock College >> Chemistry >> CHEM120 - Spring 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |

## CHEM140 - Intro Organic Chemistry

| CSLOs | » CHEM140 SLO1 - Write, name and formula of organic compounds. <br> » CHEM140 SLO2 - Identify the chemical properties and hazards of the common classes organic compounds. <br> » CHEM140 SLO3 - Demonstrate the common means of identifying chemical compounds and have a working knowledge of the various instrumental analytical techniques. <br> » CHEM140 SLO4 - Demonstrate the mechanisms by which the common addition and substitution reactions take place. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mapped PSLOs | Chemistry Program Outcomes <br> Chemistry Program Outcomes <br> » CHEM PSLO - Course doesn't map to a degree or certificate. |
| Mapped ILOs | ILO <br> ILO 6 - Scientific Literacy <br> » ILO 6 - Scientific Literacy: Use scientific knowledge and methodologies to assess potenti solutions to real-life challenges. <br> ILO 2 - Critical Thinking \& Problem Solving <br> » ILO 2 - Critical Thinking \& Problem Solving: Explore issues through various information sources; evaluate the credibility and significance of both the information and the source to arrive at a reasoned conclusion. |

## Action Plans

## Fall 2017

2017 Course Improvement Plan

| Expected Action | Action <br> Type | Respondent | Action Taken | Date | Resource <br> Request |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Spring 2018

2017 Context Improvement Plan

| Expected Action | Action <br> Type | Respondent | Action Taken | Date | Resource <br> Request |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Expected Action | Action <br> Type | Respondent | Action Taken | Date | Resource <br> Request |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| CSLOs | » CHEM150 SLO1 - Perform stoichiometric calculations. <br> » CHEM150 SLO2 - Balance chemical equations, including oxidation-reduction. <br> » CHEM150 SLO3 - Solve questions involving gas laws <br> » CHEM150 SLO4 - Provide the quantum numbers for any specific electron. <br> » CHEM150 SLO5 - Perform calculations involving thermodynamics. <br> » CHEM150 SLO6 - Perform laboratory quantitative analysis. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mapped PSLOs | Chemistry Program Outcomes <br> Chemistry Program Outcomes <br> » CHEM PSLO - The student will demonstrate mastery of the approach and rationale of th申 scientific method and be able to apply these principles to solve problems. <br> » CHEM PSLO - The student will demonstrate mastery of stoichiometric calculations. <br> » CHEM PSLO - The student will demonstrate mastery of laboratory technique. |
| Mapped ILOs | ILO <br> ILO 5 - Quantitative Literacy <br> » ILO 5 - Quantitative Literacy: Use mathematical concepts and models to analyze and sol real life issues or problems. <br> ILO 6 - Scientific Literacy <br> » ILO 6 - Scientific Literacy: Use scientific knowledge and methodologies to assess potentie solutions to real-life challenges. <br> ILO 2 - Critical Thinking \& Problem Solving <br> » ILO 2 - Critical Thinking \& Problem Solving: Explore issues through various information sources; evaluate the credibility and significance of both the information and the source to arrive at a reasoned conclusion. |

## Assessments

## Fall 2017

CHEM150 ALLSLOS SMG F2017

| SLO | Scored | Institutional <br> Exceeds <br> Standards | Institutional <br> Meets Standards | Institutional <br> Below Standards | N/A |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHEM150 SLO1 - Perform <br> stoichiometric calculations. | 42 of 151 | 3 | 28 | 11 | 0 |
| CHEM150 SLO2 - Balance <br> chemical equations, including <br> oxidation-reduction. | 42 of 151 | 9 | 22 | 11 | 0 |
| CHEM150 SLO3 - Solve <br> questions involving gas laws | 42 of 151 | 5 | 33 | 4 | 0 |
| CHEM150 SLO4 - Provide the <br> quantum numbers for any <br> specific electron. | 42 of 151 | 15 | 12 | 15 | 0 |
| CHEM150 SLO5 - Perform <br> calculations involving <br> thermodynamics. | 42 of 151 | 1 | 25 | 16 | 0 |

All SLOs

| SLO | Scored | Institutional <br> Exceeds <br> Standards | Institutional <br> Meets Standards | Institutional <br> Below Standards | N/A |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHEM150 SLO1 - Perform <br> stoichiometric calculations. | 17 of 151 | 2 | 12 | 3 | 0 |
| CHEM150 SLO2 - Balance <br> chemical equations, including <br> oxidation-reduction. | 17 of 151 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 0 |
| CHEM150 SLO3 - Solve <br> questions involving gas laws | 17 of 151 | 2 | 10 | 5 | 0 |
| CHEM150 SLO4 - Provide the <br> quantum numbers for any <br> specific electron. | 17 of 151 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 0 |
| CHEM150 SLO5 - Perform <br> calculations involving <br> thermodynamics. | 17 of 151 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 0 |
| CHEM150 SLO6 - Perform <br> laboratory quantitative analysis. | 17 of 151 | 2 | 3 | 12 | 0 |

## SLO2 Balance Redox

| SLO | Scored | Institutional <br> Exceeds <br> Standards | Institutional <br> Meets Standards | Institutional <br> Below Standards | N/A |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHEM150 SLO2 - Balance <br> chemical equations, including <br> oxidation-reduction. | 30 of 151 | 18 | 6 | 4 | 2 |

SLO2 Balance Redox

| SLO | Scored | Institutional <br> Exceeds <br> Standards | Institutional <br> Meets Standards | Institutional <br> Below Standards | N/A |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHEM150 SLO2 - Balance <br> chemical equations, including <br> oxidation-reduction. | 31 of 151 | 18 | 10 | 3 | 0 |

SLO2 Balance Redox

| SLO | Scored | Institutional <br> Exceeds <br> Standards | Institutional <br> Meets Standards | Institutional <br> Below Standards | N/A |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHEM150 SLO2 - Balance <br> chemical equations, including <br> oxidation-reduction. | 31 of 151 | 13 | 11 | 7 | 0 |

Spring 2018
gas law

| SLO | Scored | Institutional <br> Exceeds <br> Standards | Institutional <br> Meets Standards | Institutional <br> Below Standards | N/A |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHEM150 SLO3 - Solve <br> questions involving gas laws | 27 of 94 | 9 | 11 | 5 | 2 |

## SLO4 - quantum numbers

| SLO | Scored | Institutional <br> Exceeds <br> Standards | Institutional <br> Meets Standards | Institutional <br> Below Standards | N/A |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHEM150 SLO4 - Provide the <br> quantum numbers for any <br> specific electron. | 29 of 94 | 7 | 16 | 4 | 2 |

## Action Plans

## Fall 2017

2017 Course Improvement Plan

$\left.$| Expected Action | Action <br> Type | Respondent | Action Taken | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Resource |
| :---: |
| Request | \right\rvert\, |  |
| :--- | :--- |

Spring 2018
2017 Context Improvement Plan

| Expected Action | Action Type | Respondent | Action Taken | Date | Resource Request |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allan Hancock College >> Chemistry >> CHEM150 - Spring 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 Course Improvement Plan |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expected Action | Action Type | Respondent | Action Taken | Date | Resource Request |

## CHEM151 - General Chemistry 2

## SLOs

| CSLOs | » CHEM151 SLO1 - Perform kinetic calculations. <br> » CHEM151 SLO2 - Perform equilibrium calculations. <br> » CHEM151 SLO3 - Perform thermodynamic calculations. <br> » CHEM151 SLO4 - Define and explain concepts of equilibria. <br> » CHEM151 SLO5 - Interpret a pH graph from an acid-base titration. <br> » CHEM151 SLO6 - Perform qualitative analysis. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mapped PSLOs | Chemistry Program Outcomes <br> Chemistry Program Outcomes <br> » CHEM PSLO - The student will demonstrate mastery of the approach and rationale of the scientific method and be able to apply these principles to solve problems. <br> » CHEM PSLO - The student will demonstrate mastery of stoichiometric calculations. <br> » CHEM PSLO - The student will demonstrate mastery of laboratory technique. |
| Mapped ILOs | ILO <br> ILO 5-Quantitative Literacy <br> » ILO 5 - Quantitative Literacy: Use mathematical concepts and models to analyze and sol real life issues or problems. <br> ILO 6 - Scientific Literacy <br> » ILO 6 - Scientific Literacy: Use scientific knowledge and methodologies to assess potenti solutions to real-life challenges. <br> ILO 2 - Critical Thinking \& Problem Solving <br> » ILO 2 - Critical Thinking \& Problem Solving: Explore issues through various information sources; evaluate the credibility and significance of both the information and the source to arrive at a reasoned conclusion. |

## Assessments

## Fall 2017

SLO 2

| SLO | Scored | Institutional <br> Exceeds <br> Standards | Institutional <br> Meets Standards | Institutional <br> Below Standards | N/A |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHEM151 SLO2 - Perform <br> equilibrium calculations. | 24 of 24 | 6 | 4 | 14 | 0 |

Spring 2018
SLO3 - Perform thermodynamic calculations

| SLO | Scored | Institutional <br> Exceeds <br> Standards | Institutional <br> Meets Standards | Institutional <br> Below Standards | N/A |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHEM151 SLO3 - Perform <br> thermodynamic calculations. | 28 of 92 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 2 |

## SLO3 - thermodynamic calculations

| SLO | Scored | Institutional <br> Exceeds <br> Standards | Institutional <br> Meets Standards | Institutional <br> Below Standards | N/A |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHEM151 SLO3 - Perform <br> thermodynamic calculations. | 28 of 92 | 12 | 8 | 7 | 1 |

SLO3 - thermodynamic calculations

| SLO | Scored | Institutional <br> Exceeds <br> Standards | Institutional <br> Meets Standards | Institutional <br> Below Standards | N/A |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHEM151 SLO3 - Perform <br> thermodynamic calculations. | 29 of 92 | 8 | 11 | 9 | 1 |

## Action Plans

## Fall 2017

2017 Course Improvement Plan

| Expected Action | Action <br> Type | Respondent | Action Taken | Date | Resource <br> Request |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allan Hancock College >> Chemistry >> CHEM151 - Fall 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Spring 2018

2017 Context Improvement Plan

| Expected Action | Action <br> Type | Respondent | Action Taken | Date | Resource <br> Request |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

2017 Course Improvement Plan

| Expected Action | Action <br> Type | Respondent | Action Taken | Date | Resource <br> Request |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## CHEM180 - Organic Chemistry I

SLOs

| CSLOs | » CHEM180 SLO1 - Make predictions on physical properties and chemical reactivity based on molecular structure. <br> » CHEM180 SLO2 - Define structures of alcohols, alkyl halides, and hydrocarbons and be able to draw the condensed and line-bond formulas (Kekulé structures). <br> » CHEM180 SLO3 - Determine reaction mechanisms and propose synthesis routes for organic reactions to be carried out in the laboratory. <br> » CHEM180 SLO4 - Identify compounds through the use of chromatography, refractometry, polarimetry, and IR, MS, and NMR spectroscopy. <br> » CHEM180 SLO5 - Synthesize and purify compounds utilizing crystallization, sublimation, and distillation through macro- and micro-scale procedures. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mapped PSLOs | Chemistry Program Outcomes <br> Chemistry Program Outcomes <br> » CHEM PSLO - The student will demonstrate mastery of the approach and rationale of th $\&$ scientific method and be able to apply these principles to solve problems. <br> » CHEM PSLO - The student will demonstrate mastery of laboratory technique. |
| Mapped ILOs | ILO <br> ILO 6 - Scientific Literacy <br> » ILO 6 - Scientific Literacy: Use scientific knowledge and methodologies to assess potentia solutions to real-life challenges. <br> ILO 2 - Critical Thinking \& Problem Solving <br> » ILO 2 - Critical Thinking \& Problem Solving: Explore issues through various information sources; evaluate the credibility and significance of both the information and the source to arrive at a reasoned conclusion. |

## Action Plans

## Fall 2017

2017 Course Improvement Plan

| Expected Action | Action <br> Type | Respondent | Action Taken | Date | Resource <br> Request |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allan Hancock College >> Chemistry >> CHEM180 - Fall 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Spring 2018

2017 Context Improvement Plan

| Expected Action | Action <br> Type | Respondent | Action Taken | Date | Resource <br> Request |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Expected Action | Action <br> Type | Respondent | Action Taken | Date | Resource <br> Request |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## CHEM181 - Organic Chemistry II

## SLOs

| CSLOs | » CHEM181 SLO1 - Make predictions on physical properties and chemical reactivity based on molecular structure. <br> » CHEM181 SLO2 - Define structures of aldehydes, amides, amines, carboxylic acids, esters, and ketones and be able to draw the condensed and line-bond formulas (Kekulé structures). <br> » CHEM181 SLO3 - Determine reaction mechanisms and propose synthesis routes for organic reactions to be carried out in the laboratory. <br> » CHEM181 SLO4 - Identify compounds through the use of chromatography, IR, NMR, and UV-spectroscopy. <br> » CHEM181 SLO5 - Synthesize and purify compounds utilizing crystallization, sublimation, and distillation through macro- and micro-scale procedures. <br> » CHEM181 SLO6 - Relate functional groups to carbohydrate, lipid, nucleic acid, and prote classification and structure. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mapped PSLOs | Chemistry Program Outcomes <br> Chemistry Program Outcomes <br> » CHEM PSLO - The student will demonstrate mastery of the approach and rationale of the scientific method and be able to apply these principles to solve problems. <br> » CHEM PSLO - The student will demonstrate mastery of laboratory technique. |
| Mapped ILOs | ILO <br> ILO 6 - Scientific Literacy <br> » ILO 6 - Scientific Literacy: Use scientific knowledge and methodologies to assess potentia solutions to real-life challenges. <br> ILO 2 - Critical Thinking \& Problem Solving <br> » ILO 2 - Critical Thinking \& Problem Solving: Explore issues through various information sources; evaluate the credibility and significance of both the information and the source to arrive at a reasoned conclusion. |

## Action Plans

## Fall 2017

2017 Course Improvement Plan

| Expected Action | Action <br> Type | Respondent | Action Taken | Date | Resource <br> Request |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Spring 2018

2017 Context Improvement Plan

| Expected Action | Action <br> Type | Respondent | Action Taken | Date | Resource <br> Request |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

2017 Course Improvement Plan

| Expected Action | Action <br> Type | Respondent | Action Taken | Date | Resource <br> Request |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

# Chemistry Program Review Review of Prerequisites, Corequisites, and Advisories - Summary 

# PREREQUISITES, COREQUISITES, ADVISORIES <br> UC/CSU COMPARISON SHEET 

Course Prefix and Number CHEM 120 (Introductory Chemistry)
Department_Life and Phyiscal Sciences_Responsible Instructor_Dustin Nouri
Prerequisite being reviewed: MATH309 or MATH311 (Algebra I)
Use one form for each prerequisite/corequisite/advisory if the course has more than one

The following UC or CSU campus offers the same course and is identified as:
Institution $\quad$ Name of Parallel Course $\quad$ Prerequisite of Parallel Course
CSU Sacramento CHEM 6A Introduction to Gen. Chemistry one year of high school algebra

CSU Fullerton CHEM 100 Survey of Chemistry one year of high school algebra

CSU LA CHEM 151 Fundamentals of Chemistry one year of high school algebra

The prerequisites at the above institutions are the same courses or the same experience (if a sequence is the stated prerequisite) as the Allan Hancock College prerequisite, and it is the recommendation of the faculty that the stated prerequisite be maintained.


