

Directions: Enter data into the blue cells in Tables 2.1 through 2.5; all other cells are populated automatically. See definitions for each column and the rows below the tables. Be sure to scroll down fully to see all information in the template. If you have developed more than one new curriculular approach in English or math, they need to be submitted in separate tables. If this is the case, copy Tab 2 and replicate it and submit data for each unique curricular approach in a separate tab. In these tables you are entering data for students enrolled in fall 2019.
Click here for instructions on how to complete the template

|  | Students Enrolled in Pre-Transfer/Multi-Term Sequence Sections |  |  | Students Enrolled in Transfer-Level Course with or without a Corequisite |  |  | Decision Rule |  |  |  |  | Disproportionate Impact (DI) Analysis for Pre-Transfer Level |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English - Lowest High School GPA Performance Band with an Educational Goal of Degree or Transfer | 1. Total Enrolled | 2. Subtotal who Completed Transfer-Level Course within One Year | 3. Throughput Rate | 4. Total Enrolled | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2. Subtotal } \\ & \text { who } \\ & \text { Completed } \\ & \text { Transfer-Level } \\ & \text { Course within } \\ & \text { One Year } \end{aligned}$ | 6. Throughput Rate | 7. Throughput Rate Differences | 8. Statewide Comparison Throughput Rate | 9. Statewide or Local Comparison Rate Used (based on sample size) | 10. Maximize Throughput? | 11. Decision Conditional on Sample Size? | 12. DI Action Level | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 13. DI Present } \\ & \text { (PI, if } \\ & \text { value }<.80 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | 14. DI Present (PPG-1) |
| Overall | 0 | 0 |  | 43 | 8 | 18.6\% |  | 64.5\% | Statewide | TRUE | Conditional |  |  |  |
| African American | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Filipino | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic | 0 | 0 |  | 31 | 7 | 22.6\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native American/Alaskan Native | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multi-Ethnicity | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White Non-Hispanic | 0 | 0 |  | 7 | 1 | 14.3\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unknown | 0 | 0 |  | 3 | 0 | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.2. SLAM Math - Evaluating Pre-Transfer/Multi-Term Sequence for Lowest High School GPA Band - Transfer and Unknown/Unreported Goal

|  | Sequence Sections |  |  | udents with | with or without a Corequisite | -Level Course quisite | Decision Rule |  |  |  |  | Disproportionate Impact (DI) Analysis for Pre-Transfer Level |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SLAM Math - Lowest High School GPA Performance Band with an Educational Goal of Transfer | 1. Total Enrolled | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2. Subtotal } \\ & \text { who } \\ & \text { Completed } \\ & \text { Transfer-Level } \\ & \text { Course within } \\ & \text { One Year } \end{aligned}$ | 3. Throughput Rate | 4. Total Enrolled | 5. Subtotal who Completed Transfer-Level Course within One Year | 6. Throughput Rate | 7. Throughput Rate Differences | 8. Statewide Comparison Throughput Rate | 9. Statewide <br> or Local <br> Comparison <br> Rate Used <br> (based on <br> sample size) | 10. Maximize Throughput? | 11. Decision Conditional on Sample Size? | 12. DI Action Level | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13. DI Present } \\ & \text { (PI, if } \\ & \text { value }<.80 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | 14. DI Present (PPG-1) |
| Overall | 28 | 1 | 3.6\% | 12 | 3 | 25.0\% | -21.4\% | 58.4\% | Statewide | FALSE | Conditional |  |  |  |
| African American | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Action needed | 0.00 | TRUE |
| Asian | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Filipino | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic | 16 | 0 | 0.0\% | 9 | 2 | 22.2\% | -22.2\% |  |  |  |  | Action needed | 0.00 | true |
| Native American/Alaskan Native | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Action needed | 0.00 | true |
| Multi-Ethnicity | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pacific Islander | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Action needed | 0.00 | true |
| White Non-Hispanic | 6 | 1 | 16.7\% | 3 | 1 | 33.3\% | -16.7\% |  |  |  |  | No substantive DI | 4.67 | FALSE |
| Unknown | 3 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Action needed | 0.00 | true |

Table 2.3. SLAM Math - Evaluating Pre-Transfer/Multi-Term Sequence for Lowest High School GPA Band - Degree Goal

|  | Students Enrolled in Pre-Degree/Multi-TermSequence at Degree-Level Sections |  |  | Students Enrolled in College-Level Course with or without a Corequisite |  |  | Decision Rule |  |  |  |  | Disproportionate Impact (DI) Analysis for Pre-Transfer Level |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SLAM Math - Lowest High School GPA Performance Band with an | 1. Total Enrolled | 2. Subtotal who | 3. Throughput | 4. Total Enrolled | 5. Subtotal who | 6. Throughput Rate | 7. Throughput Rate Differences | 8. Statewide Comparison | 9. Statewide or Local | 10. Maximize Throughput? | 11. Decision Conditional on | 12. DI Action Level | 13. DI Present (PI, if | 14. DI Present (PPG-1) |
| Educational Goal of Degree |  | Completed |  |  | Completed |  |  | Throughput | Comparison |  | Sample Size? |  | value $<.80$ ) |  |
|  |  | College-Level |  |  | College-Level |  |  | Rate | Rate Used |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Course within |  |  | Course within |  |  |  | (based on |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | One Year |  |  | One Year |  |  |  | samole size) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall | 6 | 0 | 0.0\% | 3 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 42.4\% | Statewide | FALSE | Conditional |  |  |  |
| African American | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Filipino | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.4. B-STEM Math - Evaluating Pre-Transfer/Multi-Term Sequence for Lowest High School GPA Band - Transfer and Unknown/Unreported Goal

| B-STEM Math - Lowest High School GPA Performance Band with an Educational Goal of Transfer | Students Enrolled in Pre-Transfer/Multi-Term Sequence Sections |  |  | Students Enrolled in Transfer-Level Course with or without a Corequisite |  |  | Decision Rule |  |  |  |  | Disproportionate Impact (DI) Analysis for Pre-Transfer Level |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1. Total Enrolled | ```2. Subtotal who Completed Transfer-Level Course within One Year``` | 3. Throughput Rate | 4. Total Enrolled | 5. Subtotal who Completed Transfer-Level Course within One Year | 6. Throughput Rate | 7. Throughput Rate Differences | 8. Statewide Comparison Throughput Rate | 9. Statewide or Local Comparison Rate Used (based on sample size) | 10. Maximize Throughput? | 11. Decision Conditional on Sample Size? | 12. DI Action Level | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13. DI Present } \\ & \text { (PI, if } \\ & \text { value }<.80 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | 14. DI Present (PPG-1) |
| Overall | 54 | 6 | 11.1\% | 6 | 0 | 0.0\% | 11.1\% | 48.8\% | Statewide | FALSE | Conditional |  |  |  |
| African American | 2 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Action needed | 0.00 | true |
| Asian | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Filipino | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Action needed | 0.00 | true |
| Hispanic | 39 | 4 | 10.3\% | 4 | 0 | 0.0\% | 10.3\% |  |  |  |  | No substantive DI | 0.92 | FALSE |
| Native American/Alaskan Native | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Action needed | 0.00 | true |
| Multi-Ethnicity | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pacific Islander | 1 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Action needed | 0.00 | true |
| White Non-Hispanic | 6 | 1 | 16.7\% | 2 | 0 | 0.0\% | 16.7\% |  |  |  |  | No substantive DI | 1.50 | FALSE |
| Unknown | 4 | 1 | 25.0\% | , | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | No substantive DI | 2.25 | false |

Table 2.5. B-STEM Math- Evaluating Pre-Transfer/Multi-Term Sequence for Lowest High School GPA Band - Degree Goal

Students Enrolled in Pre-Degree/Multi-Term Students Enrolled in College-Level Course Sequence at Degree-Level Sections with or without a Corequisite
B-STEM Math - Lowest High School
GPA Perfor Lowest High Scho Educational Goal of Degree $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 1. Total } & \text { 2. Subtotal } & \text { 3. Throughput }\end{array}$ with or without a Corequisit Total Completed

Decision Rule
Disproportionate Impact (DI) Analysis for Pre-Transfer 5. Subtotal 6. Throughput 7. Throughput College-Level Course within
One Year
8. Statewide 9,

Comparison $\quad$ Statewide 10. Maximize 11. Decision
Throughput or Local Throughput? Conditional on
Throughput Comparison
Rate Used
(based on
sample size)


Color Legend
Enter data here
No data displayed for this area
Maximizing throughput/No Substantive DI
Consider Action - when one of two DI methods shows D
Not maximizing throughput/Action Needed - DI Present

## Columns Explained

Columns 1 and 4 - Total Enrolled: These columns show the number of distinct students enrolled in fall 2019 at census with an educational goal of certificate, degree and/or transfer (transfer shall also include students with an undecided/unknown educational goal). If end of term data is used, include withdraws ( $\mathrm{EW}, \mathrm{MW}$, and W grades) as enrollment in the course. Column 1 includes innovative curriculum sections and column 4 demonstrates transfer-level sections with or without a corequisite. The definition of a transfer-level course may be specific to a particular institution but should include the first-level English composition or math course that fulfills composition or math requirements for university transfer. The college-level course meets local degree requirements but usually is coded as one level below transfer (e.g., Intermediate Algebra).

Columns 2 and 5 - Subtotal who These columns show the number of students from each group out of the total enrolled at census in fall 2019 who completed a transfer-level or college-level course within one full academic year, including intersessions. For example, if a Completed Transfer-Level/College- student started in a discipline in the fall, they would be tracked through completion of the gateway course through the following summer term.

Columns 3 and 6 - Throughput Rate: These columns show the percentage of students who successfully completed (C or higher) a transfer-level course within one year. To calculate the throughput rate, divide Column 2 by Column 1 and Column 5 by Column 4 (respectively).
Column 7 - Throughput Rat Differences

Column 8 - Statewide Compariso
Column 9-Statewide or Local
Column 10 - Maximize
Throughput?:
Column 11 - Decision Conditional on Based on overall sample size in Column 5; if below a sample size of 100 , decision is conditional on statewide throughput rate; if sample size is above 100 , decision is not conditional on statewide throughput rate, but is based on local Sample Size?:
Column 12 - Disproportionate Impact (DI) Action Level: value $<.80$ ):

Column 14-DI Present (PPG-1): For students with a transfer goal, this column shows the difference in throughput rates between students who successfully completed the transfer-level course after enrolling in a pre-transfer-level course and students who successfully completed transfer-level course sections with or without a corequisite. For students with a degree goal, it shows the difference in throughput rates between students who successfully completed the college-level course after enrolling in pre-transfer-level course and students who successfully completed college-level course sections with or without a corequisite. The results in Column 7 are calculated by subtracting the number of students in Column 6 from the number in Column 3.
See "Tab 10. Methodology" for more details.
Depending on overall sample size in Column 5 ; see "Tab 10. Methodology" for more details.
his column determines if the local model maximized throughput when compared to the statewide or local throughput rate, per the requirements of AB 705 . FALSE means model does NOT maximize throughput, whereas TRUE means

If either Column 13 or 14 fall below threshold, then consider action; when both columns fall below threshold, then action is needed. If neither column fall below threshold, then there is no substantive DI. DI will still be displayed even if model is not maximizing throughput.
The proportionality index addresses the question, "If a subgroup of students represents $45 \%$ of the student body, does that subgroup also represent at least $45 \%$ of the students who achieve a specific educational outcome?" A proportionality index of 1.00 indicates that a group's representation among those achieving an educational outcome is identical to that group's representation in the student population. In contrast, a PI value of less than 1.00 indicates that a group's representation among those achieving an educational outcome is lower compared to that same group's representation in the student population. If the proportionality index falls below $80 \%$, then the student group is disproportionately impacted.
The percentage point gap method addresses the question, "Is the difference between the throughput rate of a subgroup and the overall throughput rate (excluding the subgroup) statistically significant?". That is, significance is related to the sample size and the size of the difference. Smaller sample size require larger differences compared to larger sample sizes.
$\qquad$

## When Are Colleges Required to Complete This Template?

This evaluation template is intended for colleges to evaluate placement structures under $A B 705$ and Title 5 requirements for students enrolled in fall 2019. Colleges are required to use this template to evaluate their $A B 705$ implementation if the following scenario applied at that time:
In fall 2019, your college placed students, who had an educational goal of transfer, degree or certificate requiring transfer-level English or college-level math or quantitative reasoning, and for whom you had high school trancript data, using a local placement model other than the statewide default placement rules*.

If your college used the default placement rules to place all students with high school transcript data, you do not need to complete Tab 4 and can move to Tab 5. If required to enter data for the scenario above, first enter data into Tab 10, Table 10.1, cells B6 and B10:B17 (if you have not done so already), then proceed to Tab 4. Tab 10 is used to calculate the comparison throughput rates for your college disaggregated by ethnicity. If you have developed more than one new placement approach in English or math, they need to be submitted in separate tables. If this is the case, copy Tab 4 and replicate it and submit data for each unique approach. Do not report students placed via a Guided or Self-Placement model in Tab 4; enter them into Tab 6.

## Why Is Evaluation Required under AB 705?

Title 5, § 55522.a. 1 and §55522.a.2, requires California Community Colleges (CCC) to increase the number of students with a goal of transfer to a four-year institution, who enter and complete transfer-level English and mathematics (or quantitative reasoning) courses within one year; and to increase the number of students who enter and complete transfer-level or the required college-level English and mathematics (or quantitative reasoning) course within one year among students with a goal of earning a certificate or a local associate degree. This new regulation seeks to minimize disproportionate impacts on students caused by traditional placement practices. Further, title 5, § 55522.c.ii states that placement methods using localized research must be supported by data and research showing throughput rates at or above those achieved by direct placement into a transferlevel course (or college-level courses where appropriate). Such data and research must be validated within two years of the adoption method.

Further, title 5, §55522.C. 2 states that placement methods shall not authorize placement of students into a remedial sequence or pre-transfer coursework in English or mathematics (or quantitative reasoning) unless the student is highly unlikely to succeed in the college-level or transfer-level course, and enrollment in pre-transferlevel coursework will improve the student's likelihood of completing transfer-level/college-level courses in one year. Title 5, §55522.c.1.B.ii refers to this scenario as the "throughput rate." The throughput rate is defined here as the percentage of students attempting and successfully completing the college-level or transfer-level English or math course appropriate to a students' education goal with a grade of $C$ or better within a full academic year, including intersessions. For example, if a student started in a math course in the fall term, they would be tracked to completion of the college-level or transfer-level math (or quantitative reasoning) course through the following summer term.

## Which Students Are Included in the Cohort?

Colleges should have planned to collect the data that allow for an evaluation of the throughput rate of students who participated in the scenario listed above compared to similar students who were placed in standalone transfer. level or college-level courses. If changes to course placement do not allow for a comparison group, historical data will need to be used for comparison. For colleges that participated in the Multiple Measures Assessment Project (MMAP), CalPass Plus can provide a retrospective file of students who were previously placed and enrolled at each institution by high school GPA band to use as a comparison.**

Per AB 705, only students who are highly unlikely to succeed in certificate, college-level or transfer-level coursework (appropriate to their educational goal) are allowed to be placed into pre-transfer-level prerequisite courses. No student outside the lowest high school performance band should be placed into pre-transfer/precollege level courses. Therefore, evaluation of the scenario above should focus on students in the lowest band of high school performance. Additionally, the law only applies to certificate or degree- and transfer-seeking students, as defined locally or using a student's informed educational goal. As such, additional filters should be applied to include only these student groups and detailed instructions on creating the cohorts are included under each table on the next tab.

## Footnotes

[^0]Directions: Enter data into the blue cells in Tables 4.1 through 4.5; all other cells are populated automatically. See definitions of each column and the rows below the tables. Be sure to scroll down fully to see all information in the template . If you have developed more than one new placement approach in English or math, they need to be submitted in a separate tables. If this is the case, copy Tab 4 and replicate it and submit data for each unique approach. In these tables you are entering data for students enrolled in fall 2019 ,

Click here for instructions on how to complete the template.

| ble 4.1. English Placement Models for Students in the Lowest High School GPA Band - Transfer, Unknown/Unreported or Degree Goal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Students Enrolled in Pre-Transfer-Level Sections Using Local Placement Rules or Local Measures |  |  | Students Enrolled Directly in Transfer-Level Sections with or without a Corequisite |  |  | Decision Rule |  |  |  |  | Disproportionate Impact (DI) Analysis for Pre-Transfer Level |  |  |
| English - Lowest High School GPA Performance Band with an Educational Goal of Transfer, Unknown/Unreported or Degree | 1. Total Enrolled | ```2. Subtotal Who Completed Transfer-Level Course within One Year``` | 3. Throughput Rate | 4. Total Enrolled | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5. Subtotal } \\ & \text { Who } \\ & \text { Completed } \\ & \text { Transfer-Level } \\ & \text { Course within } \\ & \text { One Year } \end{aligned}$ | 6. Throughput Rate | $\begin{gathered} \text { 7. Throughput } \\ \text { Rate } \\ \text { Differences } \end{gathered}$ | 8. Statewide Comparison Throughput Rate | 9. Statewide or Local Comparison Rate Used (based on sample size) | 10. Maximize Throughput? | 11. Decision Conditional on Sample Size? | 12. DI Action Level | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13. DI Present } \\ & \text { (PI, if } \\ & \text { value }<.80 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | 14. DI Present (PPG-1) |
| Overall | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 64.5\% | Statewide |  | Conditional |  |  |  |
| African American <br> Asian <br> Filipino <br> Hispanic <br> Native American/Alaskan Native <br> Multi-Ethnicity <br> Pacific Islander <br> White Non-Hispanic <br> Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.2. SLAM Math Placement Models for Students in the Lowest High School GPA Band - Transfer and Unknown/Unreported Goal

|  | Students Enrolled in Pre-Transfer-Level Sections using Local Placement Rules or Local Measures |  |  | Students Enrolled Directly in Transfer-Level Sections |  |  | Decision Rule |  |  |  |  | Disproportionate Impact (DI) Analysis for Pre-Transfer Level |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SLAM Math - Lowest High School GPA Performance Band with a Transfer Goal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Total } \\ & \text { Enrolled } \end{aligned}$ | 2. Subtotal <br> who Completed Transfer-Level Course within One Year | 3. Throughput Rate | 4. Total Enrolled | ```5. Subtotal who Completed Transfer-Level Course within One Year``` | 6. Throughput Rate | 7. Throughput Rate Differences | 8. Statewide Comparison Throughput Rate | 9. Statewide <br> or Local <br> Comparison <br> Rate Used <br> (based on <br> sample size) | 10. Maximize Throughput? | 11. Decision Conditional on Sample Size? | 12. DI Action Level | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13. DI Present } \\ & \text { (PI, if } \\ & \text { value }<.80 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | 14. DI Present (PPG-1) |
| Overall | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 58.4\% | Statewide |  | Conditional |  |  |  |
| African American <br> Asian <br> Filipino <br> Hispanic <br> Native American/Alaskan Native <br> Multi-Ethnicity <br> Pacific Islander <br> White Non-Hispanic <br> Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.3. SLAM Math Placement Models for Students in the Lowest High School GPA Band - Degree Goal