## PREREQUISITES, COREQUISITES, ADVISORIES

UC/CSU COMPARISON SHEET

Course Prefix and Number CHEM 140 (Introductory Organic and Biological Chemistry)
Department_Life and Phyiscal Sciences__ Responsible Instructor_Dustin Nouri
Prerequisite being reviewed: CHEM 120 (Introductory Chemistry
Use one form for each prerequisite/corequisite/advisory if the course has more than one

The following UC or CSU campus offers the same course and is identified as:
Institution $\quad$ Name of Parallel Course $\quad$ Prerequisite of Parallel Course
CSU San Diego
CHEM 130 Elementary Organic Chemistry
Chemistry 100 or 200

CSU Fresno CHEM 3B Introductory Organic and Biochemistry CHEM 3A

CSU San Bernadino CHEM 206 Fundamentals of Chemistry II CHEM 205 or CHEM 215

The prerequisites at the above institutions are the same courses or the same experience (if a sequence is the stated prerequisite) as the Allan Hancock College prerequisite, and it is the recommendation of the faculty that the stated prerequisite be maintained.


[^0]
## PREREQUISITES, COREQUISITES, ADVISORIES <br> UC/CSU COMPARISON SHEET

Course Prefix and Number CHEM 150 (General Chemistry 1)
Department_Life and Phyiscal Sciences $\qquad$ Responsible Instructor_Dustin Nouri $\qquad$
Prerequisite being reviewed: CHEM 120 (Introductory Chemistry
Use one form for each prerequisite/corequisite/advisory if the course has more than one

The following UC or CSU campus offers the same course and is identified as:
Institution $\quad$ Name of Parallel Course $\quad$ Prerequisite of Parallel Course

CSU Domingues Hills CHE 110 General Chemistry I CHE 108 Introduction to College Chemistry

CSU Bakersfield CHEM 211 Principles of General Chemistry

CSU Channel Islands CHEM 121 General Chemistry I
CHEM 101 Preparation for College Chemistry
$\qquad$
CHEM 105 Introduction to Chemistry

The prerequisites at the above institutions are the same courses or the same experience (if a sequence is the stated prerequisite) as the Allan Hancock College prerequisite, and it is the recommendation of the faculty that the stated prerequisite be maintained.


## PREREQUISITES, COREQUISITES, ADVISORIES

UC/CSU COMPARISON SHEET

Course Prefix and Number CHEM 150 (General Chemistry 1)
Department_Life and Phyiscal Sciences_Re_Responsible Instructor_Dustin Nouri
Prerequisite being reviewed: AND MATH331 (Algebra 2)
Use one form for each prerequisite/corequisite/advisory if the course has more than one
The following UC or CSU campus offers the same course and is identified as:

| Institution | Name of Parallel Course |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CSU Sacramento | CHEM 1A General Chemistry |  |
| High school algebra (two years) |  |  |

CHEM 101 General Chemistry I
two years of high school algebra

The prerequisites at the above institutions are the same courses or the same experience (if a sequence is the stated prerequisite) as the Allan Hancock College prerequisite, and it is the recommendation of the faculty that the stated prerequisite be maintained.


# PREREQUISITES, COREQUISITES, ADVISORIES <br> UC/CSU COMPARISON SHEET 

Course Prefix and Number CHEM 151 General Chemistry 2)

Department Life and Phyiscal Sciences $\qquad$ Responsible Instructor_ Dustin Nouri $\qquad$
Prerequisite being reviewed: CHEM 150 (General Chemistry 1)
Use one form for each prerequisite/corequisite/advisory if the course has more than one

The following UC or CSU campus offers the same course and is identified as:
Institution $\quad$ Name of Parallel Course $\quad$ Prerequisite of Parallel Course
UC Berkley Chemistry 1B General Chemistry

CSU Channel Islands
Chem 122 General Chemistry

CSU Sacramento
Chem 1B General Chemistry II

The prerequisites at the above institutions are the same courses or the same experience (if a sequence is the stated prerequisite) as the Allan Hancock College prerequisite, and it is the recommendation of the faculty that the stated prerequisite be maintained.


## PREREQUISITES, COREQUISITES, ADVISORIES

UC/CSU COMPARISON SHEET

Course Prefix and Number CHEM 180 (Organic Chemistry 1)
Department_Life and Phyiscal Sciences Responsible Instructor_Dustin Nouri
Prerequisite being reviewed: CHEM 151 (General Chemistry 2)
Use one form for each prerequisite/corequisite/advisory if the course has more than one
The following UC or CSU campus offers the same course and is identified as:
Institution $\quad$ Name of Parallel Course $\quad$ Prerequisite of Parallel Course
CSU Fresno Chemistry 128A Organic Chemistry Chemistry 1B

CSU Channel Islands Chem 311/312 Organic Chemistry I \& I L Chem 122 General Chemistry
$\qquad$
CSU Sacramento
Chem 1B

The prerequisites at the above institutions are the same courses or the same experience (if a sequence is the stated prerequisite) as the Allan Hancock College prerequisite, and it is the recommendation of the faculty that the stated prerequisite be maintained.


[^1]Course Prefix and Number CHEM 181 (Organic Chemistry 2)
Department_Life and Phyiscal Sciences __ Responsible Instructor_Dustin Nouri
Prerequisite being reviewed: CHEM 180 (Organic Chemistry 1)
Use one form for each prerequisite/corequisite/advisory if the course has more than one
The following UC or CSU campus offers the same course and is identified as:
Institution $\quad$ Name of Parallel Course $\quad$ Prerequisite of Parallel Course
CSU Fresno Chemistry 128B Organic Chemistry Chemistry 128A

CSU Channel Islands Chem 314/315 Organic Chemistry II \& II L Chem 311/312 Organic Chemistry I \& I L

CSU Sacramento
Chem 124/125 Organic Chemistry II \& II L Chem 24/25 Organic Chemistry I \& I L

The prerequisites at the above institutions are the same courses or the same experience (if a sequence is the stated prerequisite) as the Allan Hancock College prerequisite, and it is the recommendation of the faculty that the stated prerequisite be maintained.


[^2]
# Chemistry Program Review Plan of Action -Pre-Validation 

# PLAN OF ACTION - PRE-VALIDATION Six Year 

DEPARTMENT: Life and Physical Sciences
PROGRAM: CHEMISTRY

List below as specifically as possible the actions which the department plans to take as a result of this program review. Be sure to address any problem areas which you have discovered in your analysis of the program. Number each element of your plans separately and for each, please include a target date. Additionally, indicate by the number each institutional goal and objective which is addressed by each action plan. (See Institutional Goals and Objectives)

## RECOMMENDATIONS TO IMPROVE STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES AND ACHIEVMENT

1) The chemistry faculty will continue to support the MESA and STEM programs as well as the Learning Resource Center at the Santa Maria and Lompoc Valley Center campuses to help inform students of their support services. The faculty will continue to promote high academic standards and success in achieving Program Learning Outcomes so that our students may thrive once they have moved on to the next stage of their academic careers.
2) The chemistry faculty will continue to remain current in the latest chemistry literature and useful technology to help convey the material at the appropriate undergraduate level.
3) The full-time chemistry faculty will work with the Union and current contracts to help ensure the adjunct-faculty are properly compensated for the additional work associated with inputting Program Learning Outcome data.

Theme/Objective/ Strategy Number AHC
from Strategic Plan

1) A.1/A.7/B.7/C.7.
2) B.7.
3) B.7.

TARGET DATE

1) ONGOING
2) ONGOING
3) ONGOING

## RECOMMENDATIONS TO ACCOMMODATECHANGESIN STUDENT

 CHARACTERISTICS| Theme/Objective/ | TARGET |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strategy Number |  |
| AHC from Strategic | DATE |

## Enrollment Changes

The chemistry curriculum sections are growing and expanding. Additional lab space is being required at both campuses over the next program cycle. LVC has begun looking into converting LVC3-109 into a science lab. As they currently only have one functioning chemistry lab, this will help free up LVC3-102 for evening course offerings. The SM campus will likely look into M-212 since that lab has fume-hoods. Lab benches and gas lines will need to be run to make the room fully functional.
Demographic Changes
The chemistry program will continue to consider accommodations for student whom cannot attend day time classes. We need to expand LVC offerings and evening sections. Outfitting lecture and lab rooms with Zoom equipment can help during challenging times.

| A.2/B.4/D. 5 | ONGOING |
| :--- | :--- |
| A.3/D.5/D.7 | ONGOING |

RECOMMENDATIONS TOIMPROVETHE EDUCATIONALENVIRONMENT

| AHC from Strategic <br> Plan |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Curricular Changes <br> The chemistry faculty are updating curriculum to better serve the needs of the students. Our <br> CHEM140 course will be mapped to the C-ID CHM102 to help ease any transfer issues. <br> Sections of this course may be expanded to the sister campus, LVC, as need grows. |  | ONGOING |
| Co-Curricular Changes <br> A math review CANVAS course may need to be created to help our incoming students meet <br> the Basic Math Skills they require to succeed. | B.8 | ONGOING |


| Neighboring College and University <br> Plans <br> The chemistry faculty will continue to work with neighboring colleges and universities to <br> ensure that courses articulate and topics are aligned. | C.3/C.8/D.6/E.3 | ONGOING |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Related Community Plans <br> The chemistry faculty will continue to volunteer when asked as we have for science fairs, <br> Friday Night Science, tours of our department, brining hand-on chemistry to other schools, <br> and presenting professional development activities. | 8 | A.1/A.5/A.6/E.7/E. ONGOING |
|  |  |  |

RECOMMENDATIONS THAT REQUIRE ADDITIONAL
RESOURCES

| Facilities <br> 1) Service the fume-hoods annually as Cal OSHA requires (Keenan). <br> 2) LVC3-102, 3-114, 2-212, and 2-102 need smart podium upgrades. <br> 3) Need new whiteboards for M205/M213 <br> 4) Need new projector screens for M205/M-213 <br> 5) LVC 3-102 requires new ballasts for lighting <br> 6) Expansion into M212? $(\$ 235,000)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A.1/A.4/B.1/B.2/ } \\ & \text { B.3/E.1/E. } 2 \end{aligned}$ | 1)ONGOING <br> 2)FALL2023 <br> 3)FALL2025 <br> 4)SPRING2026 <br> 5) SPRING2023 <br> 6) SPRING2027 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment   <br> 1) SM Gloves $(\$ 10,000)$ LVC Gloves $(\$ 10,000)$ <br> 2) SM Equipment under $\$ 500(\$ 4,000)$ LVC Equipment under $\$ 500(\$ 3,000)$ <br>  + inflation $(\$ 1200)$ +inflation $(\$ 1200)$ <br> 3) SM Goggles $(\$ 12,000)$ LVC Goggles $(\$ 12,000)$ <br> 4) SM Analytical Balance $(\$ 3,500)$ LVC 7 Analytical Balances $(\$ 42,000)$ <br> 5) SM 16 Centrifuges $(\$ 38,400)$  <br> 6) ChemDraw Software $(\$ 4,250)$  <br> 7) SM Repairs $(\$ 500)$  <br> 8) LVC Water Bath $(\$ 900)$  <br> 9) LVC Fume  <br> 10) SM gas lines and lab benches gairs $(\$ 500)$  <br> 11) LVC MelTeols for new chemp equipment for CHEM140 $(\$ 7200)$  <br> 12) Student laptops at SM and LVC campuses need to be refreshed.  <br> 13) LVC 16 Stirrers $(\$ 5,400)$  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A.1/A.4/B.1/B.2/ } \\ & \text { B.3/D.6/D.7/E.1/ } \\ & \text { E. } 2 \end{aligned}$ | 1) ONGOING <br> 2) ONGOING <br> 3) FALL2023 <br> 4) FALL2023 <br> 5) FALL2023SPRING2024 <br> 6) FALL2025 <br> 7) ONGOING <br> 8) FALL2022 <br> 9)FALL2024 <br> 10)FALL2026 <br> 11)FALL2027 <br> 12) ONGOING <br> 13) FALL2023 |


| Staffing | A.1/A.4/B.1/B.2/ | 1) FALL2023 - |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1) $\quad$ Need full-time chemists to help fill the demand and need of the current sections offered | B.3/E.1/E.2 | FALL2025 |  |
| 2)SM Chemistry and Biology Lab Associate Position $(+\$ 55,000)$ <br> 3) <br> LVC Chemistry and Biology Lab Associate Position $(+\$ 55,000)$ | 2)SPRING2023 |  | 3)FALL2026 |

## EXHIBITS <br> Student Data Summary <br> Student Data Statistics

Articulation Status of Courses
Course Review Verification Sheets

## STUDENT DATA SUMMARY

Data analysis is a critical component of program review. The three categories below should be used as guidelines in developing a summary of the student data.

State at least three positive factors about the discipline/program identified by students. Include the number (or percentage) of students responding and any implications for planning.

The vast majority of students surveyed were satisfied (most of those "highly satisfied") with the following:

- $\quad 77 \%$ somewhat or highly satisfied with the "quality of instruction within the program" (Q2.1)
- $72 \%$ somewhat or highly satisfied with the "contribution towards [their] intellectual goal" (Q2.5)
- $71 \%$ somewhat or highly satisfied with the "physical facilities and space (e.g., classrooms, labs)" (Q2.11)
- $74 \%$ somewhat or highly satisfied with the "instructional equipment (e.g., computers, lab equipment)" (Q2.12)

In addition, of the students surveyed, $59 \%$ agree that "[they] would recommend taking courses in Chemistry" (Q6.1)

State at least three negative factors about the discipline/program identified by students. Include the number (or percentage) of students responding and any implications for planning.

1) Survey Question \#2.3: $16 \%$ of students surveyed are dissatisfied with the "advice about the program from counselors." $40 \%$ are neither satisfied nor dissatisfied, with only $44 \%$ being satisfied. (Chemistry is a challenging course, and the workload is often underestimated by students and counselors. With several students skipping recommended/required prerequisites via appeals through counseling, students may end up feeling underprepared for the classes in which they enrolled. Better coordination between the program and counseling is needed to properly convey the workload of our courses to students. In addition, specialized counselors for the science majors may help.)
2) Survey Question \#2.8: only $28 \%$ of students surveyed are satisfied with "the availability of courses offered in the Chemistry program." $38 \%$ are somewhat satisfied, with $25 \%$ being neither satisfied nor dissatisfied, and the remaining $10 \%$ being dissatisfied. (The demand from students for our courses has been increasing, while the availability of full-time faculty has not kept up. Future growth hires will likely help to staff more classes. However, the availability of lecture and lab space for additional classes is a more daunting hurdle to overcome. The possibility for remote options for some of our courses can also help to address our long waitlists.)
3) Survey Question \#2.14: only $31 \%$ of students surveyed are satisfied with "course assistance through tutorial services (e.g through the Tutorial Center, Math Lab, Writing Center)." $38 \%$ are somewhat satisfied, with $23 \%$ being neither satisfied nor dissatisfied, and the remaining $8 \%$ being dissatisfied. (The new STEM center is well equipped to help chemistry students, with the


#### Abstract

recent purchase of new molecular model kits and textbook hardcopies for students to use/borrow. In addition, embedded tutors have been implemented into some CHEM150 sections, with students giving positive feedback. The larger issue may be that students are generally unaware of the tutorial services made available to them, and more effort should be expended by instructors to make students aware of those resources.)


State any other information (use responsive numbers) that you obtained from student data (e.g. focus groups, questionnaires, or SGIDs) that may be of special interest to the self study team. What planning implications will result from this information?

It should be noted that our available student survey data is limited. It would have been extremely helpful to compare data from before, during, and after the COVID lockdown. This would help pinpoint issues with in-person v. online learning of chemistry within our program.

It should also be noted that $50 \%$ of the students surveyed were full-time students ( $12+$ units enrolled)(Q11), and 39\% of surveyed students plan on taking additional courses in Chemistry (Q6.2), which means that a significant number of the surveyed students may major in chemistry or a related subject. This makes the values gleaned from this survey even more poignant.

## Chemistry

## Fall 2021

Total Responses: 65

Please answer the following questions as they pertain to your experience in this course and all other courses in the Chemistry program at Allan Hancock College.

Q2_1 - Quality of instruction within the program
65 Responses


Q2_2 - The way textbooks and other materials used in courses within the program help me learn

64 Responses


## Q2_3 - Advice about the program from counselors



Q2_4 - The way this program meets your educational goals
62 Responses


Q2_5 - Contribution towards your intellectual growth


Q2_6 - Clarity of course goals and learning objectives


Q2_7 - Feedback and assessment of progress towards learning objectives

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $20 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Q2_8 - The availability of courses offered in the Chemistry program
61 Responses


Q2_9 - The content of courses offered in the Chemistry program
62 Responses


Q2_10 - The coordination of courses offered in the Chemistry program and courses offered in other departments that may be required for your major

59 Responses


Q2_11 - The physical facilities and space (e.g., classrooms, labs)
59 Responses


Q2_12 - Instructional equipment (e.g., computers, lab equipment)
59 Responses


Q2_13 - Presentation of classes via the college's Canvas course management system

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $20 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Q2_14 - Course assistance through tutorial services (e.g through the Tutorial Center, Math Lab, Writing Center)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $20 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Q2_15 - Availability of appropriate resources in the libraries
48 Responses



Highly satisfied

## Part II. Please answer the following questions about the Computer Business Information Systems (CBIS) program.

Q4 - Which of the following best describes your reason for taking this and other courses in Chemistry? - Selected Choice

66 Responses


Q5 - Compared to the beginning of the semester, your attitude about Chemistry has...

66 Responses


Q6_1 - I would recommend taking courses in Chemistry.
66 Responses


Q6_2 - I plan on taking additional courses in Chemistry.


Q7 - Which of the following courses have you taken in Chemistry?
48 Responses


Q8 - Which courses are you taking this semester in Chemistry?
55 Responses


Part III. Background questions.

Q10 - How many units have you completed prior to this semester?
63 Responses


Q11 - In how many units are you currently enrolled?
64 Responses


Q12 - What is your final academic goal?
66 Responses


## Program Data

STEP 1|Choose subjects:снем

## Subjects: CHEM

STEP 2|Choose awards: Chemistry
Awards: Chemistry

STEP 3|Choose majors: chemistry

Contents
1 - Enrollment, headcount, sections, FTES, retention, success
2 - Demographics
3 - Equity outcomes
4 - Online\Face to face comparison
5 - Efficiency
6 - Program awards \& majors
7 - Faculty load
A - Course demographic detail
B - Awards by major detail

## Student Majors: Chemistry

## Quick Program Facts



Retention=square | Success=circle


FTEF=Bar | FTES/FTEF=Triangle


Credit Awards - Gold=Cert | Green=AA/AS / Pink=ADT


| 1 Outcomes CHEM |  |  |  | course_ <br> All |  |  |  |  |  | EW Grade <br> Exclude EW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Sum } \\ 2014 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Sum } \\ 2015 \end{array}$ | Fall 2015 | Spring 2016 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sum } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | Fall 2016 | Spring 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sum } \\ 2017 \end{gathered}$ | Fall 2017 | Spring 2018 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Sum } \\ 2018 \end{array}$ | Fall 2018 | Spring 2019 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sum } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ | Fall 2019 | Spring 2020 | Fall 2020 | Spring 2021 |
| Sections | 5 | 6 | 16 | 16 | 7 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 16 | 21 | 5 | 17 | 20 | 6 | 18 | 21 | 20 | 21 |
| Headcount | 131 | 156 | 455 | 470 | 165 | 528 | 532 | 159 | 459 | 563 | 132 | 477 | 544 | 139 | 497 | 516 | 536 | 525 |
| Enrollment | 131 | 156 | 455 | 473 | 177 | 528 | 535 | 159 | 459 | 564 | 132 | 477 | 545 | 139 | 497 | 519 | 536 | 526 |
| retained | 124 | 145 | 387 | 421 | 166 | 447 | 439 | 151 | 382 | 472 | 128 | 389 | 468 | 134 | 404 | 377 | 436 | 427 |
| Retention \% | 95\% | 93\% | 85\% | 89\% | 94\% | 85\% | 82\% | 95\% | 83\% | 84\% | 97\% | 82\% | 86\% | 96\% | 82\% | 90\% | 81\% | 82\% |
| success | 119 | 138 | 291 | 357 | 160 | 344 | 318 | 136 | 325 | 409 | 120 | 314 | 384 | 120 | 340 | 356 | 329 | 362 |
| Success \% | 91\% | 88\% | 64\% | 75\% | 90\% | 65\% | 59\% | 86\% | 71\% | 73\% | 91\% | 66\% | 70\% | 86\% | 69\% | 85\% | 61\% | 69\% |
| FTES | 25.8 | 31.1 | 109.7 | 121.1 | 34.3 | 138.6 | 150.8 | 32.5 | 138.0 | 145.7 | 27.1 | 128.2 | 147.8 | 27.9 | 135.6 | 138.7 | 141.2 | 144.8 |

## Outcomes Allan Hancock College Credit

|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Sum } \\ 2015 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fall } \\ 2015 \end{array}$ | Winter $2016$ | Spring 2016 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Sum } \\ 2016 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fall } \\ 2016 \end{array}$ | Winter $2017$ | Spring 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sum } \\ 2017 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fall } \\ 2017 \end{gathered}$ | Winter 2018 | Spring 2018 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Sum } \\ 2018 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fall } \\ 2018 \end{array}$ | Winter $2019$ | Spring 2019 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Sum } \\ 2019 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fall } \\ 2019 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Spring } \\ 2020 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Sum } \\ 2020 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fall } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | Spring 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sections | 355 | 1,177 | 41 | 1,220 | 357 | 1,184 | 41 | 1,214 | 333 | 1,168 | 45 | 1,186 | 270 | 1,145 | 47 | 1,159 | 299 | 1,208 | 1,212 | 272 | 1,119 | 1,107 |
| Headco.. | 5,593 | 10,982 | 1,051 | 11,341 | 4,354 | 12,111 | 1,023 | 11,636 | 5,306 | 11,889 | 1,118 | 11,320 | 4,596 | 11,380 | 1,171 | 10,580 | 4,940 | 12,091 | 11,342 | 4,633 | 10,462 | 10,076 |
| Enrollm.. | 8,789 | 28,471 | 1,270 | 28,153 | 8,305 | 29,268 | 1,314 | 28,161 | 8,052 | 28,754 | 1,480 | 26,960 | 6,868 | 28,650 | 1,535 | 26,193 | 7,252 | 30,166 | 26,977 | 7,364 | 25,401 | 23,090 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Retentio } \\ & \mathrm{n} \% \end{aligned}$ | 90\% | 86\% | 84\% | 89\% | 90\% | 88\% | 87\% | 88\% | 90\% | 87\% | 87\% | 88\% | 90\% | 87\% | 88\% | 88\% | 92\% | 88\% | 92\% | 90\% | 88\% | 89\% |
| Success <br> \% | 77\% | 70\% | 71\% | 73\% | 80\% | 71\% | 77\% | 74\% | 80\% | 71\% | 79\% | 74\% | 80\% | 71\% | 79\% | 74\% | 81\% | 72\% | 85\% | 80\% | 72\% | 75\% |
| FTES | 1,009 | 3,807 | 111 | 3,715 | 967 | 4,197 | 115 | 4,020 | 900 | 4,126 | 139 | 3,869 | 835 | 4,061 | 169 | 3,827 | 846 | 4,136 | 3,763 | 827 | 3,531 | 3,231 |

CHEM Academic Year


AHC Credit Academic Year

| Sections | 2,793 | $2,551$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Headcount | 17,009 | $15,177$ |
| Enrollment | 66,683 | $57,651$ |
| Retention \% | 88\% | 89\% |
| Success \% | 72\% | 74\% |
| FTES | 8,642 |  |

Summer Terms


Winter Terms





1 Retention \& Success by academic year by course CHEM

| course_ |  |  |  |  |  | -18 | 2018-19 |  | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHEM110 | 71\% | 88\% | 63\% | 84\% | 48\% | 81\% |  |  | 45\% | 71\% | 57\% | 74\% |
| CHEM120 | 70\% | 88\% | 64\% | 85\% | 73\% | 86\% | 68\% | 86\% | 78\% | 88\% | 68\% | 85\% |
| CHEM140 | 77\% | 80\% | 83\% | 90\% | 85\% | 89\% | 72\% | 72\% | 100\% | 100\% | 78\% | 86\% |
| CHEM150 | 69\% | 85\% | 60\% | 79\% | 71\% | 81\% | 75\% | 87\% | 74\% | 83\% | 65\% | 80\% |
| CHEM151 | 91\% | 95\% | 88\% | 93\% | 86\% | 90\% | 77\% | 85\% | 87\% | 92\% | 53\% | 74\% |
| CHEM180 |  |  | 65\% | 78\% | 53\% | 68\% | 47\% | \% | 62\% | 67\% | 37\% | 58\% |
| CHEM181 |  |  | 81\% | 94\% | 100\% | 100\% | 86\% | 86\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Grand Total | 73\% | 88\% | 66\% | 85\% | 74\% | 85\% | 71\% | 85\% | 78\% | 87\% | 65\% | 82\% |




## Measure Names

Retention \%Success \%

1 Retention \& Success by summer term by course CHEM

| Term Code_ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| course | Sum 2015 |  | Sum 2016 |  | Sum 2017 |  | Sum 2018 |  | Sum 2019 |  | Sum 2020 |  |
| CHEM110 | 70\% | 85\% | 76\% | 88\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 58\% | 75\% |
| CHEM120 | 92\% | 95\% | 93\% | 95\% | 86\% | 95\% | 91\% | 97\% | 85\% | 96\% | 71\% | 92\% |
| CHEM140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100\% | 100\% |  |  |
| Grand Total | 88\% | 93\% | 90\% | 94\% | 86\% | 95\% | 91\% | 97\% | 86\% | 96\% | 69\% | 91\% |

[^3]1 Retention \& Success by fall term by course CHEM

| course_ | Fall 2015 |  | Fall 2016 |  | Fall 2017 |  | Fall 2018 |  | Fall 2019 |  | Fall 2020 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHEM110 | 70\% | 93\% | 58\% | 79\% |  |  |  |  | 35\% | 60\% | 41\% | 68\% |
| CHEM120 | 51\% | 81\% | 60\% | 85\% | 66\% | 81\% | 62\% | 82\% | 70\% | 85\% | 66\% | 86\% |
| CHEM150 | 90\% | 93\% | 74\% | 84\% | 79\% | 88\% | 77\% | 88\% | 71\% | 81\% | 63\% | 79\% |
| CHEM151 | 69\% | 183\% | 78\% | 96\% | 76\% | 83\% | 40\% |  | 71\% | 77\% | 48\% | 75\% |
| CHEM180 |  |  | 65\% | 78\% | 53\% | 68\% | 47\% |  | 62\% | 67\% | 37\% | 58\% |
| Grand Total | 64\% | 85\% | 65\% | 85\% | 71\% | 83\% | 66\% | 82\% | 69\% | 82\% | 61\% | 81\% |