Columns 1 and 4 - Total Enrolled: These columns show the number of distinct students enrolled in fall 2019 at census with an educational goal of certificate, degree, and/or transfer (transfer also includes unknown/unreported educational goals). If end of term data is sed, include withdraws (EW, MW, and W grades) as enrollment in the course. Column 1 shows the number of students placed into pre-transfer level via a local model and Column 4 provides the number of students enrolled directly in transfer level.
Columns 2 and 5 - Subtotal who These columns demonstrate the number of students enrolled into pre-transfer courses and those enrolled into transfer-level courses out of the total enrolled who successfully completed a transfer-level course within one year with a 6 Completed Transfer-Level Course or better. Column 2 reflects the number of students who completed the pre-transfer-level course, and Column 5 shows the students who completed a transfer-level course when enrolled directly into a transfer-level course within one within One Year: fall academic year, including intersessions. For example, if a student started in a discipline in the fall, they would be tracked through completion of the transfer-level/college-level course through the following summer term.

Columns $\mathbf{3}$ and 6 - Throughput Rate: These columns show the percentage of students who successfully completed ( $C$ or higher) a transfer-level (or college-level) course within one year. To calculate the throughput rate, divide Column 2 by Column 1 and Column 5 by Column
Column 7 -Throughput Rate: 4 (respectively).
Differences: [insert definition; is missing from this tab
Column 8 - Statewide Comparison
Throughput Rate:
Column 9 - Statewide or Local
Comparison Rate Used:
Column 10 - Maximize
Throughput?: Sample Size?:
Column 12 - Disproportionate
Impact (DI) Action Level:
Column 13 - DI Present (PI, if value $<.8$ ):

Column 14 - DI Present (PPG-1):

Throughput?: model maximizes throughput.
See "Tab 10. Methodology" for more details.
Depending on overall sample size in Column 5; see "Tab 10. Methodology" for more details.
his column determines if the local model maximized throughput when compared to the statewide or local throughput rate, per the requirements of AB 705 . FALSE means model does NOT maximize throughput, whereas TRUE means If either Column 13 or 14 fall below threshold, then consider action; when both columns fall below threshold, then action is needed. If neither column fall below threshold, then there is no substantive DI. DI is still displayed even if model does not maximize throughput.
The proportionality index addresses the question, "If a subgroup of students represents $45 \%$ of the student body, does that subgroup also represent at least $45 \%$ of the students who achieve a specific educational outcome?" A proportionality index of 1.00 indicates that a group's representation among those achieving an educational outcome is identical to that group's representation in the student population. In contrast, a PI value of less than 1.00 indicates that a group's representation among those achieving an educational outcome is lower compared to that same group's representation in the student population. If the proportionality index falls below $80 \%$, then the student group is disproportionately impacted.
The percentage point gap method addresses the question, "Is the difference between the throughput rate of a subgroup and the overall throughput rate (excluding the subgroup) statistically significant?". That is, significance is related to the sample size and the size of the difference. Smaller sample size require larger differences compared to larger sample sizes.
$\qquad$

## When Are Colleges Required to Complete This Template?

This evaluation template is intended for colleges to evaluate their Guided or Self-Placement (GSP) model under AB 705 and Title 5 requirements. Colleges are required to use this template to evaluate their AB 705 implementation if any of the following scenarios apply to their GSP model. In fall 2019 did your college use a guided or selfplacement process that:
1 Placed students who have an educational goal of transfer into a pre-transfer-level course.
2 Placed students who have an educational goal of degree into a pre-degree-level course.
3 Placed students who have usable high school performance data available.
Incorporated sample problems or assignments, assessment instruments, or tests, including those designed for 4 skill assessment.

Requested students to solve problems, answer curricular questions, present demonstrations/examples of 5 course work designed to show knowledge or mastery of prerequisite skills, or demonstrate skills through tests or surveys.
If your college's GSP model does not fall into any of the four scenarios above, you do not need to complete Tab 6. You only need to provide data for the scenarios that apply to your college. If required to enter data for any of the four scenarios above, first enter data into Tab 10, Table 10.1, cells B6 and B10:B17, if you have not done so already, then proceed to Tab 6. Tab 10 is used to calculate the comparison throughput rates for your college disaggregated by ethnicity.

## Why Is Evaluation Required Under AB 705?

Title 5, § 55522.a. 1 and §55522.a.2, requires California Community Colleges (CCC) to increase the number of students with a goal of transfer to a four-year institution, who enter and complete transfer-level English and mathematics (or quantitative reasoning) courses within one year; and to increase the number of students who enter and complete transfer-level or the required college-level English and mathematics (or quantitative reasoning) course within one year among students with a goal of earning a certificate or a local associate degree. This new regulation seeks to minimize disproportionate impacts on students caused by traditional placement practices. Further, title 5, §55522.c.ii states that placement methods using localized research must be supported by data and research showing throughput rates at or above those achieved by direct placement into a transferlevel course (or college-level courses where appropriate). Such data and research must be validated within two vears of the adoption method.

Further, title 5, § 55522.C. 2 states that placement methods shall not authorize placement of students into a remedial sequence or pre-transfer coursework in English or mathematics (or quantitative reasoning) unless the student is highly unlikely to succeed in the college-level or transfer-level course, and enrollment in pre-transferlevel coursework will improve the student's likelihood of completing transfer-level/college-level courses in one year. Title 5, § 55522.c.1.B.ii refers to this scenario as the "throughput rate." The throughput rate is defined here as the percentage of students attempting and successfully completing the college-level or transfer-level English or math course appropriate to a students' education goal with a grade of $C$ or better within a full academic year, including intersessions. For example, if a student started in a math course in the fall term, they would be tracked to completion of the college-level or transfer-level math (or quantitative reasoning) course through the following summer term.
Chancellor's Office guidance on guided and self placement defines guided placement as: A process by which students choose tool used to encourage a student to reflect on his or her academic history and educational goals that may include the student evaluating their familiarity and comfort with topics in English or mathematics. After completing the process, students will receive their course placement. It also defines self placement as the process in which a student chooses their placement after consideration of the self-assessment survey results and other relevant factors. Survey results may culminate in course recommendations, but not placement. This survey may be part of the college's student onboarding process.

## Which Students Are Included in the Cohort?

Colleges should have planned to collect the data that allow for an evaluation of the throughput rate of students who participated in the four scenarios listed above compared to similar students enrolled directly in standalone transfer-level or college-level courses. If changes to course placement do not allow for a comparison group, historical data will need to be used for comparison. For colleges that participated in the Multiple Measures Assessment Project (MMAP), CalPass Plus can provide a retrospective file of students who were previously placed and enrolled at each institution by high school GPA band to use as a comparison.*

Per AB 705, colleges are required to evaluate the four scenarios above for all student groups, therefore the tables are broken out into three groups: (1) students in the lowest high school GPA band, (2) students with unknown GPA, and (3) students in All Other GPA Bands. Additionally, the law applies to certificate, degree- and transferseeking students, as defined locally or using a student's informed educational goal. As such, additional filters should be applied to include only these student groups and detailed instructions on creating the cohorts are included under each table on Tab 6.

Footnotes

* https://rpgroup.org/Portals/0/Documents/Projects/MultipleMeasures/GuidesforImplementingMultipleMeasures/MMAP-Data-

Match-Guide-10_26_15_1.pdf and
https://rpgroup.org/Portals/O/Documents/Projects/MultipleMeasures/GuidesforlmplementingMultipleMeasures/MMAP_Prospe ctive_File.pptx.pdf

Directions: Enter data into the blue cells in Tables 6.1 through 6.15; all other cells are populated automatically. See definitions for each column and the rows below the tables. Be sure to scroll down fully to see all information in the template. Enter data for students who enrolled in the course in fall 2019.
Click here for instructions on how to complete the template.