## Measure Names

$\square$ Retention \%

- Success \%

1 Retention \& Success by spring term by course CHEM

| course_ | Spring 2016 |  | Spring 2017 |  | Spring 2018 |  | Spring 2019 |  | Spring 2020 |  | Spring 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHEM110 | 73\% | 85\% | 54\% | 83\% | 48\% | 81\% |  |  | 64\% | 91\% | 72\% | 80\% |
| CHEM120 | 82\% | 94\% | 51\% | 81\% | 72\% | 86\% | 62\% | 84\% | 85\% | 88\% | 71\% | 84\% |
| CHEM140 | 77\% | 80\% | 83\% | 90\% | 85\% | 89\% | 72\% | 72\% | 100\% | 100\% | 78\% | 86\% |
| CHEM150 | 48\% | 77\% | 42\% | 73\% | 60\% | 71\% | 72\% | 87\% | 78\% | 86\% | 67\% | 80\% |
| CHEM151 | 98\% | 99\% | 91\% | 92\% | 89\% | 92\% | 86\% | 93\% | 93\% | 97\% | 57\% | 74\% |
| CHEM181 |  |  | 81\% | 94\% | 100\% | 100\% | 86\% | 86\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Grand Total | 75\% | 89\% | 59\% | 82\% | 73\% | 84\% | 70\% | 86\% | 85\% | 90\% | 69\% | 82\% |

## Measure Names

- Retention \%
$\square$ Success \%


## 2 Program Demographics CHEM

course_
Choose individual course via filter or see Appendix A for full demographic course details
Academic Year

| Age Category | Academic Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015-16 |  | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  |
|  | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES |
| Under 20 | 267 | 72.6 | 341 | 108.1 | 393 | 114.9 | 410 | 126.1 | 403 | 132.7 | 387 | 116.8 |
| 20-24 | 457 | 136.8 | 471 | 157.8 | 418 | 144.3 | 385 | 126.1 | 377 | 114.5 | 358 | 114.3 |
| 25-29 | 115 | 32.4 | 118 | 36.5 | 109 | 34.3 | 108 | 33.4 | 97 | 27.7 | 83 | 25.9 |
| 30-34 | 35 | 7.3 | 35 | 9.8 | 36 | 11.0 | 34 | 11.4 | 47 | 14.8 | 55 | 16.2 |
| 35-39 | 22 | 5.2 | 25 | 6.1 | 17 | 4.5 | 13 | 3.5 | 19 | 6.0 | 24 | 7.0 |
| 40-49 | 22 | 4.8 | 16 | 3.8 | 20 | 5.1 | 8 | 1.9 | 19 | 5.0 | 13 | 4.4 |
| 50+ | 11 | 2.7 | 5 | 1.7 | 8 | 2.0 | 3 | 0.6 | 7 | 1.6 | 3 | 1.3 |
|  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  |
| ETHNICITY | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES |
| Asian | 33 | 12.1 | 38 | 12.1 | 26 | 9.4 | 25 | 8.3 | 30 | 11.6 | 17 | 4.5 |
| Black | 16 | 3.8 | 18 | 5.6 | 19 | 6.4 | 16 | 5.3 | 16 | 5.0 | 21 | 6.6 |
| Filipino | 32 | 10.3 | 42 | 13.2 | 43 | 14.3 | 46 | 16.4 | 33 | 11.7 | 35 | 12.8 |
| Hispanic | 527 | 151.8 | 584 | 191.2 | 567 | 182.4 | 489 | 153.2 | 456 | 142.4 | 422 | 132.5 |
| NativeAm | 14 | 4.4 | 19 | 6.0 | 13 | 4.0 | 20 | 5.9 | 19 | 6.6 | 15 | 4.7 |
| Other |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Paclsl | 4 | 1.4 | 6 | 2.0 | 9 | 2.6 | 4 | 1.6 | 4 | 1.3 | 6 | 2.4 |
| White | 286 | 78.1 | 293 | 91.1 | 312 | 96.9 | 340 | 111.6 | 384 | 121.4 | 382 | 119.8 |
|  | $2015$ |  | 2016 |  | $2017$ |  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  |
|  | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES |
| Female | 493 | 131.7 | 533 | 162.9 | 570 | 172.8 | 551 | 169.6 | 581 | 176.4 | 543 | 170.2 |
| Male | 419 | 130.1 | 464 | 157.2 | 417 | 142.8 | 387 | 132.3 | 358 | 122.8 | 342 | 108.7 |
| Unknown |  |  | 3 | 1.1 | 2 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.7 | 13 | 4.3 |
|  | 2015-16 |  | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  |
|  | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES |
| First Time | 74 | 16.3 | 95 | 23.0 | 81 | 19.1 | 114 | 28.3 | 129 | 32.9 | 128 | 30.6 |
| First Time Transfer | 36 | 6.8 | 42 | 9.5 | 40 | 9.7 | 37 | 9.4 | 23 | 5.7 | 36 | 9.6 |
| Continuing | 773 | 227.4 | 856 | 277.6 | 841 | 274.5 | 809 | 256.1 | 810 | 250.1 | 756 | 234.7 |
| Returning | 47 | 10.0 | 43 | 9.5 | 30 | 7.8 | 23 | 5.6 | 36 | 8.8 | 20 | 5.6 |
| Special Admit | 5 | 1.1 | 8 | 1.7 | 22 | 4.9 | 14 | 3.1 | 11 | 2.3 | 10 | 2.8 |
| Unknown | 1 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Total | 912 | 261.8 | 1,000 | 321.3 | 989 | 316.1 | 941 | 302.5 | 942 | 299.9 | 898 | 283.3 |

## 2 Demographics Allan Hancock College Credit

|  | 2015-16 |  | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Category | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES |
| Under 20 | 4,528 | 2,759 | 5,805 | 3,105 | 6,308 | 3,155 | 6,018 | 3,326 | 7,482 | 3,583 | 6,828 | 3,029 |
| 20-24 | 6,054 | 3,341 | 5,700 | 3,398 | 5,460 | 3,190 | 5,057 | 3,070 | 4,867 | 2,853 | 4,251 | 2,441 |
| 25-29 | 2,555 | 1,118 | 2,440 | 1,255 | 2,395 | 1,212 | 2,071 | 1,101 | 2,060 | 1,089 | 1,831 | 986 |
| 30-34 | 1,533 | 528 | 1,379 | 578 | 1,327 | 556 | 1,173 | 560 | 1,130 | 507 | 1,109 | 550 |
| 35-39 | 969 | 292 | 924 | 357 | 891 | 328 | 758 | 319 | 844 | 342 | 706 | 296 |
| 40-49 | 1,262 | 356 | 1,042 | 379 | 1,040 | 384 | 801 | 328 | 874 | 324 | 732 | 306 |
| 50+ | 966 | 248 | 789 | 227 | 676 | 210 | 608 | 189 | 583 | 185 | 447 | 151 |
|  | 2015-16 |  | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  |
| ETHNICITY | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES |
| Asian | 582 | 275 | 512 | 264 | 469 | 214 | 386 | 186 | 378 | 187 | 280 | 140 |
| Black | 673 | 359 | 583 | 326 | 555 | 278 | 459 | 259 | 491 | 278 | 437 | 232 |
| Filipino | 473 | 292 | 483 | 309 | 462 | 269 | 450 | 305 | 488 | 259 | 405 | 234 |
| Hispanic | 8,196 | 4,670 | 8,206 | 4,873 | 7,475 | 4,482 | 6,604 | 4,071 | 7,536 | 4,047 | 6,704 | 3,456 |
| NativeAm | 263 | 133 | 307 | 144 | 348 | 167 | 358 | 198 | 360 | 190 | 325 | 164 |
| Other | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Paclsl | 97 | 50 | 119 | 62 | 141 | 62 | 131 | 74 | 167 | 81 | 128 | 62 |
| White | 6,728 | 2,862 | 7,016 | 3,146 | 7,819 | 3,541 | 7,236 | 3,751 | 7,129 | 3,648 | 6,533 | 3,319 |
|  | 2015-16 |  | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  |
|  | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES |
| Female | 8,360 | 4,479 | 8,768 | 4,922 | 8,937 | 4,913 | 8,454 | 4,877 | 8,777 | 4,837 | 8,274 | 4,467 |
| Male | 8,643 | 4,159 | 8,340 | 4,181 | 8,126 | 4,049 | 7,027 | 3,916 | 7,521 | 3,767 | 6,316 | 3,053 |
| Unknown | 3 | 2 | 109 | 23 | 181 | 51 | 121 | 52 | 228 | 88 | 209 | 88 |
|  | 2015-16 |  | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  |
|  | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES |
| First Time | 2,920 | 1,185 | 2,777 | 1,194 | 2,562 | 1,089 | 2,666 | 1,240 | 2,620 | 1,189 | 2,263 | 995 |
| First Time Transfer | 2,634 | 616 | 2,111 | 541 | 2,352 | 656 | 1,766 | 564 | 1,540 | 447 | 1,312 | 380 |
| Continuing | 10,178 | 5,991 | 10,502 | 6,487 | 9,986 | 6,305 | 9,576 | 6,120 | 9,325 | 5,977 | 8,237 | 5,234 |
| Returning | 3,196 | 675 | 2,277 | 551 | 2,382 | 539 | 1,964 | 496 | 2,231 | 504 | 1,926 | 495 |
| Special Admit | 935 | 173 | 2,260 | 353 | 2,578 | 424 | 2,281 | 425 | 3,521 | 574 | 3,288 | 505 |
| Unknown | 6 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |  |
| Grand Total | 17,004 | 8,641 | 17,217 | 9,126 | 17,235 | 9,014 | 15,597 | 8,845 | 16,523 | 8,691 | 14,794 | 7,608 |

## 3 Program Equity Outcomes CHEM

Percentage Point Gap (PPG)-compare a group outcome to the overall outcome, if group is 3\% less or lower than overall then group is disproportionately impacted.
PPG Mod-same as PPG except overall outcome is modified to NOT include group outcome.
PPG Impact-amount of students needed to have a positive outcome in order to have the group reach equity.
**Equity Outcomes only work for a single subject. Contact IE to get data for multiple subjects**

|  | Academic Year2020-21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Headcount | Enrollment | EW count | FTES | Retention \% | PPG <br> Retention <br> Mod | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PPG } \\ \text { Retention } \\ \text { Impact } \end{array}$ | Success \% | PPG <br> Success <br> Mod | PPG <br> Success Impact |
| Under 20 | 387 | 452 | 0 | 117 | 81.2\% | -0.6\% | 3 | 64.2\% | -4.5\% | 21 |
| 20-24 | 358 | 412 | 1 | 114 | 82.7\% | 1.3\% |  | 64.5\% | 0.3\% |  |
| 25-29 | 83 | 95 | 1 | 26 | 75.5\% | -4.1\% | 4 | 64.9\% | 3.3\% |  |
| 30-34 | 55 | 58 | 1 | 16 | 78.9\% | -2.2\% | 2 | 71.9\% | 6.2\% |  |
| 35-39 | 24 | 25 | 1 | 7 | 91.7\% | 5.5\% |  | 83.3\% | 14.5\% |  |
| 40-49 | 13 | 16 | 1 | 4 | 93.3\% | 6.9\% |  | 73.3\% | 2.6\% |  |
| 50+ | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 100.0\% |  |  | 75.0\% |  |  |
| Grand Total | 906 | 1,062 | 5 | 286 | 81.6\% |  |  | 65.4\% |  |  |

## 3 Program Equity Outcomes CHEM

Percentage Point Gap (PPG)-compare a group outcome to the overall outcome, if group is 3\% less or lower than overall then group is disproportionately impacted.
PPG Mod-same as PPG except overall outcome is modified to NOT include group outcome.
PPG Impact-amount of students needed to have a positive outcome in order to have the group reach equity.
**Equity Outcomes only work for a single subject. Contact IE to get data for multiple subjects**

|  | Academic Year2020-21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Headcount | Enrollment | EW count | FTES | Retention \% | PPG <br> Retention <br> Mod | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PPG } \\ \text { Retention } \\ \text { Impact } \end{array}$ | Success \% | PPG <br> Success <br> Mod | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PPG } \\ \text { Success } \\ \text { Impact } \end{array}$ |
| Asian | 17 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 77.8\% | -2.7\% | 1 | 72.2\% | 9.3\% |  |
| Black | 21 | 26 | 0 | 7 | 84.6\% | 2.6\% |  | 65.4\% | 0.9\% |  |
| Filipino | 35 | 45 | 0 | 13 | 84.4\% | 0.7\% |  | 66.7\% | 0.9\% |  |
| Hispanic | 422 | 491 | 1 | 132 | 79.8\% | -3.6\% | 18 | 61.8\% | -6.2\% | 31 |
| Native Am | 15 | 17 | 0 | 5 | 82.4\% | 0.7\% |  | 64.7\% | -4.8\% | 1 |
| Pac IsI | 6 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 62.5\% |  |  | 62.5\% |  |  |
| White | 382 | 446 | 4 | 120 | 83.9\% | 4.2\% |  | 69.5\% | 6.8\% |  |
| Unknown | 8 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 72.7\% |  |  | 45.5\% |  |  |
| Grand Total | 906 | 1,062 | 5 | 286 | 81.6\% |  |  | 65.4\% |  |  |