| Table 6.2. English - Guided or Self Placement - Unknown High GPA - Transfer, Unknown/Unreported or Degree Goal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Students Enrolled in Pre-Transfer-Level Sections after Guided or Self Placement |  |  | Students Placed Directly in Transfer-LevelSections |  |  | 7. Throughput Rate Differences | 8. Statewide Comparison Throughput Rate | 9. Statewide or Local Comparison Rate Used (based on sample size) | 10. Maximize Throughput? | 11. Decision Conditional on Sample Size? | Disproportionate Impact (DI) Analysis |  |  |
| English - High School GPA Unknown with an Educational Goal of Transfer, Unknown/Unreported or Degree | 1. Total Enrolled | 2. Subtotal who Completed Transfer-Level Course within One Year | 3. Throughput Rate | 4. Total Enrolled | 2. Subtotal who Completed Transfer-Level Course within One Year | 6. Throughput Rate |  |  |  |  |  | 12. DI Action Level | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13. DI Present } \\ & \text { (PI, if } \\ & \text { value }<.80 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | 14. DI Present (PPG-1) |
| Overall | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 64.5\% | Statewide |  | Conditional |  |  |  |
| African American <br> Asian <br> Filipino <br> Hispanic <br> Native American/Alaskan Native <br> Multi-Ethnicity <br> Pacific Islander <br> White Non-Hispanic <br> Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table 6.3. English - Guided or Self Placement - All Other GPA bands - Transfer, Unknown/Unreported or Degree Goal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Students Enrolled in Pre-Transfer-Level Sections after Guided or Self Placement |  |  | Students Placed Directly in Transfer-Level Sections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Disproportionate Impact (DI) Analysis |  |  |
| English - All Other High School GPA Bands Students with an Educational Goal of Transfer, Unknown/Unreported or Degree | 1. Total Enrolled | 2. Subtotal who Completed Transfer-Level Course within One Year | 3. Throughput Rate | 4. Total Enrolled | 5. Subtotal who Completed Transfer-Level Course within One Year** | 6. Throughput Rate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7. Throughput } \\ & \text { Rate } \\ & \text { Differences } \end{aligned}$ | 8. Statewide Comparison Throughput Rate | 9. Statewide or Local Comparison Rate Used (based on sample size) | 10. Maximize Throughput? | 11. Decision Conditional on Sample Size? | 12. DI Action Level | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13. DI Present } \\ & \text { (PI, if } \\ & \text { value }<.80 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | 14. DI Present (PPG-1) |
| Overall | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 67.1\% | Statewide |  | Conditional |  |  |  |
| African American Asian <br> Filipino |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Table 6.4. SLAM Math - Guided or Self Placement - Lowest High School GPA Band - Transfer and Unknown/Unreported Goal


Table 6.5. SLAM Math - Guided or Self Placement - Unknown High School GPA - Transfer and Unknown/Unreported Goal

| Table 6.5. SLAM Math - Guided or Self Placement - Unknown High School GPA - Transfer and Unknown/Unreported Goal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Students Enrolled in Pre-Transfer-Level Sections after Guided or Self Placement |  |  | Students Placed Directly in Transfer-Level Sections |  |  | 7. Throughput Rate Differences | 8. Statewide Comparison Throughput Rate | 9. Statewide <br> or Local Comparison Rate Used (based on sample size) | 10. Maximize Throughput? | 11. Decision Conditional on Sample Size? | Disproportionate Impact (DI) Analysis |  |  |
| SLAM Math - Unknown High School GPA with an Educational Goal of Transfer and Unknown/Unreported | 1. Total Enrolled | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2. Subtotal } \\ & \text { who } \\ & \text { Completed } \\ & \text { Transfer-Level } \\ & \text { Course within } \\ & \text { One Year } \end{aligned}$ | 3. Throughput Rate | 4. Total Enrolled | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5. Subtotal } \\ & \text { who } \\ & \text { Completed } \\ & \text { Transfer-Level } \\ & \text { Course within } \\ & \text { One Year** } \end{aligned}$ | 6. Throughput Rate |  |  |  |  |  | 12. DI Action Level | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13. DI Present } \\ & \text { (PI, if } \\ & \text { value }<.80 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | 14. DI Present (PPG-1) |
| Overall | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 58.4\% | Statewide |  | Conditional |  |  |  |
| African American Asian |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

African American
Filipino
Hispanic
Native American/Alaskan Native
Multi-Ethnicity
Pacific Islander
White Non-Hispanic
Unknown



Table 6.6. SLAM Math - Guided or Self Placement - All Other High School GPA - Transfer and Unknown/Unreported Goal

| Table 6.6. SLAM Math - Guided or Self Placement - All Other High School GPA - Transfer and Unknown/Unreported Goal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Students Enrolled in Pre-Transfer-Level Sections after Guided or Self Placement |  |  | Students Placed Directly in Transfer-Level Sections |  |  | 7. Throughput Rate Differences | 8. Statewide Comparison Throughput Rate | 9. Statewide or Local Comparison Rate Used (based on sample size) | Disproportionate Impact (DI) Analysis |  |  |  |  |
| SLAM Math - All Other High School GPA with an Educational Goal of Transfer and Unknown/Unreported | 1. Total Enrolled | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2. Subtotal } \\ & \text { who } \\ & \text { Completed } \\ & \text { Transfer-Level } \\ & \text { Course within } \\ & \text { One Year } \end{aligned}$ | 3. Throughput Rate | 4. Total Enrolled | 5. Subtotal who Completed Transfer-Level Course within One Year** | 6. Throughput Rate |  |  |  | 10. Maximize Throughput? | 11. Decision Conditional on Sample Size? | 12. DI Action Level | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 13. DI Present } \\ & \text { (PI, if } \\ & \text { value<.80) } \end{aligned}$ | 14. DI Present (PPG-1) |
| Overall | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 58.7\% | Statewide |  | Conditional |  |  |  |
| African American Asian <br> Filipino <br> Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Table 6.7. SLAM Math - Guided or Self Placement - Lowest High School GPA Band - Degree Goal 

| Table 6.7. SLAM Math - Guided or Self Placement - Lowest High School GPA Band - Degree Goal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Students Enrolled in Pre-College-Level Sections after Guided or Self Placement |  |  | Students Placed Directly in College-Level Sections |  |  | 7. Throughput Rate Differences | 8. Statewide Comparison Throughput Rate | 9. Statewide <br> or Local Comparison Rate Used (based on sample size) | 10. Maximize Throughput? | Disproportionate Impact (DI) Analysis |  |  |  |
| SLAM Math - Lowest High School GPA Performance Band with an Educational Goal of Degree | 1. Total Enrolled | 2. Subtotal who Completed College-Level Course within One Year | 3. Throughput Rate | 4. Total Enrolled | 5. Subtotal who Completed College-Level Course within One Year** | 6. Throughput Rate |  |  |  |  | 11. Decision Conditional on Sample Size? | 12. DI Action Level | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13. DI Present } \\ & \text { (PI, if } \\ & \text { value }<.80 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | 14. DI Present (PPG-1) |



|  | Students Enrolled in Pre-College-Level Level after Guided or Self-Placement |  |  | Students Placed Directly in College-Level Sections |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7. Throughput } \\ & \text { Rate } \\ & \text { Differences } \end{aligned}$ | 8. Statewide <br> Comparison <br> Throughput <br> Rate | 9. Statewide <br> or Local <br> Comparison <br> Rate Used <br> (based on <br> sample size) | 10. Maximize Throughput? | 11. Decision Conditional on Sample Size? | Disproportionate Impact (DI) Analysis |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SLAM Math - Unknown High School GPA with an Educational Goal of Degree | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1. Total } \\ & \text { Enrolled } \end{aligned}$ | 2. Subtotal who Completed College-Level Course within One Year | 3. Throughput Rate | 4. Total Enrolled | 5. Subtotal who Completed College-Level Course within One Year | 6. Throughput Rate |  |  |  |  |  | 12. DI Action Level | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13. DI Present } \\ & \text { (PI, if } \\ & \text { value }<.80 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | 14. DI Present (PPG-1) |
| Overall | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 42.4\% | Statewide |  | Conditional |  |  |  |
| African American <br> Asian <br> Filipino <br> Hispanic <br> Native American/Alaskan Native <br> Multi-Ethnicity <br> Pacific Islander <br> White Non-Hispanic <br> Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 6.9. SLAM Math - Guided or Self Placement - All Other High School GPA Bands - Degree Goal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Students Enrolled in Pre-College-Level Sections after Guided or Self Placement |  |  | Students Placed Directly in College-Level Sections |  |  | 7. Throughput Rate Differences | 8. Statewide Comparison Throughput Rate | 9. Statewide or Local Comparison Rate Used (based on sample size) | 10. Maximize Throughput? | 11. Decision Conditional on Sample Size? | Disproportionate Impact (DI) Analysis |  |  |
| SLAM Math - All Other High School GPA Bands with an Educational Goal of Degree | 1. Total Enrolled | 2. Subtotal who Completed College-Level Course within One Year | 3. Throughput Rate | 4. Total Enrolled | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5. Subtotal } \\ & \text { who } \\ & \text { Completed } \\ & \text { College-Level } \\ & \text { Course within } \\ & \text { One Year } \end{aligned}$ | 6. Throughput Rate |  |  |  |  |  | 12. DI Action Level | $\begin{gathered} \text { 13. DI Present } \\ \text { (PI, if } \\ \text { value }<.80 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | 14. DI Present (PPG-1) |
| Overall | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 42.7\% | Statewide |  | Conditional |  |  |  |
| African American Asian Filipino Hispanic Native American/Alaskan Native |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.10. B-STEM Math - Guided or Self Placement - Lowest High School GPA Band - Transfer and Unknown/Unreported Goal

|  | Students Enrolled in Pre-Transfer-Level Sections after Guided or Self Placement |  |  | Students Placed Directly in Transfer-Level Sections |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7. Throughput } \\ & \text { Rate } \\ & \text { Differences } \end{aligned}$ | 8. Statewide Comparison Throughput Rate | 9. Statewide or Local Comparison Rate Used (based on sample size) | 10. Maximize Throughput? | 11. Decision Conditional on Sample Size? | Disproportionate Impact (DI) Analysis |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-STEM Math - Lowest High School GPA Performance Band with an Educational Goal of Transfer and Unknown/Unreported Goal | 1. Total Enrolled | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2. Subtotal } \\ & \text { who } \\ & \text { Completed } \\ & \text { Transfer-Level } \\ & \text { Course within } \\ & \text { One Year } \end{aligned}$ | 3. Throughput Rate | 4. Total Enrolled | 5. Subtotal who Completed Transfer-Level Course within One Year** | 6. Throughput Rate |  |  |  |  |  | 12. DI Action Level | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13. DI Present } \\ & \text { (PI, if } \\ & \text { value }<.80 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | 14. DI Present (PPG-1) |
| Overall | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 27\% | Statewide |  | Conditional |  |  |  |
| African American <br> Asian <br> Filipino <br> Hispanic <br> Native American/Alaskan Native <br> Multi-Ethnicity <br> Pacific Islander <br> White Non-Hispanic <br> Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.11. B-STEM Math - Guided or Self Placement - Unknown High School GPA - Transfer and Unknown/Unreported Goal