## 3 Program Equity Outcomes CHEM

Percentage Point Gap (PPG)-compare a group outcome to the overall outcome, if group is 3\% less or lower than overall then group is disproportionately impacted.
PPG Mod-same as PPG except overall outcome is modified to NOT include group outcome.
PPG Impact-amount of students needed to have a positive outcome in order to have the group reach equity.
**Equity Outcomes only work for a single subject. Contact IE to get data for multiple subjects**

|  | Academic Year2020-21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Headcount | Enrollment | EW count | FTES | Retention \% | PPG <br> Retention <br> Mod | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PPG } \\ \text { Retention } \\ \text { Impact } \end{array}$ | Success \% | PPG <br> Success <br> Mod | PPG <br> Success <br> Impact |
| Female | 545 | 641 | 3 | 171 | 82.1\% | 1.5\% |  | 64.4\% | -2.6\% | 17 |
| Male | 345 | 402 | 2 | 110 | 80.8\% | -1.7\% | 7 | 66.8\% | 2.5\% |  |
| Unknown | 16 | 19 | 0 | 5 | 84.2\% | 3.1\% |  | 68.4\% | 0.9\% |  |
| Grand Total | 906 | 1,062 | 5 | 286 | 81.6\% |  |  | 65.4\% |  |  |

## 3 Program Equity Outcomes CHEM

Percentage Point Gap (PPG)-compare a group outcome to the overall outcome, if group is $3 \%$ less or lower than overall then group is disproportionately impacted.
PPG Mod-same as PPG except overall outcome is modified to NOT include group outcome.
PPG Impact-amount of students needed to have a positive outcome in order to have the group reach equity.
**Equity Outcomes only work for a single subject. Contact IE to get data for multiple subjects**

|  | Academic Year 2020-21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Headcount | Enrollment | EW count | FTES | Retention \% | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{PPG} \\ \text { Retention } \\ \text { Mod } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PPG } \\ \text { Retention } \\ \text { Impact } \end{array}$ | Success \% | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PPG } \\ \text { Success } \\ \text { Mod } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PPG } \\ \text { Success } \\ \text { Impact } \end{array}$ |
| First Time | 130 | 130 | 0 | 31 | 81.5\% | 0.5\% |  | 58.5\% | -10.0\% | 13 |
| First Time Tran.. | 37 | 37 | 0 | 10 | 83.8\% | 1.4\% |  | 67.6\% | 4.4\% |  |
| Continuing | 762 | 865 | 5 | 237 | 81.3\% | -2.7\% | 24 | 65.8\% | 3.7\% |  |
| Returning | 20 | 20 | 0 | 6 | 85.0\% | 2.0\% |  | 70.0\% | 3.5\% |  |
| Special Admit | 10 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 100.0\% | 17.6\% |  | 100.0\% | 13.3\% |  |
| Grand Total | 906 | 1,062 | 5 | 286 | 81.6\% |  |  | 65.4\% |  |  |

## 3 Allan Hancock College Credit Equity Outcomes

Equity:
Percentage Point Gap (PPG)-compare a group outcome to the overall outcome, if group is $3 \%$ less or lower than overall then group is disproportionately impacted
PPG Mod-same as PPG except overall outcome is modified to NOT include group outcome.
PPG Impact-amount of students needed to have a positive outcome in order to have the group reach equity
Academic Year
2015-16
2016-17
2017-18
2018-19
2019-20
2020-21

DemoChoice
Age

## 3 Allan Hancock College Credit Equity Outcomes

Equity:
Percentage Point Gap (PPG)-compare a group outcome to the overall outcome, if group is $3 \%$ less or lower than overall then group is disproportionately impacted
PPG Mod-same as PPG except overall outcome is modified to NOT include group outcome.
PPG Impact-amount of students needed to have a positive outcome in order to have the group reach equity
Academic Year
2015-162016-17
2017-18
2018-19
2019-20
2020-21

DemoChoice
Ethnicity

## 3 Allan Hancock College Credit Equity Outcomes

Equity:
Percentage Point Gap (PPG)-compare a group outcome to the overall outcome, if group is $3 \%$ less or lower than overall then group is disproportionately impacted
PPG Mod-same as PPG except overall outcome is modified to NOT include group outcome.
PPG Impact-amount of students needed to have a positive outcome in order to have the group reach equity
Academic Year
2015-16
2016-17
2017-18
2018-19
2019-20
2020-21

DemoChoice
Gender

## 3 Allan Hancock College Credit Equity Outcomes

Equity:
Percentage Point Gap (PPG)-compare a group outcome to the overall outcome, if group is 3\% less or lower than overall then group is disproportionately impacted
PPG Mod-same as PPG except overall outcome is modified to NOT include group outcome.
PPG Impact-amount of students needed to have a positive outcome in order to have the group reach equity
Academic Year
2015-16
2016-17
2017-18
2018-19
2019-20
2020-21

DemoChoice
Student Type

4 Online / Onsite course comparison CHEM
*All online courses and matching onsite courses*


4 Online / Onsite Retention \& Success course comparison CHEM
*All online courses and matching onsite courses*

| Academic Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| subject_ | course | Course.. | 2015-16 |  | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  |
| CHEM | CHEM1.. | Online | 71\% | 88\% | 63\% | 84\% | 48\% | 81\% | 45\% | 71\% | 58\% | 75\% |

Measure Names
Retention \%

- Success \%

4 Online / Onsite credit course comparison Allan Hancock College

|  |  |  | Academic Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Course Type | $2015-16$ | $2016-17$ | $2017-18$ | $2018-19$ | $2019-20$ | $2020-21$ |  |  |
| Online | Headcount | 7,580 | 7,006 | 7,152 | 6,744 | 7,040 | 7,440 |  |
|  | Enrollment | 15,710 | 15,695 | 15,548 | 15,081 | 15,957 | 18,025 |  |
|  | Sections | 509 | 517 | 501 | 457 | 487 | 586 |  |
|  | Retention \% | $83 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $87 \%$ |  |
|  | Success \% | $64 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $71 \%$ |  |
|  | FTES | 1,496 | 1,524 | 1,523 | 1,490 | 1,569 | 1,790 |  |
| Onsite | Headcount | 13,623 | 14,458 | 14,466 | 13,515 | 14,715 | 13,013 |  |
|  | Enrollment | 50,973 | 51,353 | 49,698 | 48,165 | 50,024 | 39,626 |  |
|  | Sections | 2,284 | 2,279 | 2,231 | 2,164 | 2,278 | 1,965 |  |
|  | Retention $\%$ | $90 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $90 \%$ |  |
|  | Success \% | $75 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $76 \%$ |  |
|  | FTES | 7,145 | 7,775 | 7,511 | 7,403 | 7,313 | 5,969 |  |
| Grand Total | Headcount | 17,009 | 17,251 | 17,276 | 15,700 | 17,034 | 15,177 |  |
|  | Enrollment | 66,683 | 67,048 | 65,246 | 63,246 | 65,981 | 57,651 |  |
|  | Sections | 2,793 | 2,796 | 2,732 | 2,621 | 2,765 | 2,551 |  |
|  | Retention $\%$ | $88 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $89 \%$ |  |
|  | Success $\%$ | $72 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $74 \%$ |  |
|  | FTES | 8,642 | 9,298 | 9,034 | 8,893 | 8,881 | 7,759 |  |

5 Efficiency Graph CHEM


## 5 Efficiency Table CHEM

| Academic <br> Year | Term Code_ | course_ | FTES | FTEF+ | FTES / FTEF | Enrollment | Maximum Enrollment | MaxEnroll.. | Fill Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019-20 | Sum 2019 | CHEM120 | 25 | 2.156 | 11.8 | 126 | 140 | 28 | 90\% |
|  |  | CHEM140 | 3 | 0.386 | 6.6 | 13 | 28 | 28 | 46\% |
|  |  | Total | 28 | 2.542 | 11.0 | 139 | 168 | 28 | 83\% |
|  | Fall 2019 | CHEM110 | 3 | 0.376 | 6.9 | 20 | 28 | 28 | 71\% |
|  |  | CHEM120 | 60 | 2.316 | 25.9 | 261 | 252 | 28 | 104\% |
|  |  | CHEM150 | 56 | 2.475 | 22.7 | 163 | 168 | 28 | 97\% |
|  |  | CHEM151 | 10 | 0.575 | 17.1 | 31 | 28 | 28 | 111\% |
|  |  | CHEM180 | 7 | 0.575 | 12.1 | 22 | 20 | 20 | 110\% |
|  |  | Total | 136 | 6.317 | 21.5 | 497 | 496 | 28 | 100\% |
|  | Spring 2020 | CHEM110 | 1 | 0.376 | 3.8 | 11 | 28 | 28 | 39\% |
|  |  | CHEM120 | 56 | 2.316 | 24.0 | 243 | 252 | 28 | 96\% |
|  |  | CHEM140 | 5 | 0.376 | 12.3 | 22 | 28 | 28 | 79\% |
|  |  | CHEM150 | 43 | 2.875 | 14.8 | 134 | 140 | 28 | 96\% |
|  |  | CHEM151 | 31 | 1.900 | 16.5 | 99 | 112 | 28 | 88\% |
|  |  | CHEM181 | 3 | 0.575 | 5.5 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 50\% |
|  |  | Total | 139 | 8.418 | 16.5 | 519 | 580 | 28 | 89\% |
|  | Total |  | 302 | 17.277 | 17.5 | 1,155 | 1,244 | 28 | 93\% |
| 2020-21 | Sum 2020 | CHEM110 | 2 | 0.782 | 2.0 | 12 | 28 | 28 | 43\% |
|  |  | CHEM120 | 29 | 1.176 | 24.3 | 119 | 140 | 28 | 85\% |
|  |  | Total | 30 | 1.958 | 15.4 | 131 | 168 | 28 | 78\% |
|  | Fall 2020 | CHEM110 | 3 | 0.376 | 7.6 | 22 | 28 | 28 | 79\% |
|  |  | CHEM120 | 77 | 2.704 | 28.6 | 322 | 336 | 28 | 96\% |
|  |  | CHEM150 | 38 | 1.900 | 20.0 | 120 | 112 | 28 | 107\% |
|  |  | CHEM151 | 17 | 1.150 | 14.3 | 52 | 56 | 28 | 93\% |
|  |  | CHEM180 | 6 | 0.575 | 11.0 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 100\% |
|  |  | Total | 141 | 6.705 | 21.1 | 536 | 552 | 28 | 97\% |
|  | Spring 2021 | CHEM110 | 3 | 0.376 | 8.6 | 25 | 28 | 28 | 89\% |
|  |  | CHEM120 | 61 | 1.928 | 31.6 | 253 | 252 | 28 | 100\% |
|  |  | CHEM140 | 9 | 0.376 | 24.3 | 37 | 56 | 28 | 66\% |
|  |  | CHEM150 | 48 | 2.100 | 23.0 | 138 | 140 | 28 | 99\% |
|  |  | CHEM151 | 21 | 1.525 | 13.5 | 65 | 84 | 28 | 77\% |

## 5 Efficiency Table CHEM

| Academic <br> Year | Term Code_ | course_ | FTES | FTEF+ | FTES / FTEF | Enrollment | Maximum Enrollment | MaxEnroll.. | Fill Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020-21 | Spring 2021 | CHEM181 | 3 | 0.575 | 4.4 | 8 | 20 | 20 | 40\% |
|  |  | Total | 145 | 6.880 | 21.0 | 526 | 580 | 28 | 91\% |
|  | Total |  | 316 | 15.543 | 20.3 | 1,193 | 1,300 | 28 | 92\% |
| Grand Total |  |  | 618 | 32.820 | 18.8 | 2,348 | 2,544 | 28 | 92\% |

6 Degree/Certificate Chemistry

|  | Program Desc | Degree | Degree Major | Degree Desc (group) | Academic Year Graduation Desc |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2019-2020 | 2020-2021 |
| Unduplicated | Chemistry | AA | Chemistry | Associate in Arts | 10 | 9 | 10 | 14 | 8 | 10 |
|  |  | AS-T | Chemistry for Transf.. | Associate in Science-Transfer |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 |  |
|  |  |  | Chemistry for Transf.. | Associate in Science-Transfer |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Duplicated | Chemistry | AA | Chemistry | Associate in Arts | 10 | 9 | 10 | 14 | 8 | 10 |
|  |  | AS-T | Chemistry for Transf.. | Associate in Science-Transfer |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 |  |
|  |  |  | Chemistry for Transf.. | Associate in Science-Transfer |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Unduplicated | Total |  |  |  | 10 | 9 | 10 | 16 | 8 | 12 |
| Duplicated | Total |  |  |  | 10 | 9 | 11 | 17 | 9 | 12 |

6 Majors Chemistry - Headcount

|  | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemistry | 120 | 151 | 140 | 125 | 113 | 79 |
| Chemistry for Transfer CSU |  |  | 15 | 35 | 47 | 35 |
| Chemistry for Transfer UC |  |  |  |  | 11 | 23 |
| Grand Total | 120 | 151 | 154 | 158 | 163 | 134 |

## 6 Chemistry Award|Major Match

--If a student has the same program of study and major as the award earned they will be a 'Major Match'. If not they will be a 'Major Split'.
--Headcount \& Percentages are the students who are a major match/split for a specific award.
--Data is sorted by program/major of the earned award.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ademic | Year | raduat | on De |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2015 | 2016 | 2016 | 2017 | 2017 | 2018 | 2018- | 2019 | 2019 | -2020 | 2020 | 2021 |
| Program.. | Degree | Degree Major | Degree Desc (group) | Major .. | HC | \% | HC | \% | HC | \% | HC | \% | HC | \% | HC | \% |
| Chemistry |  | Chemistry | Associate in Arts | Match | 1 | 10\% | 2 | 22\% | 1 | 10\% | 1 | 7\% |  |  | 1 | 10\% |
|  |  |  |  | Split | 9 | 90\% | 7 | 78\% | 9 | 90\% | 13 | 93\% |  | 100\% | 9 | 90\% |
|  | AS-T | Chemistry for Transfer | Associate in Science-Transfer | Match |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 67\% |  | 100\% |  |  |
|  |  | CSU |  | Split |  |  |  |  | 1 | 100\% | 1 | 33\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Chemistry for Transfe.. | Associate in Science-Transfer | Split |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 100\% |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  | 100\% | 9 | 100\% | 10 |  | 16 |  | 8 |  | 12 |  |