|  | Students Enrolled in Pre-Transfer-Level Sections after Guided or Self Placement |  |  | Students Placed Directly in Transfer-Level Sections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Disproportionate Impact (DI) Analysis |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-STEM Math - Unknown High School GPA with an Educational Goal of Transfer and Unknown/Unreported Goal | 1. Total Enrolled | 2. Subtotal who Completed Transfer-Leve Course within One Year | 3. Throughput Rate | 4. Total Enrolled | 5. Subtotal who Completed Transfer-Level Course within One Year** | 6. Throughput Rate | 7. Throughput Rate Differences | 8. Statewide Comparison Throughput Rate | 9. Statewide or Local Comparison Rate Used (based on sample size) | 10. Maximize Throughput? | 11. Decision Conditional on Sample Size? | 12. DI Action Level | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13. DI Present } \\ & \text { (PI, if } \\ & \text { value }<.80 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | 14. DI Present (PPG-1) |
| Overall | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 48.8\% | Statewide |  | Conditional |  |  |  |
| African American <br> Asian <br> Filipino <br> Hispanic <br> Native American/Alaskan Native <br> Multi-Ethnicity <br> Pacific Islander <br> White Non-Hispanic <br> Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 6.12. B-STEM Math - Guided or Self Placement - All other High School GPA - Transfer and Unknown/Unreported Goal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Students Enrolled in Pre-Transfer-Level Sections after Guided or Self Placement |  |  | Students Placed Directly in Transfer-Level Sections |  |  | 7. Throughput Rate Differences | 8. Statewide <br> Comparison <br> Throughput | 9. Statewide <br> or Local <br> Comparison <br> Rate Used <br> (based on <br> sample size) | 10. Maximize Throughput? | 11. Decision Conditional on Sample Size? | Disproportionate Impact (DI) Analysis |  |  |
| B-STEM Math - Unknown High School GPA with an Educational Goal of Transfer and A176Unknown/Unreported | 1. Total Enrolled | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2. Subtotal } \\ & \text { who } \\ & \text { Completed } \\ & \text { Transfer-Level } \\ & \text { Course within } \\ & \text { One Year } \end{aligned}$ | 3. Throughput Rate | 4. Total Enrolled | 5. Subtotal who Completed Transfer-Level Course within One Year** | 6. Throughput Rate |  |  |  |  |  | 12. DI Action Level | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13. DI Present } \\ & \text { (PI, if } \\ & \text { value }<.80 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | 14. DI Present (PPG-1) |
| Overall | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 57.4\% | Statewide |  | Conditional |  |  |  |
| African American <br> Asian <br> Filipino <br> Hispanic <br> Native American/Alaskan Native <br> Multi-Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Pacific slander
White Non-Hispanic
Unknown


| Table 6.15. B-STEM Math - Guided or Self Placement - All Other High School GPA Bands - Degree Goal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Students Enrolled in Pre-College-Level Sections after Guided or Self Placement |  |  | Students Placed Directly in College-Level Sections |  |  | 7. Throughput Rate Differences | 8. Statewide <br> Comparison <br> Throughput <br> Rate | 9. Statewide <br> or Local <br> Comparison <br> Rate Used <br> (based on <br> sample size) | 10. Maximize Throughput? | 11. Decision Conditional on Sample Size? | Disproportionate Impact (DI) Analysis |  |  |
| B-STEM Math - All Other High School GPA Bands with an Educational Goal of Degree | 1. Total Enrolled | 2. Subtotal who Completed College-Level Course within One Year | 3. Throughput Rate | 4. Total Enrolled | 5. Subtotal who Completed College-Level Course within One Year | 6. Throughput Rate |  |  |  |  |  | 12. DI Action Level | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13. DI Present } \\ & \text { (PI, if } \\ & \text { value }<.80 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | 14. DI Present (PPG-1) |
| Overall | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 35.1\% | Statewide |  | Conditional |  |  |  |
| African American <br> Asian <br> Filipino <br> Hispanic <br> Native American/Alaskan Native <br> Multi-Ethnicity <br> Pacific Islander |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Columns 1 and 4 - Total Enrolled: - These columns show the number of distinct students enrolled in fall 2019 at census with an educational goal of certificate, degree, and/or transfer (transfer also includes unknown/unreported educational goals) who went through the GSP process and enrolled in a course at pre-degree levelor pre-transfer level compared to students who enrolled directly at degree or transfer leve.. If end of term data is used, include withdraws (EW, NW, and W grades) as enroilment in the course. Colum ins shows the number of students who started at pre-transfer level whether ornot they placed at pre-degree level, pre-transferlevel, or transfer-levelusing a Gsp model. Column 4 provides the number of students enrolled directly into a college-level or transfer-level course who successfully completed the college-level or transfe-level course within one full academic year, including intersessions. For example, if a student started in a discipline in fall 2019, they would be tracked through completion of the gateway course through the following summer term.
These columns demonstrate the number of students placed via GSP and those placed directly into college-level or transfer-level courses out of the total enrolled who successfully completed a college-level or transfer-level course Completed Transfer-Level Course within One Year:
Columns 3 and 6 - Throughput Rate: level course when placed using high school transcript data
These columns show the percentage of students who successfully completed (C or higher) a transfer-level (or college-level) course within one year. To calculate the throughput rate, divide Column 2 by Column 1 and Column 5 by Column 4 (respectively).

## Column 7 - Throughput Rate

Differences:

Column 8 - Statewide Compariso
Throughput Rate:
Column 9 - Statewide or Local
Comparison Rate Used:
Column 10 - Maximize Throughput?: This column determines if the GSP maximized throughput when compared to the statewide or local throughput rate, per the requirements of AB 705 . FALSE means model does NOT maximize throughput, whereas TRUE means model maximizes throughput. Column 11 - Decision Conditional o
Sample Size?:
Column 12 - Disproportionate
Impact (DI) Action Level:
Column 13 - DI Present (PI, if
value $<.80$ ):

Column 14-DI Present (PPG-1): Based on overal
If either Column 13 or 14 fall below threshold, then consider action; when both columns fall below threshold, then action is needed. If neither column fall below threshold, then there is no substantive DI. DI is still displayed even if model does not maximize throughput.
The proportionality index addresses the question, "If a subgroup of students represents $45 \%$ of the student body, does that subgroup also represent at least $45 \%$ of the students who achieve a specific educational outcome?" A proportionality index of 1.00 indicates that a group's representation among those achieving an educational outcome is identical to that group's representation in the student population. In contrast, a PI value of less than 1.00 indicates proportionality index of 1.00 indicates that a group's representation among those achieving an educational outcome is identical to that group's representation in the student population. In contrast, a PI value of ess than 1.00 indicate disproportionately impacted.
The percentage point gap method addresses the question, "Is the difference between the throughput rate of a subgroup and the overall throughput rate (excluding the subgroup) statistically significant?". That is, significance is related to the sample size and the size of the difference. Smaller sample size require larger differences compared to larger sample sizes.

## Rows Explained

Racial/Ethnic Groups:
Disproportionate impact (DI) is also required to be evaluated in assessment processes. Disproportionate impacts are displayed regardless if the model maximizes throughput. In general terms, DI exists when one or more subgroups of students have outcomes that are at a substantially lower level than other groups. The determination of "substantial is somewhat arbitrary, but a few indices have been created to guide decisions, such as the $80 \%$ rule and the proportionality index. If DI is detected, the college is required to plan, implement, and evaluate efforts to eliminate DI.

Table 6.6. Math - Guided or Self Placement - All Other High School GPA Bands - Transfer Goal only
Students Enrolled in Pre-Transfer Level after Students Placed Directly in Transfer Level
Disproportionate Impact (DI) Analysis

|  | Students Enrolled in Pre-Transfer Level after Guided or Self-Placement |  |  | Students Placed Directly in Transfer Level Sections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Disproportionate Impact (DI) Analysis |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Math - Unknown HSGPA Performance Band with an Educational Goal of Transfer | 1. Total Enrolled | 2. Subtotal who Completed TL Course within One Year | 3. Throughput Rate | 4. Total Enrolled | 5. Subtotal who Completed TL Course within One Year** | 6. Throughput Rate | 7. Throughput <br> Rate <br> Differences | 8. Statewide Comparison Throughput Rate | 9. Statewide or Local Comparison Rate Used (based on sample size) | 10. Maximize Throughput? | 11. Decision conditional on sample size? | 12. DI Action Level | 13. DI Present (PI, if value<.80) | 14. DI Present (PPG-1) |
| Overall | 88 | 53 | 60.2\% | 79 | 36 | 45.6\% | 14.7\% | \#REF! | Statewide |  | Conditional |  |  |  |
| African-American | 9 | 5 | 55.6\% | 12 | 3 | 25.0\% | 30.6\% |  |  |  |  | No substantive DI |  | FALSE |
| Asian | 6 | 4 | 66.7\% | 8 | 3 | 37.5\% | 29.2\% |  |  |  |  | No substantive DI |  | FALSE |
| Filipino | 3 | 1 | 33.3\% | 4 | 3 | 75.0\% | -41.7\% |  |  |  |  | No substantive DI |  | false |
| Hispanic | 23 | 14 | 60.9\% | 15 | 9 | 60.0\% | 0.9\% |  |  |  |  | No substantive DI |  | FALSE |
| Native American/Alaskan | 4 | 3 | 75.0\% | 5 | 3 | 60.0\% | 15.0\% |  |  |  |  | No substantive DI |  | FALSE |
| Multi-Ethnicity | 9 | 5 | 55.6\% | 5 | 3 | 60.0\% | -4.4\% |  |  |  |  | No substantive DI |  | FALSE |
| Pacific Islander | 4 | 1 | 25.0\% | 12 | 3 | 25.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  | No substantive DI |  | FALSE |
| White Non-Hispanic | 22 | 16 | 72.7\% | 10 | 6 | 60.0\% | 12.7\% |  |  |  |  | No substantive DI |  | FALSE |
| Unknown | 8 | 4 | 50.0\% | 8 | 3 | 37.5\% | 12.5\% |  |  |  |  | No substantive DI |  | FALSE |



| Enter Name of College (college name missing) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pre-Transfer or Multi-Term Sequence for Lowest High School GPA Band | Placement Models for Students in the Lowest High School GPA Band | Guided or Self Placement Lowest High School GPA Band | Guided or Self Placement High School GPA Unknown | Guided or Self Placement All Other GPA Levels |
| English | Does Placement Model Maximize Throughput? | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  | Does Placement Model Result in Disproportionate Impact on Some Groups? <br> (Please see "8. Results - Equity" tab for more information) |  |  |  |  |  |
| SLAM Math - Transfer Goal | Does Placement Model Maximize Throughput? | No |  |  |  |  |
|  | Does Placement Model Result in Disproportionate Impact on Some Groups? <br> (Please see "8. Results - Equity" tab for more information) | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| SLAM Math - Degree Goal | Does Placement Model Maximize Throughput? | No |  |  |  |  |
|  | Does Placement Model Result in Disproportionate Impact on Some Groups? <br> (Please see "8. Results - Equity" tab for more information) | No Substantive DI |  |  |  |  |
| B-STEM Math - Transfer Goal | Does Placement Model Maximize Throughput? | No |  |  |  |  |
|  | Does Placement Model Result in Disproportionate Impact on Some Groups? <br> (Please see "8. Results - Equity" tab for more information) | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| B-STEM Math - Degree Goal | Does Placement Model Maximize Throughput? | No |  |  |  |  |
|  | Does Placement Model Result in Disproportionate Impact on Some Groups? <br> (Please see "8. Results - Equity" tab for more information) | No Substantive DI |  |  |  |  |
| Color Legend |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximizing throughput/No Substantive DINot maximizing throughput/Action Needed - DI Present |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Enter Name of College (college name missing) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Innovative Curriculum for Lowest High School GPA Band | Placement Models for Students in the Lowest High School GPA Band | Guided or Self Placement Lowest High School GPA Band | Guided or Self Placement High School GPA Unknown | Guided or Self Placement - All Other GPA Levels |
|  |  | DI Level | DI Level | DI Level | DI Level | DI Level |
| English | African-American <br> Asian <br> Filipino <br> Hispanic <br> Native American/Alaskan Native <br> Multi-Ethnicity <br> Pacific Islander <br> White Non-Hispanic <br> Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Innovative Curriculum for Lowest High School GPA Band | Placement Models for Students in the Lowest High School GPA Band | Guided or Self Placement Lowest High School GPA Band | Guided or Self Placement High School GPA Unknown | Guided or Self Placement - All Other GPA Levels |
|  |  | DILevel | DILevel | DILevel | DI Level | DILevel |
| SLAM Math - Transfer Goal | African-American <br> Asian <br> Filipino <br> Hispanic <br> Native American/Pacific Islander <br> Multi-Ethnicity <br> Pacific Islander <br> White Non-Hispanic <br> Unknown | Action needed <br> Action needed <br> Action needed <br> Action needed <br> No substantive DI <br> Action needed |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Innovative Curriculum for Lowest High School GPA Band | Placement Models for Students in the Lowest High School GPA Band | Guided or Self Placement Lowest High School GPA Band | Guided or Self Placement High School GPA Unknown | Guided or Self Placement - All Other GPA Levels |
|  |  | DI Level | DI Level | DI Level | DI Level | DI Level |
| SLAM Math - Degree Goal | African-American <br> Asian <br> Filipino <br> Hispanic <br> Native American/Pacific Islander <br> Multi-Ethnicity <br> Pacific Islander <br> White Non-Hispanic <br> Unknown | No substantive DI <br> No substantive DI |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Innovative Curriculum for Lowest High School GPA Band | Placement Models for Students in the Lowest High School GPA Band | Guided or Self Placement Lowest High School GPA Band | Guided or Self Placement High School GPA Unknown | Guided or Self Placement - All Other GPA Levels |
|  |  | DILevel | DI Level | DI Level | DI Level | DILevel |
| B-STEM Math Transfer Goal | African-American <br> Asian <br> Filipino <br> Hispanic <br> Native American/Pacific Islander <br> Multi-Ethnicity <br> Pacific Islander <br> White Non-Hispanic <br> Unknown | Action needed <br> Action needed <br> No substantive DI <br> Action needed <br> Action needed <br> No substantive DI <br> No substantive DI |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Innovative Curriculum for Lowest High School GPA Band | Placement Models for Students in the Lowest High School GPA Band | Guided or Self Placement Lowest High School GPA Band | Guided or Self Placement High School GPA Unknown | Guided or Self Placement - All Other GPA Levels |


|  |  | DILevel | DI Level | DILevel | DI Level | DI Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-STEM Math Degree Goal | African-American | No substantive DI |  |  |  |  |
|  | Asian |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Filipino |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hispanic | No substantive DI |  |  |  |  |
|  | Native American/Pacific Islander |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Multi-Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pacific Islander |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | White Non-Hispanic | No substantive DI |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unknown | No substantive DI |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Color Legend |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Substantive DI |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consider Action - when one of two DI methods shows DI |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Action Needed - DI Present |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Definitions |
| :--- | :--- |\(\left.\quad \begin{array}{ll}\hline Include all students who were enrolled at census in Fall 2019 in their FIRST Math course for Math or their FIRST English course <br>

for English at census. Include courses appropriate to the students educational goal of degree or transfer. If end of term data are <br>
used, include withdraws (EW, MW and W grades) as enrollment in the course.\end{array}\right]\)

Directions: Enter data into the blue cells in Table 10.1 only. All other cells are populated automatically. These data are used to populate the other tables in the form to provide a
statewide comparison throughput rate

## Color Legend



| Table 10.2. College Throughput Reference Rates Degree and Transfer Goal Students |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Reference Rate Degree/Transfer Goal | Reference Rate Transfer Goal | Degree Goal |
|  | GSP reference rate | 64.5\% |  |  |
|  | HS GPA<1.9 | 36.8\% |  |  |
| English | HS GPA $\geq 1.9$ and <2.6 | 48.3\% |  |  |
| English | HS GPA $\geq 2.6$ | 74.2\% |  |  |
|  | $\underline{\text { GPA }}>=1.9$ | 67.1\% |  |  |
|  | Total | 66.2\% |  |  |
|  | GSP reference rate | 58.2\% | 58.4\% | 42.4\% |
|  | HS GPA<2.3 | 23.4\% | 23.4\% | 8.0\% |
| Math SLAM | HS GPA $\geq 2.3$ and <3.0 | 37.3\% | 37.4\% | 34.4\% |
| Math SLAM | HS GPA 23.0 | 58.7\% | 59.0\% | 42.8\% |
|  | $\underline{\text { GPA }}>=2.3$ | 58.5\% | 58.7\% | 42.7\% |
|  | Total | 50.3\% | 50.5\% | 37.2\% |
|  | GSP reference rate | 60.2\% | 48.8\% | 31.0\% |
|  | HS GPA<2.6 | 66.9\% | 27.2\% | 20.8\% |
| Math B-STEM | HS GPA $\geq 2.6$ and <3.4 | 46.2\% | 46.3\% | 38.8\% |
| Max B-STEM | HS GPA $\geq 3.4$ | 66.9\% | 67.1\% | 31.9\% |
|  | $\underline{\text { GPA }}>=2.3$ | 59.0\% | 57.4\% | 35.1\% |
|  | Total | 50.3\% | 50.5\% | 37.2\% |