6 Degree/Certificate Allan Hancock College
Academic Year Graduation Desc

|  | Degree Desc (group) | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2019-2020 | 2020-2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unduplicated | Associate in Arts | 494 | 523 | 493 | 589 | 882 | 885 |
|  | Associate in Arts - Transfer | 92 | 126 | 159 | 164 | 218 | 262 |
|  | Associate in Science | 277 | 319 | 313 | 321 | 304 | 310 |
|  | Associate in Science-Transfer | 95 | 128 | 126 | 191 | 228 | 249 |
|  | Certificate of Accomplishment | 381 | 419 | 416 | 372 | 423 | 328 |
|  | Certificate of Achievement | 681 | 795 | 791 | 876 | 810 | 1,156 |
|  | NC Cert 48 to <96 hrs | 3 | 10 | 22 | 21 | 22 | 5 |
|  | NC Cert 144 to <192 hrs |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
|  | NC Cert 192 to <288 hrs | 7 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 13 |  |
|  | NC Cert 288 to <480 hrs | 2 | 27 | 46 | 38 | 32 | 3 |
|  | NC Cert 480 to <960 hrs |  |  | 2 | 9 | 32 |  |
| Duplicated | Associate in Arts | 709 | 726 | 737 | 814 | 1,437 | 1,616 |
|  | Associate in Arts - Transfer | 95 | 130 | 163 | 165 | 229 | 341 |
|  | Associate in Science | 307 | 347 | 345 | 350 | 335 | 332 |
|  | Associate in Science-Transfer | 99 | 133 | 138 | 207 | 237 | 323 |
|  | Certificate of Accomplishment | 404 | 501 | 491 | 417 | 478 | 373 |
|  | Certificate of Achievement | 722 | 846 | 870 | 958 | 865 | 1,636 |
|  | NC Cert 48 to <96 hrs | 3 | 10 | 23 | 21 | 22 | 5 |
|  | NC Cert 144 to <192 hrs |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
|  | NC Cert 192 to <288 hrs | 7 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 13 |  |
|  | NC Cert 288 to <480 hrs | 2 | 34 | 46 | 39 | 33 | 3 |
|  | NC Cert 480 to <960 hrs |  |  | 2 | 9 | 32 |  |
| Unduplicated | Total | 1,491 | 1,703 | 1,673 | 1,804 | 1,972 | 1,983 |
| Duplicated | Total | 2,348 | 2,732 | 2,816 | 2,986 | 3,681 | 4,635 |

## 7 FTEF+Overload, FTES \& Efficiency - CHEM




## FTEF/FTES



Faculty Type

|  |  | 2015-2016 |  |  |  | 2016-2017 |  |  |  | 2017-2018 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| subject_ | Faculty Type | FTEF | Overload_ | Faculty | Sections | FTEF | Overload_ | Faculty | Sections | FTEF | Overload_ | Faculty | Sections |
| CHEM | Instructional - FT | 5.004 | 2.530 | 2 | 17 | 7.955 | 2.795 | 4 | 26 | 8.771 | 2.757 | 4 | 25 |
|  | Instructional - PT | 8.083 | 0.000 | 6 | 22 | 8.850 | 0.000 | 6 | 25 | 6.933 | 0.000 | 8 | 20 |
| Grand Total |  | 13.087 | 2.530 | 8 | 38 | 16.805 | 2.795 | 10 | 48 | 15.704 | 2.757 | 12 | 43 |
|  |  | 2018-2019 |  |  |  | 2019-2020 |  |  |  | 2020-2021 |  |  |  |
| subject_ | Faculty Type | FTEF | Overload_ | Faculty | Sections | FTEF | Overload_ | Faculty | Sections | FTEF | Overload_ | Faculty | Sections |
| CHEM | Instructional - FT | 9.98 | 3.06 | 5 | 28 | 8.39 | 2.50 | 4 | 25 | 6.94 | 2.80 | 4 | 22 |
|  | Instructional - PT | 5.02 | 0.00 | 5 | 14 | 7.52 | 0.00 | 7 | 21 | 8.07 | 0.00 | 6 | 25 |
| Grand Total |  | 14.99 | 3.06 | 9 | 42 | 15.91 | 2.50 | 11 | 46 | 15.01 | 2.80 | 10 | 47 |


| \%FTEF by Faculty Type Faculty Type <br>  $\square$ Instructional - FT <br>  CHEM <br>  Instructional - PT |  |  |  |  |  |  | Faculty count by type |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CHEM |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| 80\% | 38\% |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 56\% | 67\% | 53\% |  | 6 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 6 |
| 60\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 19-20 | 20-21 |
| 40\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 62\% | 53\% |  |  |  | 54\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20\% |  |  | 44\% | 33\% | 47\% |  | 2.5300 | 2.7950 | 2.7570 | 3.0580 | 2.4970 | 2.7960 |
| 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 19-20 | 20-21 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 19-20 | 20-21 |

## 7 FTEF+Overload by Faculty Type Allan Hancock College

| Instruction .. Faculty Type |  | Academic Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2019-2020 | 2020-2021 |
| Instructional | Instructional - FT | 310.594 | 331.703 | 344.107 | 343.923 | 340.591 | 328.688 |
|  | Instructional - PT | 359.820 | 355.797 | 331.111 | 315.432 | 300.351 | 263.265 |
|  | Total | 670.414 | 687.500 | 675.218 | 659.355 | 640.942 | 591.953 |
| Grand Total |  | 670.414 | 687.500 | 675.218 | 659.355 | 640.942 | 591.953 |



## Appendix A: Program/Course Demographics by Outcome CHEM

|  |  | Academic Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2018-19 |  |  |  | 2019-20 |  |  |  | 2020-21 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Headcou.. | FTES | Retention \% | Success \% | Headcou.. | FTES | Retention \% | Success \% | Headcou.. | FTES | Retention \% | Success \% |
| CHEM110 | Under 20 |  |  |  |  | 7 | 0.9 | 71\% | 57\% | 17 | 2.2 | 76\% | 53\% |
|  | 20-24 |  |  |  |  | 8 | 1.0 | 50\% | 38\% | 18 | 2.3 | 72\% | 56\% |
|  | 25-29 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0.6 | 100\% | 20\% | 6 | 0.8 | 67\% | 67\% |
|  | 30-34 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 0.8 | 100\% | 83\% | 2 | 0.3 | 100\% | 50\% |
|  | 35-39 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0.3 | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 0.3 | 100\% | 100\% |
|  | 40-49 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0.3 | 50\% | 50\% | 1 | 0.3 | 50\% | 50\% |
|  | 50+ |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.1 | 100\% | 0\% |  |  |  |  |
| CHEM120 | Under 20 | 320 | 73.0 | 88\% | 69\% | 297 | 68.5 | 90\% | 76\% | 284 | 68.4 | 83\% | 65\% |
|  | 20-24 | 209 | 48.0 | 84\% | 65\% | 205 | 47.4 | 86\% | 77\% | 171 | 42.8 | 86\% | 68\% |
|  | 25-29 | 68 | 15.4 | 83\% | 71\% | 59 | 13.2 | 82\% | 75\% | 52 | 13.6 | 81\% | 72\% |
|  | 30-34 | 18 | 4.5 | 79\% | 63\% | 28 | 6.6 | 96\% | 93\% | 29 | 7.1 | 89\% | 86\% |
|  | 35-39 | 11 | 2.6 | 91\% | 82\% | 9 | 1.9 | 100\% | 100\% | 15 | 4.0 | 93\% | 80\% |
|  | 40-49 | 7 | 1.6 | 100\% | 100\% | 12 | 2.6 | 92\% | 92\% | 8 | 1.9 | 100\% | 100\% |
|  | 50+ | 3 | 0.6 | 67\% | 33\% | 4 | 0.9 | 100\% | 100\% | 2 | 0.5 | 100\% | 50\% |
| CHEM140 | Under 20 | 2 | 0.4 | 50\% | 50\% | 11 | 2.2 | 100\% | 100\% | 5 | 1.2 | 100\% | 100\% |
|  | 20-24 | 18 | 3.8 | 78\% | 78\% | 12 | 2.4 | 100\% | 100\% | 21 | 5.1 | 95\% | 86\% |
|  | 25-29 | 4 | 0.9 | 75\% | 75\% | 4 | 0.8 | 100\% | 100\% | 3 | 0.7 | 33\% | 33\% |
|  | 30-34 | 1 | 0.2 | 0\% | 0\% | 4 | 0.8 | 100\% | 100\% | 6 | 1.6 | 83\% | 67\% |
|  | 35-39 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0.4 | 100\% | 100\% | 2 | 0.5 | 50\% | 50\% |
|  | 40-49 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.2 | 100\% | 100\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50+ |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.2 | 100\% | 100\% |  |  |  |  |
| CHEM150 | Under 20 | 130 | 41.3 | 92\% | 78\% | 134 | 46.8 | 84\% | 71\% | 94 | 33.2 | 76\% | 62\% |
|  | 20-24 | 128 | 42.2 | 85\% | 71\% | 110 | 36.6 | 84\% | 77\% | 104 | 35.8 | 85\% | 69\% |
|  | 25-29 | 35 | 12.1 | 79\% | 74\% | 22 | 7.3 | 75\% | 70\% | 20 | 7.3 | 71\% | 52\% |
|  | 30-34 | 14 | 4.4 | 86\% | 79\% | 12 | 4.0 | 91\% | 82\% | 13 | 5.0 | 57\% | 57\% |
|  | 35-39 | 2 | 0.6 | 100\% | 100\% | 8 | 2.5 | 88\% | 88\% | 6 | 2.3 | 100\% | 100\% |
|  | 40-49 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 1.3 | 33\% | 0\% | 5 | 1.9 | 100\% | 50\% |
|  | 50+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0.8 | 100\% | 100\% |
| CHEM151 | Under 20 | 32 | 10.2 | 91\% | 84\% | 35 | 11.7 | 91\% | 88\% | 36 | 11.4 | 78\% | 61\% |
|  | 20-24 | 75 | 25.7 | 86\% | 75\% | 63 | 20.6 | 91\% | 88\% | 58 | 20.9 | 73\% | 47\% |

Appendix A: Program/Course Demographics by Outcome CHEM

|  |  | Academic Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2018-19 |  |  |  | 2019-20 |  |  |  | 2020-21 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Headcou.. | FTES | Retention \% | Success \% | Headcou.. | FTES | Retention \% | Success \% | Headcou.. | FTES | Retention \% | Success \% |
| CHEM151 | 25-29 | 13 | 4.4 | 64\% | 64\% | 16 | 5.1 | 94\% | 88\% | 7 | 2.5 | 75\% | 63\% |
|  | 30-34 | 7 | 2.2 | 86\% | 86\% | 7 | 2.5 | 88\% | 75\% | 6 | 1.9 | 67\% | 67\% |
|  | 35-39 | 1 | 0.3 | 100\% | 100\% | 3 | 1.0 | 100\% | 100\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 40-49 | 1 | 0.3 | 100\% | 100\% | 1 | 0.3 | 100\% | 100\% | 1 | 0.3 | 100\% | 0\% |
| CHEM180 | Under 20 | 3 | 1.0 | 67\% | 33\% | 6 | 1.9 | 100\% | 100\% | 1 | 0.3 | 100\% | 100\% |
|  | 20-24 | 14 | 4.4 | 64\% | 57\% | 13 | 4.1 | 62\% | 54\% | 16 | 5.1 | 53\% | 33\% |
|  | 25-29 | 2 | 0.6 | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 0.3 | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 0.6 | 50\% | 50\% |
|  | 30-34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.3 | 100\% | 0\% |
|  | 40-49 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.3 | 100\% | 100\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50+ |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.3 | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |  |  |
| CHEM181 | Under 20 | 1 | 0.3 | 100\% | 100\% | 2 | 0.6 | 100\% | 100\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-24 | 6 | 1.9 | 83\% | 83\% | 7 | 2.2 | 100\% | 100\% | 7 | 2.2 | 100\% | 100\% |
|  | 25-29 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.3 | 100\% | 100\% | 1 | 0.3 | 100\% | 100\% |

## Appendix B: Major match detail

--If a student has the same program of study and major as the award earned they will be a 'Major Match'. If not they will be a 'Major Split'.
--Headcount \& Percentages are the students who are a major match/split for a specific award.
--Data is sorted by program/major of the earned award.

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Academic Year Graduation Desc |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major <br> Match | Program Desc | Degree | Degree Major | Student Major | Degree Desc (group) | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2019-2020 | 2020-2021 |
| Match | Chemist.. | AA | Chemistry | Chemistry | Associate in Arts | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  |  | AS-T | Chemistry for Transfer CSU | Chemistry for Transfer CSU | Associate in Science-Tra.. |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |
|  |  | Total |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Split | Chemist.. |  | Chemistry | Biology | Associate in Arts |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Chemistry for Transfer CSU | Associate in Arts |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Civil Engineering | Associate in Arts | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Computer Science | Associate in Arts |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Electronic Engineering Tech | Associate in Arts |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Electronic Technology | Associate in Arts |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Engineering | Associate in Arts | 6 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  | Engineering Technology | Associate in Arts |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Engr Tech: Mechatronics | Associate in Arts |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Math: Physics Emphasis | Associate in Arts |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  |  |  |  | Mathematics and Science CSU | Associate in Arts | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Mathematics: Comp Sci Emph.. | Associate in Arts |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Spanish for Transfer CSU | Associate in Arts |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Undeclared | Associate in Arts | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Viticulture | Associate in Arts |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | AS-T | Chemistry for Transfer CSU | Chemistry | Associate in Science-Tra.. |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Engineering | Associate in Science-Tra.. |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Chemistry for Transfer UC | Biology for Transfer UC | Associate in Science-Tra.. |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  |  |  |  | Chemistry for Transfer CSU | Associate in Science-Tra.. |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  |  | Total |  |  |  | 9 | 7 | 9 | 14 | 8 | 11 |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  | 9 | 7 | 9 | 14 | 8 | 11 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 9 | 10 | 16 | 8 | 12 |

## COURSE REVIEW VERIFICATION

Discipline: Chemistry Year: 2021-2022

As part of the program evaluation process, the self-study team has reviewed the course outlines supporting the discipline/program curriculum. The review process has resulted in the following recommendations:

1. The following course outlines are satisfactory as written and do not require modification (list all such courses):

## CHEM 120, 140, 150, 151, 180, and 181.

2. The following courses require minor modification to ensure currency. The self study team anticipates submitting such modifications to the AP\&P, FALL 20 $\qquad$ SPRING 20 $\qquad$ :
3. The following courses require major modification. The self study team anticipates submitting such modifications to the AP\&P committee, FALL 20 $\qquad$ SPRING 20 $\qquad$ :

## GRADUATION REQUIREMENTS: General Education (GE), Multicultural/Gender Studies (MCGS) and Health \& Safety (H\&W) Courses.