|  | English |  |  | Math SLAM |  |  | Math B-STEM |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HSGPA Bands | 1-year TP rate | $N$ | HSGPA Bands | 1-year TP Rate | N | HSGPA Bands | 1-year TP Rate | N |
| African American | HS GPA 22.6 | 63.2\% | 2169 | HS GPA 23.0 | 48.3\% | 874 | HS GPA 23.4 | 59.1\% | 328 |
| Asian | HS GPA 22.6 | 82.6\% | 7954 | HS GPA 3.0 | 75.0\% | 5700 | HS GPA 23.4 | 80.8\% | 3373 |
| Hispanic | HS GPA 22.6 | 71.5\% | 30931 | HS GPA 3.0 | 58.1\% | 13995 | HS GPA 3.4 | 67.8\% | 6313 |
| Native American | HS GPA 22.6 | 65.2\% | 221 | HS GPA 23.0 |  | 94 | HS GPA 23.4 |  | 38 |
| Pacific Islander | HS GPA 22.6 | 62.7\% | 284 | HS GPA 3.0 |  | 131 | HS GPA 3.4 |  | 53 |
| Two or more races | HS GPA 22.6 | 76.7\% | 2880 | HS GPA 3.0 | 65.7\% | 1618 | HS GPA 3.4 | 73.0\% | 886 |
| Unknown | HS GPA 22.6 | 75.7\% | 4396 | HS GPA 3.0 | 64.9\% | 2267 | HS GPA 3.4 | 73.7\% | 1154 |
| White | HS GPA 2.6 | 80.0\% | 14453 | HS GPA 23.0 | 68.1\% | 8413 | HS GPA 23.4 | 75.3\% | 4717 |
| African American | HS GPA $\geq 1.9$ and <2.6 | 44.7\% | 1345 | HS GPA $\geq 2.3$ and <3.0 | 31.0\% | 885 | HS GPA 2.6 and <3.4 | 39.3\% | 1067 |
| Asian | HS GPA $\geq 1.9$ and <2.6 | 59.1\% | 1542 | HS GPA $\geq 2.3$ and <3.0 | 53.7\% | 2118 | HS GPA 22.6 and <3.4 | 62.7\% | 3802 |
| Hispanic | HS GPA $\geq 1.9$ and <2.6 | 48.1\% | 15535 | HS GPA $\geq 2.3$ and < 3.0 | 35.1\% | 11457 | HS GPA 22.6 and <3.4 | 44.5\% | 14715 |
| Native American | HS GPA $\geq 1.9$ and <2.6 |  | 124 | HS GPA $\geq 2.3$ and < 3.0 |  | 78 | HS GPA 2.6 and <3.4 |  | 104 |
| Pacific Islander | HS GPA $\geq 1.9$ and <2.6 |  | 115 | HS GPA $\geq 2.3$ and < 3.0 |  | 112 | HS GPA 2.6 and <3.4 |  | 146 |


| Two or more races | HS GPA $\geq 1.9$ and <2.6 | 51.0\% | 780 | HS GPA 2.3 and <3.0 | 41.8\% | 759 | HS GPA $\geq 2.6$ and <3.4 | 52.4\% | 1226 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unknown | HS GPA $\geq 1.9$ and <2.6 | 51.2\% | 1591 | HS GPA $\geq 2.3$ and <3.0 | 36.6\% | 1400 | HS GPA $\geq 2.6$ and <3.4 | 49.5\% | 2007 |
| White | HS GPA $\geq 1.9$ and <2.6 | 55.6\% | 3179 | HS GPA $\geq 2.3$ and <3.0 | 46.3\% | 3459 | HS GPA $\geq 2.6$ and <3.4 | 55.2\% | 6077 |
| African American | HS GPA<1.9 | 32.8\% | 536 | HS GPA<2.3 | 19.6\% | 587 | HS GPA<2.6 | 20.8\% | 951 |
| Asian | HS GPA<1.9 | 49.0\% | 337 | HS GPA<2.3 | 35.7\% | 636 | HS GPA 2.6 | 41.5\% | 1279 |
| Hispanic | HS GPA<1.9 | 34.2\% | 5726 | HS GPA 2.3 | 20.0\% | 6276 | HS GPA 2.6 | 24.0\% | 10700 |
| Native American | HS GPA<1.9 |  | 41 | HS GPA<2.3 |  | 57 | HS GPA<2.6 |  | 87 |
| Pacific Islander | HS GPA<1.9 |  | 39 | HS GPA<2.3 |  | 54 | HS GPA<2.6 |  | 98 |
| Two or more races | HS GPA<1.9 | 35.0\% | 243 | HS GPA 2.3 | 25.8\% | 330 | HS GPA 2.6 | 29.6\% | 595 |
| Unknown | HS GPA<1.9 | 39.2\% | 561 | HS GPA 2.3 | 23.2\% | 706 | HS GPA 2.6 | 25.1\% | 1212 |
| White | HS GPA<1.9 | 45.8\% | 722 | HS GPA 2.3 | 31.7\% | 1019 | HS GPA 2.6 | 35.9\% | 2097 |
| African American | GPA Unknown | 60.9\% | 1128 | GPA Unknown | 50.0\% | 626 | GPA Unknown | 50.0\% | 626 |
| Asian | GPA Unknown | 82.0\% | 3261 | GPA Unknown | 77.0\% | 2848 | GPA Unknown | 77.0\% | 2848 |
| Hispanic | GPA Unknown | 66.0\% | 6438 | GPA Unknown | 52.5\% | 3958 | GPA Unknown | 52.5\% | 3958 |
| Native American | GPA Unknown |  | 97 | GPA Unknown |  | 73 | GPA Unknown |  | 73 |
| Pacific Islander | GPA Unknown |  | 94 | GPA Unknown |  | 60 | GPA Unknown |  | 60 |
| Two or more races | GPA Unknown | 70.3\% | 583 | GPA Unknown | 58.0\% | 448 | GPA Unknown | 58.0\% | 448 |
| Unknown | GPA Unknown | 75.2\% | 1676 | GPA Unknown | 69.8\% | 1207 | GPA Unknown | 69.8\% | 1207 |
| White | GPA Unknown | 76.2\% | 4295 | GPA Unknown | 68.1\% | 3149 | GPA Unknown | 68.1\% | 3149 |
| African American | Total | 54.7\% | 5178 | Total | 37.8\% | 2972 | Total | 37.8\% | 2972 |
| Asian | Total | 78.8\% | 13094 | Total | 69.3\% | 11302 | Total | 69.3\% | 11302 |
| Hispanic | Total | 61.1\% | 58630 | Total | 43.4\% | 35686 | Total | 43.4\% | 35686 |
| Native American | Total | 58.0\% | 483 | Total | 42.4\% | 302 | Total | 42.4\% | 302 |
| Pacific Islander | Total | 57.0\% | 532 | Total | 43.1\% | 357 | Total | 43.1\% | 357 |
| Two or more races | Total | 69.2\% | 4486 | Total | 54.7\% | 3155 | Total | 54.7\% | 3155 |
| Unknown | Total | 68.4\% | 8224 | Total | 53.6\% | 5580 | Total | 53.6\% | 5580 |
| White | Total | 74.8\% | 22649 | Total | 61.1\% | 16040 | Total | 61.1\% | 16040 |
| Total | HS GPA 22.6 | 75.0\% | 63288 | HS GPA 23.0 | 64.1\% | 33092 | HS GPA 23.4 | 73.0\% | 16862 |
| Total | HS GPA $\geq 1.9$ and <2.6 | 49.9\% | 24211 | HS GPA $\geq 2.3$ and <3.0 | 39.2\% | 20268 | HS GPA $\geq 2.6$ and <3.4 | 49.6\% | 29144 |
| Total | HS GPA<1.9 | 36.2\% | 8205 | HS GPA<2.3 | 22.7\% | 9665 | HS GPA<2.6 | 26.9\% | 17019 |
| Total | GPA Unknown | 72.1\% | 17572 | GPA Unknown | 63.8\% | 12369 | GPA Unknown | 63.8\% | 12369 |
| Total | Total | 66.4\% | 113276 | Total | 52.0\% | 75394 | Total | 52.0\% | 75394 |


| Table 10.4 Fall 2019 Statewide Throughput Rates by GPA and Ethnicity - Math SLAM by Ed Goal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math SLAM - Transfer (or undecided) Goal |  |  | Math SLAM - Degree Goal |  |  |
|  | HSGPA | 1-year TP rate | N | HSGPA | 1-year TP Rate | N |
| African American | HS GPA 23.0 | 48.80\% | 832 | HS GPA 23.0 |  | 56 |
| Asian | HS GPA 23.0 | 75.12\% | 5607 | HS GPA 23.0 |  | 114 |
| Hispanic | HS GPA 23.0 | 58.32\% | 13449 | HS GPA 23.0 | 45.59\% | 703 |
| Native American | HS GPA 23.0 |  | 88 | HS GPA 23.0 |  | 7 |
| Pacific Islander | HS GPA 23.0 |  | 126 | HS GPA 23.0 |  | 5 |
| Two or more races | HS GPA 23.0 | 65.86\% | 1570 | HS GPA 23.0 |  | 60 |
| Unknown | HS GPA 23.0 | 65.29\% | 2198 | HS GPA 23.0 |  | 89 |
| White | HS GPA 23.0 | 68.46\% | 8110 | HS GPA 23.0 | 56.00\% | 366 |
| African American | HS GPA $\geq 2.3$ and <3.0 | 30.96\% | 843 | HS GPA $\geq 2.3$ and <3.0 |  | 61 |
| Asian | HS GPA $\geq 2.3$ and <3.0 | 53.78\% | 2079 | HS GPA $\geq 2.3$ and <3.0 |  | 63 |
| Hispanic | HS GPA $\geq 2.3$ and <3.0 | 35.11\% | 10948 | HS GPA $\geq 2.3$ and <3.0 | 38.95\% | 732 |
| Native American | HS GPA 22.3 and <3.0 |  | 76 | HS GPA $\geq 2.3$ and <3.0 |  | 4 |
| Pacific Islander | HS GPA $\geq 2.3$ and <3.0 |  | 105 | HS GPA $\geq 2.3$ and <3.0 |  | 9 |
| Two or more races | HS GPA 22.3 and <3.0 | 42.37\% | 727 | HS GPA $\geq 2.3$ and <3.0 |  | 51 |
| Unknown | HS GPA $\geq 2.3$ and <3.0 | 36.79\% | 1351 | HS GPA $\geq 2.3$ and <3.0 |  | 64 |
| White | HS GPA $\geq 2.3$ and <3.0 | 46.53\% | 3310 | HS GPA $\geq 2.3$ and <3.0 | 41.79\% | 237 |
| African American | HS GPA 2.3 | 19.39\% | 557 | HS GPA 2.3 |  | 58 |
| Asian | HS GPA<2.3 | 35.63\% | 609 | HS GPA 2.3 |  | 44 |
| Hispanic | HS GPA<2.3 | 20.14\% | 5885 | HS GPA<2.3 | 15.73\% | 734 |
| Native American | HS GPA 22.3 |  | 51 | HS GPA 22.3 |  | 8 |
| Pacific Islander | HS GPA 2.3 |  | 51 | HS GPA 22.3 |  | 4 |
| Two or more races | HS GPA<2.3 | 25.16\% | 310 | HS GPA<2.3 |  | 34 |
| Unknown | HS GPA<2.3 | 22.73\% | 682 | HS GPA 2.3 |  | 46 |
| White | HS GPA 2.3 | 31.64\% | 945 | HS GPA 2.3 |  | 128 |
| African American | GPA Unknown | 50.18\% | 562 | GPA Unknown |  | 127 |
| Asian | GPA Unknown | 77.17\% | 2694 | GPA Unknown | 75.38\% | 247 |
| Hispanic | GPA Unknown | 52.70\% | 3683 | GPA Unknown | 45.81\% | 468 |
| Native American | GPA Unknown |  | 68 | GPA Unknown |  | 9 |