The following courses were reviewed as meeting an AHC GE requirement. The AP\&P GE Criteria and Category Definitions (GE Learning Outcomes) forms were submitted to the AP\&P for review on: $\qquad$
The following courses were reviewed as meeting the MCGS requirement. The AP\&P MCGS Criteria and Category Definitions (MCGS Learning Outcomes - To Be Developed) forms were submitted to the AP\&P for review on:

The following courses were reviewed as meeting the H\&W requirement. The AP\&P H\&W Studies Criteria (To Be Developed) and Category Definitions (H\&W Learning Outcomes - To Be Developed) forms were submitted to the AP\&P chair for review on:

## Course Review Team Members:

| Name | Signature | Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| Name | Signature | Date |
| Name |  |  |
| Name | Signature | Date |
|  | Signature | Date |
| Name | Signature AP\&P Chair |  |
|  |  | Date |
| Name | Signature Academic Dean | Date |

## APPENDICES Approved Course Outlines Advisory Committee

PCA being reviewed: UC/CSU Comparison Sheets Type: Prerequisite
Use one form for each prerequisite/corequisite/advisory if the course has more than one
Review Team (Recommended: four instructors; preferably two of whom teach the course being reviewed; one who teaches the preceding course, and one who teaches the subsequent course, as appropriate)

Sean Gottlieb
Danae Madrid
Dustin Nouri

Recommended Materials:

1. Course outline for course being reviewed preceding course and subsequent course
2. For each course, current text, typical tests, sample projects, quizzes, and any other relevant evaluation tools as used within the courses and evidenced by the course outline, written grading standards (possibly from syllabus).
3. EVA report from Computer Services reflecting student success based on completion and noncompletion of prerequisite course.

## Process:

1. Examine objectives of course being reviewed. Are objectives current? YES Will student successfully completing this course have a reasonable chance of success in subsequent course? YES
2. Examine objectives of preceding course. Are the objectives equivalent of the entrance skills necessary to succeed in the course being reviewed? $\qquad$
3. Examine the evaluation tools used within the course.
Do the tests, quizzes, projects, assignments reflect skills which the student would have acquired in the preceding course? YES
4. Examine the text used for the course

Does the textbook require a base of knowledge the student would have obtained in the preceding course?

Based on the attached documentation, it is the recommendation of the faculty that:

X The prerequisite/corequisite/advisory is appropriate. (circle one)
$\qquad$ The prerequisite/corequisite/advisory should be deleted. (circle one)
$\qquad$ The prerequisite/corequisite/advisory should be modified. (circle one) The course outline should be modified to reflect outcomes of content review, and to

## Dustin Nouri

Initiator
Approved:


Academic Dean -- Date


CONTENT REVIEW

## WITHIN THE SAME DISCIPLINE OR ACROSS DISCIPLINES

Course Prefix and Number CHEM 140
Department Life and Physical Sciences Responsible Instructor Dustin Nouri
PCA being reviewed: UC/CSU Comparison Sheets
Type: Prerequisite
Use one form for each prerequisite/corequisite/advisory if the course has more than one
Review Team (Recommended: four instructors; preferably two of whom teach the course being reviewed; one who teaches the preceding course, and one who teaches the subsequent course, as appropriate)

Sean Gottlieb
Danae Madrid

## Dustin Nouri

## Recommended Materials:

1. Course outline for course being reviewed preceding course and subsequent course
2. For each course, current text, typical tests, sample projects, quizzes, and any other relevant evaluation tools as used within the courses and evidenced by the course outline, written grading standards (possibly from syllabus).
3. EVA report from Computer Services reflecting student success based on completion and noncompletion of prerequisite course.

## Process:

1. Examine objectives of course being reviewed. Are objectives current? YES Will student successfully completing this course have a reasonable chance of success in subsequent course? YES
2. Examine objectives of preceding course. Are the objectives equivalent of the entrance skills necessary to succeed in the course being reviewed? $\qquad$
3. Examine the evaluation tools used within the course.
Do the tests, quizzes, projects, assignments reflect skills which the student would have acquired in the preceding course? YES
4. Examine the text used for the course Does the textbook require a base of knowledge the student would have obtained in the preceding course? YES

Based on the attached documentation, it is the recommendation of the faculty that:
X The prerequisite/corequisite/advisory is appropriate. (circle one)
$\qquad$ The prerequisite/corequisite/advisory should be deleted. (circle one)
$\qquad$ The prerequisite/corequisite/advisory should be modified. (circle one)
_The course outline should be modified to reflect outcomes of content


## Dustin Nouri 2021

 InitiatorApproved:
Date
Ashley Wise Department Chair


## Course Prefix and Number CHEM150

## Department Life and Physical Sciences Responsible Instructor Dustin Nouri

PCA being reviewed: UC/CSU Comparison Sheets Type: Prerequisite
Use one form for each prerequisite/corequisite/advisory if the course has more than one
Review Team (Recommended: four instructors; preferably two of whom teach the course being reviewed; one who teaches the preceding course, and one who teaches the subsequent course, as appropriate)

Sean Gottlieb
Danae Madrid

## Dustin Nouri

## Recommended Materials:

1. Course outline for course being reviewed preceding course and subsequent course
2. For each course, current text, typical tests, sample projects, quizzes, and any other relevant evaluation tools as used within the courses and evidenced by the course outline, written grading standards (possibly from syllabus).
3. EVA report from Computer Services reflecting student success based on completion and noncompletion of prerequisite course.

## Process:

1. Examine objectives of course being reviewed. Are objectives current? Will student successfully completing this course have a reasonable chance of success in subsequent course? $\qquad$
2. Examine objectives of preceding course. Are the objectives equivalent of the entrance skills necessary to succeed in the course being reviewed?
3. Examine the evaluation tools used within the course.
Do the tests, quizzes, projects, assignments reflect skills which the student would have acquired in the preceding course? YES
4. Examine the text used for the course

Does the textbook require a base of knowledge the student would have obtained in the preceding course?

YES

Based on the attached documentation, it is the recommendation of the faculty that:
X The prerequisite/corequisite/advisory is appropriate. (circle one)
$\qquad$ The prerequisite/corequisite/advisory should be deleted. (circle one)
$\qquad$ The prerequisite/corequisite/advisory should be modified.
(circle one)

## Course Prefix and Number CHEM151

Department Life and Physical Sciences Responsible Instructor Dustin Nouri
PCA being reviewed: UC/CSU Comparison Sheets Type: Prerequisite
Use one form for each prerequisite/corequisite/advisory if the course has more than one
Review Team (Recommended: four instructors; preferably two of whom teach the course being reviewed; one who teaches the preceding course, and one who teaches the subsequent course, as appropriate)

Sean Gottlieb
Danae Madrid

## Dustin Nouri

## Recommended Materials:

1. Course outline for course being reviewed preceding course and subsequent course
2. For each course, current text, typical tests, sample projects, quizzes, and any other relevant evaluation tools as used within the courses and evidenced by the course outline, written grading standards (possibly from syllabus).
3. EVA report from Computer Services reflecting student success based on completion and noncompletion of prerequisite course.

## Process:

1. Examine objectives of course being reviewed. Are objectives current? YES Will student successfully completing this course have a reasonable chance of success in subsequent course? $\qquad$
2. Examine objectives of preceding course. Are the objectives equivalent of the entrance skills necessary to succeed in the course being reviewed?
3. Examine the evaluation tools used within the course. Do the tests, quizzes, projects, assignments reflect skills which the student would have acquired in the preceding course? YES
4. Examine the text used for the course Does the textbook require a base of knowledge the student would have obtained in the preceding course? YES

Based on the attached documentation, it is the recommendation of the faculty that:
X The prerequisite/corequisite/advisory is appropriate. (circle one)
$\qquad$ The prerequisite/corequisite/advisory should be deleted. (circle one)
$\qquad$ The prerequisite/corequisite/advisory should be modified. (circle one)
___ The course outline should be modified to reflect outcomes of content


## Course Prefix and Number

## CHEM 180

## Department Life and Physical Sciences Responsible Instructor Dustin Nouri

PCA being reviewed: UC/CSU Comparison Sheets Type: Prerequisite
Use one form for each prerequisite/corequisite/advisory if the course has more than one
Review Team (Recommended: four instructors; preferably two of whom teach the course being reviewed; one who teaches the preceding course, and one who teaches the subsequent course, as appropriate)

| Sean Gottlieb |
| :--- |
| Dustin Nouri |

$\qquad$
Dustin Nouri

Recommended Materials:

1. Course outline for course being reviewed preceding course and subsequent course
2. For each course, current text, typical tests, sample projects, quizzes, and any other relevant evaluation tools as used within the courses and evidenced by the course outline, written grading standards (possibly from syllabus).
3. EVA report from Computer Services reflecting student success based on completion and noncompletion of prerequisite course.

## Process:

1. Examine objectives of course being reviewed. Are objectives current? YES Will student successfully completing this course have a reasonable chance of success in subsequent course? $\qquad$
2. Examine objectives of preceding course. Are the objectives equivalent of the entrance skills necessary to succeed in the course being reviewed? $\qquad$
3. Examine the evaluation tools used within the course.
Do the tests, quizzes, projects, assignments reflect skills which the student would have acquired in the preceding course? YES
4. Examine the text used for the course

Does the textbook require a base of knowledge the student would have obtained in the preceding course?

YES

Based on the attached documentation, it is the recommendation of the faculty that:

XThe prerequisite/corequisite/advisory is appropriate. (circle one)
$\qquad$ The prerequisite/corequisite/advisory should be deleted. (circle one)
$\qquad$ The prerequisite/corequisite/advisory should be modified.
(circle one)
The course outline should be modified to reflect outcomes of content

## Dustin Nouri even, and to 10



Academic Dean -- Date


## Course Prefix and Number CHEM 181

Department Life and Physical Sciences Responsible Instructor Dustin Nouri
PCA being reviewed: UC/CSU Comparison Sheets Type: Prerequisite
Use one form for each prerequisite/corequisite/advisory if the course has more than one
Review Team (Recommended: four instructors; preferably two of whom teach the course being reviewed; one who teaches the preceding course, and one who teaches the subsequent course, as appropriate)

| Sean Gottlieb |
| :--- |
| Dustin Nouri |

Danae Madrid

Recommended Materials:

1. Course outline for course being reviewed preceding course and subsequent course
2. For each course, current text, typical tests, sample projects, quizzes, and any other relevant evaluation tools as used within the courses and evidenced by the course outline, written grading standards (possibly from syllabus).
3. EVA report from Computer Services reflecting student success based on completion and noncompletion of prerequisite course.

## Process:

1. Examine objectives of course being reviewed. Are objectives current? YES Will student successfully completing this course have a reasonable chance of success in subsequent course? $\qquad$

- 

2. Examine objectives of preceding course. Are the objectives equivalent of the entrance skills necessary to succeed in the course being reviewed?
3. Examine the evaluation tools used within the course.
Do the tests, quizzes, projects, assignments reflect skills which the student would have acquired in the preceding course? YES
4. Examine the text used for the course

Does the textbook require a base of knowledge the student would have obtained in the preceding course? YES

Based on the attached documentation, it is the recommendation of the faculty that:
X The prerequisite/corequisite/advisory is appropriate.
(circle one)
$\qquad$ The prerequisite/corequisite/advisory should be deleted. (circle one)
$\qquad$ The prerequisite/corequisite/advisory should be modified.
(circle one)
The course outline should be modified to reflect outcomes of content
$\qquad$


## PROGRAM REVIEW -- VALIDATION TEAM MEMBERS

TO: Academic Dean
Date: $\qquad$
From: Dustin Nouri
We recommend the following persons for consideration for the validation team:
DEPARTMENT $\qquad$ CHEMISTRY $\qquad$
Board Policy requires that the validation team be comprised of the dean of the area, one faculty member from a related discipline/program, and two faculty members from unrelated disciplines.

| Sean Gottlieb and Danae Madrid | Chemistry |
| :---: | :--- |
| (Name) | (Related Discipline/Program) |
| Patrick McGuire | Automotive |
| (Name) | (Unrelated Discipline/Program) |
| Michael Wagner | Computer Science/Mathematics |
| (Name) | (Unrelated Discipline/Program) |

At the option of the self-study team, the validation team lille: also include one or more of the following: a. someone from a four-year institution in the same discipline; someone from another community college in the same discipline; a high school instructor in the same discipline; a member of an adviso committee for the fro am. Please com lett the followin as relevant to our no am review.

(Name)
(Title)
Affiliation: $\qquad$ Telephone Contact Number: $\qquad$
Address
 $\qquad$


Affiliation: $\qquad$ Telephone Contact Number: $\qquad$
Address (Mailing)

APPROVED:
 email address


## VALIDATION Executive Summary Plan of Action - Post Validation

EXECUTIVE<br>SUMMARY<br>(Validation Team Report)

The Validation Team for the 2022 Chemistry six-year program review-consisting of Computer Science faculty member Michael Wagner, Automotive faculty member Patrick McGuire, authors of the Program Review and Chemistry faculty members Dustin Nouri and Sean Gottlieb, and Dean Sean J. Abel-met to review and discuss the comprehensive program review for approximately 75 minutes on Friday September 2, 2022. It was clear that each member of the team had reviewed the document with care and came prepared to provide feedback and suggestions to the document's authors.

## 1. MAJOR FINDINGS

## Strengths of the program/discipline:

The team members noted the attention to detail, consideration, and thought that was evident throughout the document. The team was able to discuss the content of the document and work with the author to clarify the impact of the document to the program.

As the team reviewed and reflected upon the document together, they commented on the positive way that the program meets the needs of all students through courses focused on transfer and meeting the C-ID and Associate of Science in Chemistry for Transfer, general education, and prerequisites for degrees and certificates in the various Allied Health programs. Student survey data reflected satisfaction with the program and how it meets their needs and educational goals. This has resulted in program courses being well-enrolled to the point where there are consistently waitlists on a significant majority of the course sections including steady growth at the Lompoc Valley Center campus. The chemistry faculty have further helped student success and completion by ensuring thorough guided pathways mapping and implementation of that mapping by scheduling to follow those maps.

This program growth resulted in the recent addition of new full-time faculty members (one replacement and one growth). The team discussed this addition to the program's high quality, caring faculty as well as the college's support of a mid-year faculty search to replace a recent, unexpected full-time faculty member's resignation. Such broad college support indicates the institutional importance of chemistry as a discipline and the impact it has on nearly every student attending the college. By keeping maps up-to-date, chemistry participates in excellent interdisciplinary cooperation and coordination for the benefit of our students.

Other areas of strength include chemistry facilities, particularly the laboratory classroom spaces. These spaces well support the course and program curriculum and are designed with safety in mind. The chemistry program is also typically well-funded for needed equipment and supplies, although there are always needs to be met as processes and technologies change. Our lab specialists (one in Santa Maria, one in Lompoc) keep equipment up-to-date as needed. An example of this would be the recent replacement of analytical balances, similar to other
equipment at the end of its useful life.

## Concerns regarding the program/discipline:

As the team discussed the document with the authors, challenges for the program were remarked upon by all. Three highly impactful main themes were discussed-student preparation for chemistry courses, space/facilities resources, and anticipated staffing needs.

The authors pointed out a concern regarding student preparation for chemistry courses, particularly after several semesters of pandemic-related online and/or hybrid classes. This has been particularly prominent in mathematics preparation. This concern is compounded by the change in the enforcement of AB705 rules which have eliminated developmental mathematics courses. Students are frequently having to catch up in their mathematics skills while struggling with entirely different mathematics concepts in chemistry, and presumably, the other sciences.

The Chemistry (and most other science) programs are struggling with space to accommodate enrollment, resulting in frequent large waitlist which impacts the students' abilities to complete their degree programs in a timely manner. Although the spaces we have are excellent, the college lacks sufficient classrooms which can accommodate double lab lectures of nearly 60 students. As the chemistry program continues to grow, there is a need for an additional chemistry lab on the Santa Maria campus and additional shared lab space on the Lompoc Valley Center campus.

The final impactful main theme focused on staffing. Given the college's location, it can be very difficult to find highly qualified part time faculty members who are able teach at a broad spectrum of times. The expansion of full-time faculty by one or more members may help ameliorate staffing issues during the day, but that remains to be seen over time. As the program grows, another staffing concern is lab specialists/associates/assistants on both campuses. Current incumbents are managing with the existing schedule but additions may strain their capacities. Additionally, student survey reflected a need for chemistry related tutorial services. The underlying reason for this was unclear. The team discussed strategies for improving the connection between chemistry students and the STEM center for tutoring.

Lastly, the team discussed two other specific challenges. The first of these focused on the costs for science classes in general. Particularly for chemistry, some published materials are very expensive for students and this may be a barrier to completion. The second was a concern regarding disconnect between the desires of the Chancellor's office/legislature related to ADT units and the reality of course unit requirements in the C-ID descriptors. The legislation requires that Associate Degrees for Transfer be only 60 units; however, transfer institutions require specific courses in the C-ID descriptors to have more units than are noted in the ADT templates. This is a challenge that is likely beyond the scope of the program to correct, but needed to be noted.

## 2. RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the discussion and program challenges, the team and authors proposed recommendations. The subject of the first recommendation was how to bridge this program review process to the process starting in the 2022-2023 academic year. One team member
indicated that they would assist the authors in formatting the action plan to appropriately match the new program review process. As we discussed the above listed concerns for the program, the team outlined various recommendations. It was recommended that faculty members in the chemistry program continue to communicate with other disciplines, especially mathematics, to benefit student success. Of particular note was the recommendation to investigate how mathematics and chemistry can collaborate to improve students' chemistry-related mathematics skills. Similar to other life and physical science program reviews, the team recommended that the program continue to advocate for additional lecture and lab space through the master planning process as well as investigate expanding online chemistry general education offerings in order to help ameliorate the impact of waitlists in the program. Because the chemistry program is continuing on a growth trajectory, the team recommends that the program continues to advocate for additional full-time faculty members and lab specialists/associates/assistants to meet the needs of increasing numbers of students. As part of equipment procurement, the team recommends that a detailed repair and replacement schedule be developed for high use items. This will assist in budgeting processes and avoid frequent and irregular large funding requests. To continue to improve student success rates, members of the team suggested that the program investigate ways to increase student connections with the STEM center and that faculty expand the use of locally created, campus published course materials to reduce cost barriers.

Summary prepared by Sean J. Abel
Dean, Academic Affairs

## PLAN OF ACTION - POST-VALIDATION <br> (Sixth-Year Evaluation)

DEPARTMENT: Life and Physical Sciences
PROGRAM: CHEMISTRY

In preparing this document, refer to the Plan of Action developed by the discipline/program during the self-study, and the recommendations of the Validation Team. Note that while the team should strongly consider the recommendations of the validation team, these are recommendations only. However, the team should provide a rationale when choosing to disregard or modify a validation team recommendation.

Identify the actions the discipline/program plans to take during the next six years. Be as specific as possible and indicate target dates. Additionally, indicate by the number each institutional goal and objective which is addressed by each action plan. (See Institutional Goals and Objectives) The completed final plan should be reviewed by the department as a whole.

## RECOMMENDATIONS TO IMPROVE STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES AND

 ACHIEVMENT1) The chemistry faculty will continue to support the MESA and STEM programs as well as the Learning Resource Center at the Santa Maria and Lompoc Valley Center campuses to help inform students of their support services. The faculty will continue to promote high academic standards and success in achieving Program Learning Outcomes so that our students may thrive once they have moved on to the next stage of their academic careers.
2) The chemistry faculty will continue to remain current in the latest chemistry literature and useful technology to help convey the material at the appropriate undergraduate level.
3) The full-time chemistry faculty will work with the Union and current contracts to help ensure the adjunct-faculty are properly compensated for the additional work associated with inputting Program Learning Outcome data.

| Theme/Objective/ |
| :--- |
| Strategy Number AHC |
| from Strategic Plan |
| 1) A.1/A.7/B.7/C.7. |
| 2) B.7. |
| 3) B.7. |

TARGET DATE

1) ONGOING
2) ONGOING
3) ONGOING

## RECOMMENDATIONS TO ACCOMMODATE CHANGESIN STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS

| Theme/Objective/ | TARGET |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strategy Number | AHC from Strategic | DATE

## Enrollment Changes

The chemistry curriculum sections are growing and expanding. Additional lab space is being required at both campuses over the next program cycle. LVC has begun looking into converting LVC3-109 into a science lab. As they currently only have one functioning chemistry lab, this will help free up LVC3-102 for evening course offerings. The SM campus will likely look into M-212 since that lab has fume-hoods. Lab benches and gas lines will need to be run to make the room fully functional.
Demographic Changes
The chemistry program will continue to consider accommodations for student whom cannot attend day time classes. We need to expand LVC offerings and evening sections. Outfitting lecture and lab rooms with Zoom equipment can help during challenging times.

| A.2/B.4/D. 5 | ONGOING |
| :--- | :--- |
| A.3/D.5/D.7 |  |

RECOMMENDATIONS TOIMPROVETHE EDUCATIONALENVIRONMENT

| AHC from Strategic <br> Plan |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Curricular Changes <br> The chemistry faculty are updating curriculum to better serve the needs of the students. Our <br> CHEM140 course will be mapped to the C-ID CHM102 to help ease any transfer issues. <br> Sections of this course may be expanded to the sister campus, LVC, as need grows. | ONGOING |  |
| Co-Curricular Changes <br> A math review CANVAS course may need to be created to help our incoming students meet <br> the Basic Math Skills they require to succeed. | B.8 | ONGOING |


| Neighboring College and University <br> Plans <br> The chemistry faculty will continue to work with neighboring colleges and universities to ensure that courses articulate and topics are aligned. | C.3/C.8/D.6/E. 3 | ONGOING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Related Community Plans <br> The chemistry faculty will continue to volunteer when asked as we have for science fairs, Friday Night Science, tours of our department, brining hand-on chemistry to other schools, and presenting professional development activities. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A.1/A.5/A.6/E.7/E. } \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | ONGOING |

RECOMMENDATIONS THAT REQUIRE ADDITIONAL
RESOURCES

| Theme/Objective/ | TARGET |
| :--- | :--- |
| Strategy Number |  |
| AHC from Strategic | DATE |


| Facilities <br> 1) Service the fume-hoods annually as Cal OSHA requires (Keenan). <br> 2) LVC3-102, 3-114, 2-212, and 2-102 need smart podium upgrades. <br> 3) Need new whiteboards for M205/M213 <br> 4) Need new projector screens for M205/M-213 <br> 5) LVC 3-102 requires new ballasts for lighting <br> 6) Expansion into M212? $(\$ 235,000)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A.1/A.4/B.1/B.2/ } \\ & \text { B.3/E.1/E. } 2 \end{aligned}$ | 1)ONGOING <br> 2)FALL2023 <br> 3)FALL2025 <br> 4)SPRING2O26 <br> 5) SPRING2023 <br> 6) SPRING2027 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment   <br> 1) SM Gloves $(\$ 10,000)$ LVC Gloves $(\$ 10,000)$ <br> 2) SM Equipment under $\$ 500(\$ 4,000)$ LVC Equipment under $\$ 500(\$ 3,000)$ <br>  + inflation $(\$ 1200)$ +inflation $(\$ 1200)$ <br> 3) SM Goggles $(\$ 12,000)$ LVC Goggles $(\$ 12,000)$ <br> 4) SM Analytical Balance $(\$ 3,500)$ LVC 7 Analytical Balances $(\$ 48,000)$ <br> 5) SM 16 Centrifuges $(\$ 38,400)$  <br> 6) ChemDraw Software $(\$ 10,625)$  <br> 7) SM Repairs $(\$ 500)$  <br> 8) LVC Water Bath $(\$ 900)$  <br> 9) LVC Fume-hoods and gas lines for new chemistry lab (LVC3-109).  <br> 10) SM gas lines and lab benches/stools for new chemistry lab $(\mathrm{M}-212)$  <br> 11) LVC MelTemp equipment for CHEM140 $(\$ 7200)$  <br> 12) Student laptops at SM and LVC campuses need to be refreshed.  <br> 13) SM Large HotPlate $(\$ 1200)$  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A.1/A.4/B.1/B.2/ } \\ & \text { B.3/D.6/D.7/E.1/ } \\ & \text { E. } 2 \end{aligned}$ | 1) ONGOING <br> 2) ONGOING <br> 3) FALL2023 <br> 4) FALL2023 <br> 5) FALL2023SPRING2024 <br> 6) FALL2025 <br> 7) ONGOING <br> 8) FALL2022 <br> 9)FALL2024 <br> 10)FALL2026 <br> 11)FALL2027 <br> 12) ONGOING <br> 13) FALL2023 |


| Staffing | A.1/A.4/B.1/B.2/ | 1) FALL2023 - |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1) $\quad$ Need full-time chemists to help fill the demand and need of the current sections offered | B.3/E.1/E.2 | FALL2025 |  |
| 2)SM Chemistry and Biology Lab Associate Position $(+\$ 55,000)$ <br> 3) <br> LVC Chemistry and Biology Lab Associate Position $(+\$ 55,000)$ | 2)SPRING2023 |  | 3)FALL2026 |
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Document emailed to pmcguire@hancockcollege.edu for signature
2022-09-09-3:36:48 PM GMT

Email viewed by pmcguire@hancockcollege.edu
2022-09-09-4:00:44 PM GMT- IP address: 174.194.196.23

- Email viewed by pmcguire@hancockcollege.edu

2022-09-15-3:19:43 AM GMT- IP address: 98.97.60.24
. Signer pmcguire@hancockcollege.edu entered name at signing as Patrick McGuire 2022-09-15-3:19:59 AM GMT- IP address: 98.97.60.24

D Document e-signed by Patrick McGuire (pmcguire@hancockcollege.edu)
Signature Date: 2022-09-15-3:20:00 AM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 98.97.60.24
— Document emailed to mwagner@hancockcollege.edu for signature
2022-09-15-3:20:02 AM GMT

Email viewed by mwagner@hancockcollege.edu
2022-09-15-9:00:29 AM GMT- IP address: 104.28.85.123

Signer mwagner@hancockcollege.edu entered name at signing as Michael Wagner 2022-09-15-8:49:59 PM GMT- IP address: 174.194.199.46

Document e-signed by Michael Wagner (mwagner@hancockcollege.edu)
Signature Date: 2022-09-15-8:50:00 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 174.194.199.46
Document emailed to Sean Abel (sean.abel@hancockcollege.edu) for signature 2022-09-15-8:50:02 PM GMTEmail viewed by Sean Abel (sean.abel@hancockcollege.edu)
2022-09-15-8:55:00 PM GMT- IP address: 209.129.94.61

Wo. Document e-signed by Sean Abel (sean.abel@hancockcollege.edu)
Signature Date: 2022-09-15-8:55:05 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 209.129.94.61

- Agreement completed.

2022-09-15-8:55:05 PM GMT
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## Review and Approval

DUSTIN Nouri Date: $9 / 19 / 2022$
$\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$
$\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$
$\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$

Date: $\qquad$

Reviewed:

*Signature of Department Chair indicates approval by department of Plan of Action.

Reviewed:


Vice President, Academic Affairs



[^0]:    Completed forms and all backup documentation should be maintained at the department. Transfer conclusion information to the Program Evaluation PCA Summary Report.

[^1]:    Completed forms and all backup documentation should be maintained at the department. Transfer conclusion information to the Program Evaluation PCA Summary Report.

[^2]:    Completed forms and all backup documentation should be maintained at the department. Transfer conclusion information to the Program Evaluation PCA Summary Report.

[^3]:    Measure Names
    Retention \%Success \%