| Pacific Islander | GPA Unknown |  | 56 | GPA Unknown |  | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Two or more races | GPA Unknown | 59.62\% | 416 | GPA Unknown |  | 52 |
| Unknown | GPA Unknown | 69.78\% | 1135 | GPA Unknown |  | 108 |
| White | GPA Unknown | 68.33\% | 2908 | GPA Unknown | 57.80\% | 412 |
| African American | Total | 37.83\% | 2794 | Total | 30.48\% | 302 |
| Asian | Total | 69.40\% | 10989 | Total | 61.61\% | 468 |
| Hispanic | Total | 43.61\% | 33965 | Total | 32.86\% | 2637 |
| Native American | Total | 41.70\% | 283 | Total |  | 28 |
| Pacific Islander | Total | 42.60\% | 338 | Total |  | 24 |
| Two or more races | Total | 55.18\% | 3023 | Total |  | 197 |
| Unknown | Total | 53.65\% | 5366 | Total | 32.88\% | 307 |
| White | Total | 61.40\% | 15273 | Total | 49.25\% | 1143 |
| Total | HS GPA 23.0 | 64.40\% | 31980 | HS GPA 23.0 | 49.58\% | 1400 |
| Total | HS GPA 2.3 and <3.0 | 39.29\% | 19439 | HS GPA 22.3 and <3.0 | 36.65\% | 1221 |
| Total | HS GPA<2.3 | 22.72\% | 9090 | HS GPA<2.3 | 18.43\% | 1056 |
| Total | GPA Unknown | 64.10\% | 11522 | GPA Unknown | 52.11\% | 1429 |
| Total | Total | 52.31\% | 72031 | Total | 38.44\% | 5106 |


| Table 10.5 Fall 2019 Statewide Throughput Rates by GPA and Ethnicity - Math BSTEM by Ed Goal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Math BSTEM - Transfer (or undecided) Goal |  |  | Math BSTEM - Degree Goal |  |  |
|  | HSGPA | 1-year TP rate | N | HSGPA | 1-year TP Rate | N |
| African American | HS GPA 23.4 | 59.69\% | 320 | HS GPA 23.4 |  | 14 |
| Asian | HS GPA 3.4 | 80.97\% | 3327 | HS GPA 3.4 |  | 55 |
| Hispanic | HS GPA 23.4 | 68.01\% | 6093 | HS GPA 3.4 | 63.04\% | 272 |
| Native American | HS GPA 23.4 |  | 35 | HS GPA 23.4 |  | 4 |
| Pacific Islander | HS GPA 23.4 |  | 52 | HS GPA 23.4 |  | 1 |
| Two or more races | HS GPA 23.4 | 73.33\% | 866 | HS GPA 3.4 |  | 28 |
| Unknown | HS GPA 23.4 | 74.26\% | 1119 | HS GPA 3.4 |  | 45 |
| White | HS GPA 23.4 | 75.47\% | 4553 | HS GPA 23.4 |  | 184 |
| African American | HS GPA $\geq 2.6$ and <3.4 | 39.42\% | 1002 | HS GPA $\geq 2.6$ and <3.4 |  | 83 |
| Asian | HS GPA $\geq 2.6$ and <3.4 | 62.66\% | 3728 | HS GPA $\geq 2.6$ and <3.4 |  | 101 |
| Hispanic | HS GPA $\geq 2.6$ and <3.4 | 44.63\% | 14099 | HS GPA $\geq 2.6$ and <3.4 | 40.32\% | 835 |
| Native American | HS GPA $\geq 2.6$ and <3.4 |  | 100 | HS GPA $\geq 2.6$ and <3.4 |  | 5 |
| Pacific Islander | HS GPA $\geq 2.6$ and <3.4 |  | 136 | HS GPA $\geq 2.6$ and <3.4 |  | 11 |
| Two or more races | HS GPA 22.6 and <3.4 | 52.69\% | 1173 | HS GPA $\geq 2.6$ and <3.4 |  | 66 |
| Unknown | HS GPA $\geq 2.6$ and <3.4 | 49.74\% | 1940 | HS GPA $\geq 2.6$ and <3.4 |  | 86 |
| White | HS GPA $\geq 2.6$ and <3.4 | 55.53\% | 5842 | HS GPA $\geq 2.6$ and <3.4 | 52.05\% | 326 |
| African American | HS GPA<2.6 | 20.77\% | 910 | HS GPA<2.6 |  | 78 |
| Asian | HS GPA<2.6 | 41.69\% | 1240 | HS GPA<2.6 |  | 65 |
| Hispanic | HS GPA<2.6 | 24.14\% | 10090 | HS GPA<2.6 | 20.26\% | 1062 |
| Native American | HS GPA<2.6 |  | 80 | HS GPA<2.6 |  | 10 |
| Pacific Islander | HS GPA<2.6 |  | 94 | HS GPA<2.6 |  | 6 |
| Two or more races | HS GPA<2.6 | 29.40\% | 568 | HS GPA $\times 2.6$ |  | 51 |
| Unknown | HS GPA<2.6 | 24.83\% | 1172 | HS GPA<2.6 |  | 68 |
| White | HS GPA<2.6 | 36.09\% | 1970 | HS GPA<2.6 | 30.00\% | 221 |
| African American | GPA Unknown | 50.18\% | 562 | GPA Unknown |  | 127 |
| Asian | GPA Unknown | 77.17\% | 2694 | GPA Unknown | 75.38\% | 247 |
| Hispanic | GPA Unknown | 52.70\% | 3683 | GPA Unknown | 45.81\% | 468 |
| Native American | GPA Unknown |  | 68 | GPA Unknown |  | 9 |
| Pacific Islander | GPA Unknown |  | 56 | GPA Unknown |  | 6 |
| Two or more races | GPA Unknown | 59.62\% | 416 | GPA Unknown |  | 52 |
| Unknown | GPA Unknown | 69.78\% | 1135 | GPA Unknown |  | 108 |
| White | GPA Unknown | 68.33\% | 2908 | GPA Unknown | 57.80\% | 412 |
| African American | Total | 37.83\% | 2794 | Total | 30.48\% | 302 |
| Asian | Total | 69.40\% | 10989 | Total | 61.61\% | 468 |
| Hispanic | Total | 43.61\% | 33965 | Total | 32.86\% | 2637 |
| Native American | Total | 41.70\% | 283 | Total |  | 28 |
| Pacific Islander | Total | 42.60\% | 338 | Total |  | 24 |
| Two or more races | Total | 55.18\% | 3023 | Total |  | 197 |
| Unknown | Total | 53.65\% | 5366 | Total | 32.88\% | 307 |
| White | Total | 61.40\% | 15273 | Total | 49.25\% | 1143 |
| Total | HS GPA $\geq 3.4$ | 73.23\% | 16365 | HS GPA 23.4 | 62.50\% | 603 |
| Total | HS GPA $\geq 2.6$ and <3.4 | 49.81\% | 28020 | HS GPA $\geq 2.6$ and <3.4 | 41.56\% | 1513 |
| Total | HS GPA<2.6 | 27.02\% | 16124 | HS GPA<2.6 | 22.18\% | 1561 |


[^0]:    * https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5a565796692ebefb3ec5526e/t/5b6ccfc46d2a73e48620d759/1533857732982/07.18+AB+7 05+Implementation+Memorandum.pdf.pdf
    ** https://rpgroup.org/Portals/0/Documents/Projects/MultipleMeasures/GuidesforlmplementingMultipleMeasures/MMAP-Data-Match-Guide-10_26_15_1.pdf and
    ** https://rpgroup.org/Portals/O/Documents/Projects/MultipleMeasures/GuidesforlmplementingMultipleMeasures/MMAP_Prospe ctive_File.pptx.pdf

